## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL \& REGULAR MAIL

June 5, 2009

In the Matter of the Provision of<br>Basic Generation Service for Year Two of the Post-Transition Period -and-<br>In the Matter of the Provision of<br>Basic Generation Service for the Period Beginning June 1, 2007<br>-and-<br>In the Matter of the Provision of<br>Basic Generation Service for the Period Beginning June 1, 2008<br>-and-<br>In the Matter of the Provision of<br>Basic Generation Service for the Period Beginning June 1, 2009

Docket Nos. EO03050394, EO06020119, ER07060379, ER08050310
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Compliance Tariff Filing Reflecting Changes to Schedule 12 Charges in PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff Docket No.

Kristi Izzo, Secretary
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102
Dear Secretary Izzo:
This letter (original and 10 copies) is filed with the Board of Public Utilities (the "Board") on behalf of Jersey Central Power \& Light Company ("JCP\&L"), Public Service Electric and Gas Company ("PSE\&G") and Rockland Electric Company ("RECO") (collectively, the "EDCs"). Enclosed please find copies of tariff sheets proposed by each of the EDCs and revised to reflect changes to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT") made in response to (i) formula rate filings made by PPL Electric Utilities Corporation ("PPL") in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Docket No. ER08-1457 and by American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEP") in FERC Docket No. ER08-1329, (ii) the annual formula rate update filings made by Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company ("TrAILCo") in FERC Docket No. ER07-562, and (iii) the modified formula rate filing for the Mid-Atlantic Power Pathway ("MAPP") project made by the public utility affiliates of Pepco Holdings Inc. ("PHI") in FERC Docket No. ER08-1423 and the respective utility affiliate compliance filings for formula rate updates made by Atlantic City Electric Company ("ACE") in Docket No ER091156, Delmarva Power and Light ("Delmarva") in Docket No. ER09-1158, and Potomac Electric

Power Company ("PEPCO") in Docket No. ER09-1159 (the filings referred to in (i) thought (iii) above are collectively referred to as the "Filings").

## Background

In its Order dated October 22, 2003 (BPU Docket No. EO03050394), the Board authorized the EDCs to recover FERC-approved changes in firm transmission service-related charges. The Board has also authorized recovery of FERC-approved changes in firm transmission service-related charges in subsequent orders approving the Basic Generation Service ("BGS") supply procurement process and the associated Supplier Master Agreements ("SMAs"). In the most recent Board Order (BPU Docket No. ER08050310), the Board discussed this issue and concluded that this process for FERC-approved transmission rate changes was in the best interests of BGS customers.

In compliance with a directive from Board Staff, the EDCs have made this filing with the expectation that the Board will assign a tracking docket number for administrative purposes. However, the EDCs note that the Board has approved the pass-through of changes to FERCapproved transmission rates in the BGS dockets listed above, and therefore the noticing and hearing requirements for this compliance filing are subsumed by the Board's prior approvals in the BGS dockets.

The Transmission Enhancement Charges ("TECs") detailed in Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT were implemented to compensate transmission owners for the annual transmission revenue requirements for "Required Transmission Enhancements" (again, as defined in the PJM OATT) that are requested by PJM for reliability or economic purposes. TECs are recovered by PJM through an additional transmission charge in the transmission zones assigned cost responsibility for Required Transmission Enhancement projects.

This is the fourth filing the EDCs have made with the Board to recover costs associated with TECs from BGS customers and to pay BGS suppliers for TEC charges assigned to them by PJM for the load they serve in the respective EDC service territories. ${ }^{1}$ On August 3, 2007, ACE, JCP\&L, PSE\&G and RECO filed to collect the TECs associated with the FERC-approved TrAILCo project. The Board approved this filing by Order dated January 18, 2008, and authorized the EDCs to recover the FERC-approved transmission charges for the TrAILCo project.

On June 16, 2008, ACE, JCP\&L, PSE\&G and RECO filed with the Board to recover the FERC-approved TECs associated with the Potomac Appalachian Transmission Highline ("PATH") project, for certain projects of the Virginia Electric Power Company ("VEPCo"), and for an update to the enhancement charges found in Schedule 12 of the OATT for the TrAILCo

[^0]project. By Order dated September 15, 2008, the Board approved and authorized ACE, JCP\&L, PSE\&G and RECO to recover the FERC-approved transmission charges for these projects.

On November 14, 2008, PSE\&G filed with the Board for an increase in Basic Generation Service-Fixed Price ("BGS-FP") and Basic Generation Service-Commercial and Industrial Energy Price ("BGS-CIEP") rates to recover the formula rate approved by FERC for PSE\&G in FERC Docket No. ER08-1233, and in response to the annual formula rate update filings made by PATH and VEPCo. ACE, JCP\&L and RECO also filed on the same day for the TECs associated with the PSE\&G formula rate applicable to load in their respective service territories as well as for the TECs associated with the PATH and VEPCo projects.

By Orders dated December 18, 2008, in response to the November 14, 2008 filings, the Board approved and authorized ACE, JCP\&L and RECO to recover, and separately authorized PSE\&G to recover, the FERC-approved TECs found in Schedule 12 of the OATT to reflect the revised formula rate filings for the PATH and VEPCo projects, as well as the formula rate filing made by PSE\&G in FERC Docket No. ER08-1233.

On February 4, 2009, ACE, JCP\&L, PSE\&G and RECO filed a notice with the Board that PJM had approved a formula rate for PPL, but that the amounts PJM was billing for the period were too small to warrant an increase in BGS supplier payments at the time. It was noted in that filing that the EDCs would file for the PPL TEC costs when the PPL TEC rate was reset on June 1, 2009.

## Request for Board Approval

JCP\&L, PSE\&G and RECO request Board approval to implement revised BGS-FP and BGS-CIEP tariff rates as shown in Attachment 1. The attached pro-forma tariff sheets have an effective date of July 1, 2009 and will remain in effect until changed. The BGS-FP and BGSCIEP rates included in the amended tariff sheets are revised to reflect costs effective on June 1, 2009 for TECs resulting from all of the FERC-approved Filings, applicable to customers in each EDC's service territory. Attachment 2 shows the cost impact for the 2009/2010 period for each of the EDCs. These costs were allocated to the various transmission zones using the cost information from the formula rates for the projects covered by the Filings, as posted on the PJM website. The translation of the transmission zone rate impact to the BGS rates of each of the EDCs assuming implementation on July 1, 2009 is included as Attachment 3.

Copies of the Filings and all formula rate updates are included as Attachment 4, and can also be found on the PJM website at http://www.pjm.com/markets-and-operations/transmission-service/formula-rates.aspx.

The EDCs also request that the BGS Suppliers be compensated for the changes to the OATT resulting from the implementation of the updates from formula rates effective June 1, 2009. Suppliers will be compensated subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable SMAs.

Any differences between payments to BGS-FP and BGS-CIEP Suppliers and charges to customers will flow through BGS Reconciliation Charges. This treatment is consistent with the previously-approved mechanisms.

This filing satisfies the requirements of $\mathbf{q} 15.9$ (a)(i) and (ii) of the BGS-FP and BGSCIEP SMAs, which mandate that BGS-FP and BGS-CIEP Suppliers be notified of rate increases for firm transmission service, and that the EDCs file for and obtain Board approval of an increase in retail rates commensurate with the FERC-implemented rate increase.

We thank the Board for all courtesies extended.

Respectfully submitted,

Original Signed by
Frances I. Sundheim, Esq.

Attachments

C Jerome May<br>Frank Perrotti<br>Alice Bator<br>Stacy Peterson<br>Stefanie Brand, Division of Rate Counsel<br>Service List (via Electronic Mail Server)
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## BPU

Alice Bator, Bureau Chief
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2448
FAX: (973) 648-7420
alice.bator@bpu.state.nj.us
Mark Beyer, Chief Economist
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Office of the Economist
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-3414
FAX: (973) 648-4410
mark.beyer@bpu.state.nj.us
John Garvey
Board of Public Utilities
Office of the Economist
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-6123
FAX: (973) 648-4410
john.garvey@bpu.state.nj.us
Kristi Izzo, Secretary
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-3426
FAX: (973) 638-2409
kristi.izzo@bpu.state.nj.us
Jerome May
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-4950
FAX: (973) 648-2467
Jerome.may@bpu.state.nj.us
Frank Perrotti, Assistant Director
Board of Public Utilities
Division of Energy
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-7290
FAX: (973) 648-2467
frank.perrotti@bpu.state.nj.us
Stacy Peterson
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2143
FAX: (__ ) __-
stacy.peterson@bpu.state.nj.us
Samuel Wolfe, Chief Counsel
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2016
FAX: (__) ___
samuel.wolfe@bpu.state.nj.us

## DAG

Kenneth Sheehan
Division of Law
Dept. of Law \& Public Safety
124 Halsey Street
P. O. Box 45029

Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (__) _-_
FAX: (__) ___

Babette Tenzer
Division of Law
Department of Law \& Public Safety
124 Halsey Street
PO Box 45029
Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-7811
FAX: (973) 648-7156
babette.tenzer@dol.lps.state.nj.us

## ADVOCATE

Stefanie Brand, Esq.
Director
Department of the Public Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005

Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 624-1047
sbrand@rpa.state.nj.us
Paul Flanagan, Litigation Manager
Department of the Public Advocate
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005

Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 642-1047
pflanagan@rpa.state.nj.us
Ami Morita, Esq.
Department of the Public Advocate
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005

Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 624-1047
amorita@rpa.state.nj.us
Diane Schulze, Esq.
Division of the Rate Counsel
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P. O. Box 46005

Newark, NJ 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 648-2193
dschulze@rpa.state.nj.us

## ADVOCATE CONSULTANTS

## Robert Fagan

Synapse Energy Economics, Inc.
22 Pearl Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
PHONE: (__) _-_
FAX: (__) ___
rfagan@synapse-energy.com

## ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC CO.

Joseph Janocha, PE
PHI Rates and Technical Services
5100 Harding Highway
Atlantic Regional Office
Mays Landing, NJ 08330
PHONE: (609) 625-5868
FAX: (609) 625-5838
joseph.janocha@pepcoholdings.com
Greg Marquis
Pepco Holdings, Inc.
701 Ninth Street NW
Washington, DC 20068-0001
PHONE: (202) 872-2297
FAX: (202) 872-2270
grmarquis@pepco.com

## JCP\&L

Sally J. Cheong, Manager - Tariff
Activity, Rates NJ
Jersey Central Power \& Light Co.
300 Madison Ave.
Morristown, NJ 07962
PHONE: (973) 401-8699
FAX: (973) 644-4243
scheong@firstenergycorp.com
Kevin Connelly
First Energy
300 Madison Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07960
PHONE: (973) 401-8708
FAX: (973) 644-4243
kconnelly@firstenergycorp.com
Julie L. Friedberg, Esq.
Thelen Reid Brown Raysman \& Steiner LLP
200 Campus Drive
Suite 210
Florham Park, NJ 07932
PHONE: (973) 660-4405
FAX: (973) 660-4401
jfriedberg@thelenreid.com
Larry Sweeney
JCP\&L
300 Madison Avenue
P. O. Box 1911

Morristown, NJ 07962-1911
PHONE: (973) 401-8697
FAX: (973) 644-4157
lsweeney@gpu.com

## MARKETERS

Steven Gabel
Gabel Associates
417 Denison Street
Highland Park, NJ 08904
PHONE: (732) 296-0770
FAX: (732) 296-0799
steven@gabelassociates.com

## ROCKLAND

John L. Carley, Esq.
Consolidated Edison Co. of NY
Law Dept., Room 1815-S
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003
PHONE: (212) 460-2097
FAX: (212) 677-5850
carleyj@coned.com

## SUPPLIERS

Lisa A. Balder
NRG Power Marketing Inc.
211 Carnegie Center
Contract Administration
Princeton, NJ 08540
PHONE: (609) 524-4543
FAX: (609) 524-4540
Lisa.Balder@nrgenergy.com
Frank Cernosek
Reliant Energy
1000 Main Street
REP 11-235
Houston, TX 77002
PHONE: (713) 497-4266
FAX: (713) 497-9975
fcernosek@reliant.com
JPMorgan Chase Bank
Attn: Legal Dept - Derivatives Pr Group
270 Park Avenue
41st Floor
New York, NY 10017-2070
PHONE: (__
FAX: (212) 270-3625

Commodity Confirmations
J.P. Morgan Ventures Energy Col

1 Chase Manhattan Plaza`
14th Floor
New York, NY 10005
PHONE: (212) 552-1500
FAX: (212) 383-6600
NA.Energy.Confirmations@jpmc m

Manager Contracts Administratio
Sempra Energy Trading Corp.
58 Commerce Road
Stamford, CT 06902
PHONE: (__ ) __-_
FAX: (203) 355-5410

Raymond DePillo
PSEG Power
80 Park Plaza
T19
Newark, NJ 07101
PHONE: (973) 430-8866
FAX: (__) _____
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| Sylvia Dooley |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Consolidated Edison Company of NY, |
| Inc. |  |
|  | 4 Irving Place |
| Room 1810-s |  |
| New York, NY 10003 |  |
| PHONE: (212) 460-3192 |  |
| FAX: (212) 260-8627 |  |
| dooleys@coned.com |  |
| Consolidated Edison Energy, Inc., VP |  |
|  | Trading |
| 701 Westchester Avenue |  |
| Suite 201 West |  |
| White Plains, NY 10604 |  |
| PHONE: (914) 993-2110 |  |
| FAX: (914) 993-2150 |  |
| Gary Ferenz |  |
| Conectiv Energy Supply Inc. P.O. Box 6066 |  |
|  |  |
| Newark, DE 19714-6066 |  |
| PHONE: (__) __-_ |  |
|  | FAX: (__) __-_ |

Daniel E. Freeman, Contract Services Power
BP Energy Company
501 West Lake Park Blvd., WL1-4.300B
Houston, TX 77079
PHONE: (281) 366-4489
FAX: (281) 366-6335
freede@bp.com
Michael S. Freeman
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, PA 19348
PHONE: (610) 765-6655
FAX: (610) 765-7655
mfreeman@pwrteam.com
Marjorie Garbini
Conectiv Energy
Energy \& Technology Center
500 North Wakefield Drive
P.O. Box 6066

Newark, DE 19714-6066
PHONE: (__) __-_
FAX: (__

Arland H. Gifford
DTE Energy Trading
414 South Main Street
Suite 200
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
PHONE: (734) 887-2050
FAX: (734) 887-2092
gifforda@dteenergy.com

Deborah Hart, Vice President
Morgan Stanley Capital Group 2000 Westchester Avenue
Trading Floor
Purchase, NY 10577
PHONE: (914) 225-1430
FAX: (914) 225-9297
deborah.hart@morganstanley.com
Brent Hendry
FPL Energy Power Marketing, Inc.
Legal Dept.
700 Universe Blvd., Bldg. E 4th Floor
Juno Beach, FL 33408
PHONE: (561) 304-5276
FAX: (561) 625-7504
brent_henry@fpl.com
Marcia Hissong, Director, Contract
Administration/Counsel
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.
414 South Main Street
Suite 200
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
PHONE: (734) 887-2042
FAX: (734) 887-2235
hissongm@dteenergy.com
Eric W. Hurlocker
PPL EnergyPlus LLC
Two N. Ninth Street
Allentown, PA 18101
PHONE:
FAX: (_-_ -_-
ewhurlocker@pplweb.com
Gary A. Jeffries, Senior Counsel
Dominion Retail, Inc.
1201 Pitt Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15221
PHONE: (412) 473-4129
FAX: (412) 473-4170
gjeffries@dom.com
Shiran Kochavi
NRG Energy
211 Carnegie Center
Princeton, NJ 08540
PHONE: (609) 524-4604
FAX: (609) 524-4589
shiran.kochavi@nrgenergy.com
Contracts/ Legal Department
FPL Energy Power Marketing Inc.
700 Universe Blvd., CTR/JB
Juno Beach, FL 33408
PHONE: (__) ___
FAX: (561) 625-7504

Shawn P. Leyden (BGS), Esq. PSEG Energy Resources \& Trade
80 Park Plaza, T-19
P. O. Box 570

Newark, NJ 07101
PHONE: (973) 430-7698
FAX: (973) 643-8385
shawn.leyden@pseg.com

| FPL Energy Marketing, Inc. | Robert Viola |
| :---: | :---: |
| 700 Universe Blvd., CTR/JB | Edison Mission Marketing \& Tra |
| Legal Department | 160 Federal Street |
| Juno Beach, FL 33408 | 4th Floor |
| PHONE: (__) _- | Boston, MA 02110 |
| FAX: (734) 887-2235 | PHONE: (617) 912-6256 |
|  | FAX: (617) 912-6003 |
| Robert Mennella |  |
| Consolidated Edison Energy Inc. | Jessica Wang |
| 701 Westchester Avenue | FPL Energy Power Marketing, In |
| Suite 201 West | 700 Universe Boulevard |
| White Plains, NY 10604 | Bldg. E |
| PHONE: (914) 993-2170 | 4th Floor |
| FAX: (914) 993-2111 | Juno Beach, FL 33408 |
| mennellar@conedenergy.com | PHONE: (561) 304-6124 |
|  | FAX: (561) 625-7519 |
| Randall D. Osteen, Esq. Vice President - Counsel | jessica.wang@fpl.com |
| Constellation Energy Commodities | Matt Webb |
| Group, Inc. | BP Energy Company |
| 111 Market Place | 501 West Lake Park Blvd. |
| Suite 500 | Houston, TX 77079 |
| Baltimore, MD 21202 | PHONE: (281) 366-4417 |
| PHONE: (410) 468-3493 | FAX: (281) 366-7909 |
| FAX: (410) 468-3499 | webbmd2@bp.com |
| Randall.Osteen@constellation.com Edward Zabrocki |  |
|  | Edward Zabrocki ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |
| Elizabeth A. Sager, VP - Asst General Counsel | Morgan Stanley Capital Grp. Inc. 1585 Broadway, 4th Floor |
| J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. | Attn: Chief Legal Officer |
| 270 Park Avenue | New York, NY 10036 |
| Floor 41 | PHONE: (914) 225-5544 |
| New York, NY 10017-2014 | FAX: (212) 507-4622 |
| PHONE: (212) 270-3634 | edward.zabrocki@morganstanley |
| FAX: (212) 270-3621 |  |
| elizabeth.a.sager@jpmchase.com | PSE\&G |
| Ken Salamone | Frances I. Sundheim, Esq. <br> Public Service Electric \& Gas Co |
| Sempra Energy Trading Corp. | 80 Park Plaza, T-08 |
| 58 Commerce Road | Newark, NJ 07101 |
| Stamford, CT 06902 | PHONE: (973) 430-6928 |
| PHONE: (203) 355-5510 | FAX: (973) 648-0838 |
| FAX: (203) 355-5410 | frances.sundheim@pseg.com |
| Steve Sheppard |  |
| DTE Energy Trading |  |
| 414 S. Main Street |  |
| Suite 200 |  |
| Ann Arbor, MI 48104 |  |
| PHONE: (734) 887-2126 |  |
| FAX: (734) 887-2104 |  |
| sheppards@dteenergy.com |  |
| Noel H. Trask |  |
| Exelon Generation Company, LLC |  |
| 300 Exelon Way |  |
| Kennett Square, PA 19348 |  |
| PHONE: (610) 765-6649 |  |
| FAX: (610) 765-7649ntrask@pwrteam.com |  |
|  |  |

Attachment 1a
Jersey Central Power and Light Tariff Sheets
Attachment 1b
Public Service Electric and Gas Company Tariff Sheets
Attachment 1c
Rockland Electric Company Tariff Sheets

# Rider BGS-FP <br> Basic Generation Service - Fixed Pricing <br> (Applicable to Service Classifications RS, RT, RGT, GS, GST, OL, SVL, MVL and ISL) 

## 1) BGS Energy Charge per KWH: (Continued)

(Note 1) Summer Peak Surcharge (SPS): A SPS of an additional $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 9 0 4 0 0}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be applicable to RS customers KWH usage above 2500 (or above 3500 KWH if participating in the Company's Life Support program) for June through September. A SPS of an additional \$0.180024 per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be applicable to RT customer on-peak KWH usage above 1000 (or above 1400 KWH if participating in the Company's Life Support program) for June through September.
(Note 2) Retail Margin: A Retail Margin of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 5 3 5 0}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be added to the BGS Energy Charges stated above applicable to all KWH usage by any GS and GST customers that the Company has identified with loads of 750 KW or greater (but less than 1000 KW) as of November 1, 2008 and that the Company has notified that the Retail Margin would be added to the BGS Energy Charges applicable to their KWH usage beginning June 1, 2009.
(Note 3) Summer Peak Demand Charge - Pilot (SPDC-P): A SPDC-P of $\$ 29.69$ per KW Demand-P (includes Sales and Use Tax as provide in Rider SUT) during June through September will be applicable to Pilot customers that the Company has identified with lower than class average load factor and are located in certain circuit congestive areas. The Company has notified the Pilot customers that the SPDC-P will be applicable to KW Demand-P to be determined as follows: monthly maximum KW demand above 10 KW in excess of $80 \%$ of the prior year's monthly maximum KW demand above 10 KW, adjusted for KWH usage increases compared to the prior year. The Pilot customers will not be billed the SPDC as other non-Pilot customers; all other charges for GS under this Rider will still apply to the Pilot customers.
2) BGS Transmission Charge per KWH: As provided in the respective tariff for Service Classifications RS, RT, RGT, GS, GST, OL, SVL, MVL and ISL. Effective January 1, 2009, a RMR surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 0 5 8}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be added to the BGS Transmission Charge applicable to all KWH usage.

Effective January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, a PATH2-TEC surcharge of $\$ 0.000070$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), a VEPCO2-TEC surcharge of $\$ 0.000001$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), and a PSEG1-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 1 2 5 2}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be added to the BGS Transmission Charge applicable to all KWH usage except lighting under Service Classifications OL, SVL, MVL and ISL.

Effective July 1, 2009, a TRAILCO3-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 1 2 8}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), a PEPCO-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 0 2 6}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), an ACE-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 1 1 4}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), a Delmarva-TEC surcharge of $\$ 0.000003$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), an AEP-East-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 2}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT), and a PPL-TEC surcharge of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 9}$ per KWH (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT) will be added to the BGS Transmission Charge applicable to all KWH usage except lighting under Service Classifications OL, SVL, MVL and ISL.

## 3) BGS Reconciliation Charge per KWH: ( $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 0 0 4 6 7 5 \text { ) (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in }}$ Rider SUT)

The above BGS Reconciliation Charge recovers the difference between the payments to BGS suppliers and the revenues from BGS customers for Basic Generation Service and is subject to quarterly true-up.

## Rider BGS-CIEP

Basic Generation Service - Commercial Industrial Energy Pricing
(Applicable to Service Classifications GP and GT and Certain Customers under Service Classifications GS and GST)

## 3) BGS Transmission Charge per KWH: (Continued)

Effective January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, a PATH2-TEC surcharge, a VEPCO2-TEC surcharge and a PSEG1-TEC surcharge will be added to the BGS Transmission Charge applicable to all KWH usage, as follows (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT):

|  | PATH2-TEC |  | VEPCO2-TEC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GT - High Tension Service | $\$ 0.000011$ |  | $\$ 0.000000$ |  |
| GT | $\$ 0.000198$ |  |  |  |
| GP | $\$ 0.000041$ |  | $\$ 0.000001$ |  |
| GS | $\$ 0.000739$ |  |  |  |
| GS and GST | $\$ 0.000070$ |  | $\$ 0.0000001$ |  |

Effective July 1, 2009, the following TEC surcharges will be added to the BGS Transmission Charge applicable to all KWH usage, as follows (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT):

|  | TRAILCO3-TEC | PEPCO-TEC | ACE-TEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GT - High Tension Service | \$0.000025 | \$0.000005 | \$0.000021 |
| GT | \$0.000082 | \$0.000016 | \$0.000073 |
| GP | \$0.000080 | \$0.000016 | \$0.000071 |
| GS and GST | \$0.000128 | \$0.000026 | \$0.000114 |
|  | Delmarva-TEC | AEP-East-TEC | PPL-TEC |
| GT - High Tension Service | \$0.000001 | \$0.000000 | \$0.000002 |
| GT | \$0.000002 | \$0.000001 | \$0.000005 |
| GP | \$0.000002 | \$0.000001 | \$0.000005 |
| GS and GST | \$0.000003 | \$0.000002 | \$0.000009 |

4) BGS Reconciliation Charge per KWH: (\$0.000225) (includes Sales and Use Tax as provided in Rider SUT)

The above BGS Reconciliation Charge recovers the difference between the payments to BGS suppliers and the revenues from BGS customers for Basic Generation Service and is subject to quarterly true-up.

# BASIC GENERATION SERVICE - FIXED PRICING (BGS-FP) ELECTRIC SUPPLY CHARGES 

## APPLICABLE TO:

Default electric supply service for Rate Schedules RS, RSP, RHS, RLM, WH, WHS, HS, BPL, BPLPOF, PSAL, GLP and LPL-Secondary (less than 1,000 kilowatts).

## BGS ENERGY CHARGES:

## Applicable to Rate Schedules RS, RHS, RLM, WH, WHS, HS, BPL, BPL-POF and PSAL

 Charges per kilowatthour:| Rate Schedule | For usage in each of the months of October through May |  | For usage in each of the months of June through September |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Charges |  | Charges |
|  | Charges | Including SUT | Charges | Including SUT |
| RS - first 600 kWh | 11.1800 ¢ | 11.9626 ¢ | 11.9263 ¢ | 12.7611 ¢ |
| RS - in excess of 600 kWh | 11.1800 ¢ | 11.9626 ¢ | 12.8273 ¢ | 13.7252 ¢ |
| RHS - first 600 kWh | 10.2512 ¢ | 10.9688 ¢ | 11.7948 ¢ | 12.6204 ¢ |
| RHS - in excess of 600 kWh | 10.2512 ¢ | 10.9688 ¢ | 12.9995 ¢ | 13.9095 ¢ |
| RLM On-Peak | 14.4193 ¢ | 15.4287 ¢ | 15.8236 ¢ | 16.9313 ¢ |
| RLM Off-Peak | 7.6261 ¢ | 8.1599 ¢ | 8.0514 ¢ | 8.6150 ¢ |
| WH | 8.6773 ¢ | 9.2847 ¢ | 9.7344 ¢ | 10.4158 ¢ |
| WHS | 8.6875 ¢ | 9.2956 ¢ | 9.7888 ¢ | 10.4740 ¢ |
| HS | 10.2402 ¢ | 10.9570 ¢ | 13.5201 ¢ | 14.4665 ¢ |
| BPL | 8.0560 ¢ | 8.6199 ¢ | 8.4917 ¢ | 9.0861 ¢ |
| BPL-POF | 8.0560 ¢ | 8.6199 ¢ | 8.4917 ¢ | 9.0861 ¢ |
| PSAL | 8.0560 ¢ | 8.6199 ¢ | 8.4917 ¢ | 9.0861 ¢ |

The above Basic Generation Service Energy Charges reflect costs for Energy, Generation Capacity, Transmission, and Ancillary Services (including PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) Administrative Charges). The portion of these charges related to Network Integration Transmission Service, including the PJM Seams Elimination Cost Assignment Charges, the PJM Reliability Must Run Charge and PJM Transmission Enhancement Charges may be changed from time to time on the effective date of such change to the PJM rate for these charges as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

Kilowatt threshold noted above is based upon the customer's Peak Load Share of the overall summer peak load assigned to Public Service by the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Office of the Interconnection (PJM). See Section 9.1, Measurement of Electric Service, of the Standard Terms and Conditions of this Tariff.

# BASIC GENERATION SERVICE - FIXED PRICING (BGS-FP) ELECTRIC SUPPLY CHARGES 

## (Continued)

BGS CAPACITY CHARGES:
Applicable to Rate Schedules GLP and LPL-Sec.
Charges per kilowatt of Generation Obligation:
Charge applicable in the months of June through September \$ 4.8077
Charge including New Jersey Sales and Use Tax (SUT)
\$ 5.1442
Charge applicable in the months of October through May.
\$ 4.7880
Charge including New Jersey Sales and Use Tax (SUT)
\$ 5.1232

The above charges shall recover each customer's share of the overall summer peak load assigned to the Public Service Transmission Zone by the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) as adjusted by PJM assigned capacity related factors and shall be in accordance with Section 9.1, Measurement of Electric Service, of the Standard Terms and Conditions.

## BGS TRANSMISSION CHARGES

Applicable to Rate Schedules GLP and LPL-Sec.
Charges per kilowatt of Transmission Obligation:
Currently effective Annual Transmission Rate for
Network Integration Transmission Service for the
Public Service Transmission Zone as stated in the
FERC Electric Tariff of the PJM Interconnection, LLC ............... \$ 18,054.72 per MW per year
PJM Seams Elimination Cost Assignment Charges .................................. $\$ 0.00$ per MW per month
PJM Reliability Must Run Charge.............................................................. $\$ 0.00$ per MW per month
PJM Transmission Enhancements
Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company ................................... $\$ 25.09$ per MW per month
Virginia Electric and Power Company .............................................. $\$ 0.36$ per MW per month
Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline L.L.C...................... \$ 17.46 per MW per month
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation .................................................... \$ 2.11 per MW per month
American Electric Power Service Corporation ................................ \$ 0.53 per MW per month
Atlantic City Electric Company. ...................................................... $\$ 7.26$ per MW per month
Delmarva Power and Light Company............................................. $\$ 0.84$ per MW per month
Potomac Electric Power Company................................................. $\$ 5.89$ per MW per month

Above rates converted to a charge per KW of Transmission
Obligation, applicable in all months..................................................................... \$1.5642
Charge including New Jersey Sales and Use Tax (SUT) ....................................................................................................... $1 .{ }^{2}$
The above charges shall recover each customer's share of the overall summer peak transmission load assigned to the Public Service Transmission Zone by the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) as adjusted by PJM assigned transmission capacity related factors and shall be in accordance with Section 9.1, Measurement of Electric Service, of the Standard Terms and Conditions. These charges will be changed from time to time on the effective date of such change to the PJM rate for charges for Network Integration Transmission Service, including the PJM Seams Elimination Cost Assignment Charges, the PJM Reliability Must Run Charge and PJM Transmission Enhancement Charges as approved by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

Date of Issue:
Effective:
Issued by FRANCES I. SUNDHEIM, Vice President and Corporate Rate Counsel
80 Park Plaza, Newark, New Jersey 07102
Filed pursuant to Order of Board of Public Utilities dated in Docket Nos.

# BASIC GENERATION SERVICE - COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL ENERGY PRICING (CIEP) ELECTRIC SUPPLY CHARGES 

(Continued)

## BGS TRANSMISSION CHARGES

## Charges per kilowatt of Transmission Obligation:

## Currently effective Annual Transmission Rate for

Network Integration Transmission Service for the
Public Service Transmission Zone as stated in the
FERC Electric Tariff of the PJM Interconnection, LLC \$ 18,054.72 per MW per year
PJM Seams Elimination Cost Assignment Charges ................................... $\$ 0.00$ per MW per month
PJM Reliability Must Run Charge............................................................... $\$ 0.00$ per MW per month
PJM Transmission Enhancements
Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company .................................... \$ 25.09 per MW per month
Virginia Electric and Power Company ............................................... \$ 0.36 per MW per month
Potomac-Appalachian Transmission Highline L.L.C. ..................... \$ 17.46 per MW per month
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation .................................................... \$ 2.11 per MW per month
American Electric Power Service Corporation ................................. \$ 0.53 per MW per month
Atlantic City Electric Company. ........................................................ \$ 7.26 per MW per month
Delmarva Power and Light Company............................................... \$ 0.84 per MW per month
Potomac Electric Power Company................................................... \$ 5.89 per MW per month
Above rates converted to a charge per kW of Transmission
Obligation, applicable in all months....................................................................... $\$ 1.5642$
Charge including New Jersey Sales and Use Tax (SUT) ................................................... \$ 1.6737
The above charges shall recover each customer's share of the overall summer peak transmission load assigned to the Public Service Transmission Zone by the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) as adjusted by PJM assigned transmission capacity related factors and shall be in accordance with Section 9.1, Measurement of Electric Service, of the Standard Terms and Conditions. These charges will be changed from time to time on the effective date of such charge to the PJM rate for charges for Network Integration Transmission Service, including the PJM Seams Elimination Cost Assignment Charges, the PJM Reliability Must Run Charge and PJM Transmission Enhancement Charges as approved by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

Kilowatt threshold noted above is based upon the customer's Peak Load Share of the overall summer peak load assigned to Public Service by the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Office of the Interconnection (PJM). See Section 9.1, Measurement of Electric Service, of the Standard Terms and Conditions of this Tariff.

## SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 1

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE (Continued)
RATE - SIX PART - MONTHLY: (Continued)
(3) Iransmission Charge
A. These charges apply to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company. These charges are also applicable to customers located in the Company's Central and Western Divisions and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. These charges are not applicable to customers located in the Company's Eastern Division and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. The Company's Eastern, Central and Western Divisions are defined in General Information Section No. 1.

Summer Months*
First 250 kWh...................@
Over 250 kWh . $\qquad$
@
B. Iransmission Surcharge - This charge is applicable to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company and includes surcharges related to Reliability Must Run and Transmission Enhancement Charges.
All kWh
0.135 ¢ per kWh
0.135 ¢ per kWh
(4) Societal Benefits Charge

In accordance with General Information Section 29, a Societal Benefits Charge shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(5) Securitization Charges

In accordance with General Information Section 32, the Securitization Charges shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(6) Basic Generation Service

Customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company will be billed for such service in accordance with General Information Section No. 28.

## * Definition of Summer Billing Months June through September

(Continued)
ISSUED:
EFFECTIVE:
ISSUED BY: William Longhi, President

## SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 2

GENERAL SERVICE (Continued)
RATE - SIX PART - MONTHLY: (Continued)
(2) Distribution Charges (Continued)

Primary Voltage Service Only
Over 60,000 kWh or 300 hours use of demand, whichever is greater..................................@

Summer Months*

Other Months
1.348 ¢ per kWh
(3) Iransmission Charges
A. These charges apply to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company. These charges are also applicable to customers located in the Company's Central and Western Divisions and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. These charges are not applicable to customers located in the Company's Eastern Division and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. The Company's Eastern, Central and Western Divisions are defined in General Information Section No. 1.

|  | Summer Months* | Other Months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand Charge |  |  |
| First 5 kW or less.............@ | No Charge | No Charge |
| Over 5 kW....................@ | \$1.38 per kW | \$1.19 per kW |
| Usage Charge |  |  |
| First 4,920 kWh...............@ | 0.552 ¢ per kWh | 0.552 ¢ per kWh |
| Over 4,920 kWh..............@ | 0.552 ¢ per kWh | 0.552 ¢ per kWh |
| Primary Voltage Service Only |  |  |
| Over 60,000 kWh or 300 hours use of demand, whichever is |  |  |
| greater .........................@ | 0.552 ¢ per kWh | 0.552 ¢ per kWh |

B. Transmission Surcharge - This charge is applicable to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company and includes surcharges related to Reliability Must Run and Transmission Enhancement Charges.

Secondary Voltage Service Only
All kWh $0.087 ¢$ per kWh $0.087 ¢$ per kWh
Primary Voltage Service Only
All kWh
0.056 ¢ per kWh $0.056 \$$ per kWh

ISSUED BY: William Longhi, President

## SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 3 <br> RESIDENTIAL TIME-OF-DAY HEATING SERVICE (Continued)

RATE - SIX PART - MONTHLY: (Continued)
(3) Iransmission Charge (Continued)
A. (Continued)

Summer Months* Other Months

## Peak

All kWh measured between 10:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., Monday through Friday..........................@ 0.811 ¢ per kWh 0.811 ¢ per kWh

Off-Peak:
All other kWh.........................@ 0.811 ¢ per kWh 0.811 ¢ per kWh
B. Iransmission Surcharge - This charge is applicable to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company and includes surcharges related to Reliability Must Run and Transmission Enhancement Charges.
All kWh. $\qquad$ 0.116
¢ per kWh
0.116 ¢ per kWh
(4) Societal Benefits Charge

In accordance with General Information Section 29, a Societal Benefits Charge shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(5) Securitization Charges

In accordance with General Information Section 32, the Securitization Charges shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(6) Basic Generation Service

Customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company will be billed for such service in accordance with General Information Section No. 28.

In accordance with Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, the charges in this Rate Schedule include provision for the New Jersey Corporation Business Tax, the New Jersey Sales and Use Tax, and until it expires, a temporary Transitional Energy Facility Assessment. When billed to customers exempt from one or more of these taxes, as set forth in Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, such charges will be reduced by the relevant amount of such taxes included therein.

## * Definition of Summer Billing Months

June through September

## SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 5 RESIDENTIAL SPACE HEATING SERVICE (Continued)

## RATE - SIX PART - MONTHLY: (Continued)

(3) Iransmission Charge
A. These charges apply to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company. These charges are also applicable to customers located in the Company's Central and Western Divisions and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. These charges are not applicable to customers located in the Company's Eastern Division and obtaining Competitive Energy Supply. The Company's Eastern, Central and Western Divisions are defined in General Information Section No. 1.

## Summer Months* Other Months

| First 250 kWh |  | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Next 450 kWh | ..@ | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh |
| Over 700 kWh | @ | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh | 0.794 | ¢ per kWh |

B. Transmission Surcharge - This charge is applicable to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company and includes surcharges related to Reliability Must Run and Transmission Enhancement Charges.

$$
\text { All kWh ..............................@ } 0.071 \text { ¢ per kWh } 0.071 \text { ¢ per kWh }
$$

(4) Societal Benefits Charge

In accordance with General Information Section 29, a Societal Benefits Charge shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(5) Securitization Charges

In accordance with General Information Section 32, the Securitization Charges shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(6) Basic Generation Service

Customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company will be billed for such service in accordance with General Information Section No. 28.

In accordance with Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, the charges in this Rate Schedule include provision for the New Jersey Corporation Business Tax, the New Jersey Sales and Use Tax, and until it expires, a temporary Transitional Energy Facility Assessment. When billed to customers exempt from one or more of these taxes, as set forth in Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, such charges will be reduced by the relevant amount of such taxes included therein.

* Definition of Summer Billing Months June through September

RATE - SEVEN PART - MONTHLY: (Continued)
(3) Transmission Charges (Continued)
B. Iransmission Surcharge - This charge is applicable to all customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company and includes surcharges related to Reliability Must Run and Transmission Enhancement Charges.
Primary
All Periods All kWh @ $0.094 \quad$ ¢ per kWh
High Voltage Distribution
0.094 \& per kWh
(4) Societal Benefits Charge

In accordance with General Information Section 29, a Societal Benefits Charge shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(5) Securitization Charges

In accordance with General Information Section 32, the Securitization Charges shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(6) CIEP Standby Fee

In accordance with General Information Section 28A, a CIEP Standby Fee shall be assessed on all kWh delivered hereunder.
(7) Basic Generation Service

Customers taking Basic Generation Service from the Company will be billed for such service in accordance with General Information Section No. 28.

In accordance with Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, the charges in this Rate Schedule include provision for the New Jersey Corporation Business Tax, the New Jersey Sales and Use Tax, and until it expires, a temporary Transitional Energy Facility Assessment. When billed to customers exempt from one or more of these taxes, as set forth in Riders CBT, SUT and TEFA, such charges will be reduced by the relevant amount of such taxes included therein.

Attachment 2a
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 TrailCo Schedule 12 Charges
Attachment 2b
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 Delmarva Schedule 12 Charges
Attachment 2c
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 ACE Schedule 12 Charges
Attachment 2d
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 PEPCo Schedule 12 Charges
Attachment 2e
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 PPL Schedule 12 Charges
Attachment 2f
Cost Allocation of 2009/2010 AEP-East Schedule 12 Charges

## Attachment 2a

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Allegheny TrAILCo Projects
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
(j)

|  |  |  |  | Responsib | Custome | Schedule | ppendix |  | ted New Je | ey EDC Zon | harges by P | ject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Required Transmission Enhancement per PJM website | $\begin{gathered} \text { PJM } \\ \text { Upgrade ID } \\ \text { per PJM spreadsheet } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2009- May 2010 <br> ual Revenue <br> quirement <br> PJM website | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACE } \\ \text { Zone } \\ \text { Share }^{1} \\ \quad \text { per PJ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Open Acce | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Transmissi | RE <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Tariff | ACE <br> Zone Charges | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Charges | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Charges | RE <br> Zone <br> Charges | Total NJ Zones Charges |
| Prexy - 502 Junction (<500kV) - CWIP | b0321.2; b0321.3 | \$ | 823,160.84 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Prexy-502 Junction (>=500kV) - CWIP ${ }^{1}$ | b0321.1 | \$ | 575,637.10 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$10,880 | \$25,904 | \$43,806 | \$1,784 | \$82,374 |
| 502 Junction-Mt StormMeadowbrook | $\begin{aligned} & \text { b0328.2; b0347.1; } \\ & \text { b0347.2; b0347.3; } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (>=500kV) - CWIP ${ }^{1}$ | b0347.4 | \$ | 31,308,738.36 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$591,735 | \$1,408,893 | \$2,382,595 | \$97,057 | \$4,480,280 |
| Wylie Ridge | b0218 | \$ | 2,327,876.21 | 11.83\% | 15.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | \$275,388 | \$362,218 | \$0 | \$0 | \$637,605 |
| Black Oak | b0216 | \$ | 9,089,137.18 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$171,785 | \$409,011 | \$691,683 | \$28,176 | \$1,300,656 |
| N Shenandoah Txfmr | b0323 | \$ | 227,993.61 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Meadowbrook Txfmr | b0230 | \$ | 1,003,886.47 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Meadowbrook 200 MVAR capacitor | b0559 | \$ | 77,998.22 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$1,474 | \$3,510 | \$5,936 | \$242 | \$11,162 |
| Bedington 500/138 KV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TXfmr | b0229 | \$ | 720,577.68 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Replace Kammer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 765/500 kV TXfmr | b0495 | \$ | 1,107,040.49 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$20,923 | \$49,817 | \$84,246 | \$3,432 | \$158,417 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,072,184 | \$2,259,352 | \$3,208,266 | \$130,692 | \$6,670,494 |
| Notes on calculations >>> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * $(\mathrm{b})$ | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * (c) | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * (d) | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * $(\mathrm{e})$ | $\begin{gathered} =(\mathrm{f})+(\mathrm{g})+ \\ (\mathrm{h})+(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Notes on calculations >>>

$$
=(\mathrm{k}) *(\mathrm{l}) \quad=(\mathrm{k}) * 7 \quad=(\mathrm{k}) * 5 \quad=(\mathrm{n}) *(\mathrm{o})
$$

## Notes:

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns b-e) from PJM OATT Sheets $270 \mathrm{~F}-270 \mathrm{~F} .01 \mathrm{i}$
2) PJM Settlement for "Below 500kV" filed in September 2007 FERC and still pending.
3) Allocation share percentages for Merchant Transmission Owners pending an ALJ decision after hearing which could affect all other allocation share percentages
(columns b-e above - past, present and future).

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Eighth Revised Sheet No. 270F
FERC Electric Tariff
Superseding 2nd Sub Seventh Revised Sheet No. 270F
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1
(14) Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power
Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0216 | Install -100/+525 MVAR dynamic reactive device at Black Oak | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE ( $0.31 \%$ ) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0218 | Install third Wylie Ridge $500 / 345 \mathrm{kV}$ transformer | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (11.83\%) / DPL (19.39\%) / Dominion (13.81\%) / JCPL (15.56\%) / PECO (39.41\%) |
| b0220 | Upgrade coolers on Wylie Ridge 500/345 kV \#7 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC }(11.83 \%) \text { / DPL }(19.39 \%) / \\ \text { Dominion (13.81\%) / JCPL } \\ (15.56 \%) / \text { PECO }(39.41 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0229 | Install fourth Bedington 500/138 kV |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { APS (50.98\%) / BGE (13.42\%) / } \\ \text { DPL (2.03\%) / Dominion (14.50\%) / } \\ \text { ME (1.43\%) / PEPCO (17.64\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| b0230 | Install fourth Meadowbrook $500 / 138 \mathrm{kV}$ | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | APS (79.16\%) / BGE (3.61\%) / DPL ( $0.86 \%$ ) / Dominion ( $11.75 \%$ ) / ME (0.67\%) / PEPCO (3.95\%) |

[^1]Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)



* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.
$\dagger$ Cost allocations associated with Regional Facilities and Necessary Lower Voltage Facilities associated with the project
$\dagger \dagger$ Cost allocations associated with below 500 kV elements of the project

Issued By: Craig Glazer
Effective: January 1, 2009
Vice President, Federal Government Policy
Issued On: December 30, 2008

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 270F.01a
Superseding 2nd Sub Second Revised Sheet No. 270F.01a

## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0322 | Convert <br> substation <br> operation Lime <br> to Kiln <br> kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0323 | Replace the North <br> Shenandoah <br> transformer $138 / 115$ kV | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | APS (100\%) |
| b0328.2 | Build new Meadow Brook Loudoun 500 kV circuit (20 of 50 miles) | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) ) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0343 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#2 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.85\%) / BGE (21.49\%) / DPL } \\ (3.91 \%) \text { / Dominion }(28.86 \%) / \mathrm{ME} \\ (2.97 \%) \text { / PECO }(5.73 \%) \text { / PEPCO } \\ (35.19 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0344 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#3 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.86\%) / BGE (21.50\%) / DPL } \\ (3.91 \%) \text { / Dominion (28.82\%) / ME } \\ (2.97 \%) \text { / PECO (5.74\%) / PEPCO } \\ (35.20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0345 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#4 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AEC }(1.85 \%) \text { / BGE }(21.49 \%) \text { / DPL } \\ (3.90 \%) / \text { Dominion }(28.83 \%) \text { / ME } \\ (2.98 \%) \text { / PECO }(5.75 \%) / \text { PEPCO } \\ (35.20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.


## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement
Responsible Customer(s)

| b0347.1 | Build new Mt. Storm - 502 Junction 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.2 | Build new Mt. Storm Meadow Brook 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) <br> / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) <br> / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%)$ DL (2.02\%) / DPL <br> (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) <br> / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) <br> / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC (2.06\%) / <br> PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL <br> $(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG (7.61\%) / RE <br> $(0.31 \%) /$ ECP** $^{(0.24 \%)}$ |

[^2]
## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required T | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.3 | Build new 502 Junction 500 <br> kV substation | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%)$ / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) / \operatorname{PSEG}(7.61 \%)$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) / \mathrm{ECP} * * \\ (0.24 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0347.4 | Upgrade Meadow Brook 500 <br> kV substation | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton |
|  |  |  | (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** |
|  |  |  | (0.24\%) |
| b0347.5 | Replace Harrison 500 kV breaker HL-3 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton |
|  |  |  | (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** |
|  |  |  | (0.24\%) |
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.6 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-6 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / } \\ \text { APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / } \\ \text { ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton } \\ (2.50 \%) \text { / DL (2.02\%) / DPL } \\ (2.85 \%) \text { / Dominion (13.61\%) / } \\ \text { JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / } \\ \text { NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO } \\ \text { (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / } \\ \text { PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) } \\ \text { / PSEG (7.61\% ) / RE (0.31\%) / } \\ \text { ECP** (0.24\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.7 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-7 |  |  |
| b0347.8 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-8 |  |  |
| b0347.9 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-10 |  |  |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.10 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-1 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ <br> ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ <br> / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%)$ / <br> ECP** $(0.24 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.11 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-3 |  |  |
| b0347.12 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-4 |  |  |
| b0347.13 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-6 |  | AEC $(1.89 \%) /$ AEP $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) / \operatorname{ME~}(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |

[^4]Issued By: Craig Glazer
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.14 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-7 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE (4.95\%) / <br> ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ <br> / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ <br> ECP** $(0.24 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.15 | Upgrade (per ABB <br> Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV <br> breakers HFL-9 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS $(6.02 \%)$ / BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)



* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.
$\dagger$ Cost allocations associated with Regional Facilities and Necessary Lower Voltage Facilities associated with the project
$\dagger \dagger$ Cost allocations associated with below 500 kV elements of the project
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0322 | Convert <br> substation <br> operation Lime <br> to Kiln <br> kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0323 | Replace the North <br> Shenandoah <br> transformer $138 / 115$ kV | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | APS (100\%) |
| b0328.2 | Build new Meadow Brook Loudoun 500 kV circuit (20 of 50 miles) | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) ) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0343 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#2 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.85\%) / BGE (21.49\%) / DPL } \\ (3.91 \%) \text { / Dominion }(28.86 \%) / \mathrm{ME} \\ (2.97 \%) \text { / PECO }(5.73 \%) \text { / PEPCO } \\ (35.19 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0344 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#3 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.86\%) / BGE (21.50\%) / DPL } \\ (3.91 \%) \text { / Dominion (28.82\%) / ME } \\ (2.97 \%) \text { / PECO (5.74\%) / PEPCO } \\ (35.20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0345 | Replace Doubs 500/230 kV transformer \#4 | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AEC }(1.85 \%) \text { / BGE }(21.49 \%) \text { / DPL } \\ (3.90 \%) / \text { Dominion }(28.83 \%) \text { / ME } \\ (2.98 \%) \text { / PECO }(5.75 \%) / \text { PEPCO } \\ (35.20 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.


## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement
Responsible Customer(s)

| b0347.1 | Build new Mt. Storm - 502 Junction 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.2 | Build new Mt. Storm Meadow Brook 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) <br> / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) <br> / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%)$ DL (2.02\%) / DPL <br> (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) <br> / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) <br> / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC (2.06\%) / <br> PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL <br> $(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG (7.61\%) / RE <br> $(0.31 \%) /$ ECP** $^{(0.24 \%)}$ |

[^5]
## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required T | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.3 | Build new 502 Junction 500 <br> kV substation | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%)$ / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) / \operatorname{PSEG}(7.61 \%)$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) / \mathrm{ECP} * * \\ (0.24 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0347.4 | Upgrade Meadow Brook 500 <br> kV substation | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-18B, Section 1.b | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton |
|  |  |  | (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** |
|  |  |  | (0.24\%) |
| b0347.5 | Replace Harrison 500 kV breaker HL-3 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) |
|  |  |  | / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) |
|  |  |  | / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton |
|  |  |  | (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL |
|  |  |  | (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) |
|  |  |  | / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) |
|  |  |  | / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** |
|  |  |  | (0.24\%) |
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.6 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-6 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / } \\ \text { APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / } \\ \text { ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton } \\ (2.50 \%) \text { / DL (2.02\%) / DPL } \\ (2.85 \%) \text { / Dominion (13.61\%) / } \\ \text { JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / } \\ \text { NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO } \\ \text { (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / } \\ \text { PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) } \\ \text { / PSEG (7.61\% ) / RE (0.31\%) / } \\ \text { ECP** (0.24\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.7 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-7 |  |  |
| b0347.8 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-8 |  |  |
| b0347.9 | Upgrade (per ABB inspection) breaker HL-10 |  |  |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.10 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-1 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ <br> ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ <br> / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%)$ / <br> ECP** $(0.24 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.11 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-3 |  |  |
| b0347.12 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-4 |  |  |
| b0347.13 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-6 |  | AEC $(1.89 \%) /$ AEP $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) / \operatorname{ME~}(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0347.14 | Upgrade (per ABB Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV breakers HFL-7 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE (4.95\%) / <br> ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ <br> / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ <br> ECP** $(0.24 \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0347.15 | Upgrade (per ABB <br> Inspection) Hatfield 500 kV <br> breakers HFL-9 |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS $(6.02 \%)$ / BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0348 | Upgrade Stonewall - Inwood 138 kV with 954 ACSR conductor |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0373 | Convert Doubs - Monocacy 138 kV facilities to 230 kV operation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.82\%) / APS } \\ (76.84 \%) / \text { DPL }(2.64 \%) \text { / } \\ \text { JCPL (4.53\%) / ME (9.15\%) } \\ \text { / Neptune* (0.42\%) / PPL } \\ (4.60 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0393 | Replace terminal equipment at Harrison 500 kV and Belmont 500 kV |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP ( $17.30 \%$ ) / APS (6.02\%) BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) DL (2.02\%) / DPL ( $2.85 \%$ ) Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** ( $0.24 \%$ ) |
| b0406.1 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#4 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.2 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#5 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.3 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#2 transf" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.4 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#3 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.5 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "Charlerio \#2" |  | APS (100\%) |

[^8]
## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0348 | Upgrade Stonewall - Inwood 138 kV with 954 ACSR conductor |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0373 | Convert Doubs - Monocacy 138 kV facilities to 230 kV operation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.82\%) / APS } \\ (76.84 \%) / \text { DPL }(2.64 \%) \text { / } \\ \text { JCPL (4.53\%) / ME (9.15\%) } \\ \text { / Neptune* (0.42\%) / PPL } \\ (4.60 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0393 | Replace terminal equipment at Harrison 500 kV and Belmont 500 kV |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP ( $17.30 \%$ ) / APS (6.02\%) BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) DL (2.02\%) / DPL ( $2.85 \%$ ) Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** ( $0.24 \%$ ) |
| b0406.1 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#4 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.2 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#5 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.3 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#2 transf" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.4 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#3 bank" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.5 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "Charlerio \#2" |  | APS (100\%) |

[^9]Sixth Revised Volume No. 1
Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required | ansmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0406.6 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "Charlerio \#1" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.7 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "Shepler Hill Jct" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.8 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "Union Jct" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0406.9 | Replace Mitchell 138 kV breaker "\#1-2 138 kV bus tie" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.1 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "\#1 transf" |  | APS (100\%) |

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0407.2 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "MBO" |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0407.3 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "BMA" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.4 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "BMR" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.5 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "WC-1" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.6 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "R11" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.7 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "W" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.8 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker " 138 kV bus tie" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0408.1 | Replace Trissler 138 kV breaker "Belmont 604" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0408.2 | Replace Trissler 138 kV breaker "Edgelawn 90" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0409.1 | Replace Weirton 138 kV breaker "Wylie Ridge 210" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0409.2 | Replace Weirton 138 kV breaker "Wylie Ridge 216" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0410 | Replace Glen Falls 138 kV breaker "McAlpin 30" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0417 | Reconductor Mitchell Shepler Hill Junction 138kV with 954 ACSR |  | APS (100\%) |

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
FERC Electric Tariff
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## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

Required Transmission Enhancements Annual Revenue Requirement Responsible Customer(s)

| b0407.2 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "MBO" |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0407.3 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "BMA" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.4 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "BMR" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.5 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "WC-1" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.6 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "R11" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.7 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker "W" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0407.8 | Replace Marlowe 138 kV breaker " 138 kV bus tie" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0408.1 | Replace Trissler 138 kV breaker "Belmont 604" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0408.2 | Replace Trissler 138 kV breaker "Edgelawn 90" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0409.1 | Replace Weirton 138 kV breaker "Wylie Ridge 210" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0409.2 | Replace Weirton 138 kV breaker "Wylie Ridge 216" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0410 | Replace Glen Falls 138 kV breaker "McAlpin 30" |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0417 | Reconductor Mitchell Shepler Hill Junction 138kV with 954 ACSR |  | APS (100\%) |

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Second Revised Sheet No. 270F.01g
FERC Electric Tariff
Superseding 2nd Sub 1st Revised Sheet No. 270F.01g
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required | mission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0418 | Install a breaker failure autorestoration scheme at Cabot 500 kV for the failure of the \#6 breaker |  |  |
| b0419 | Install a breaker failure autorestoration scheme at Bedington 500 kV for the failure of the \#1 and \#2 breakers |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0420 | Operating Procedure to open the Black Oak 500/138 kV transformer \#3 for the loss of Hatfield - Ronco 500 kV and the Hatfield \#3 Generation |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0445 | Upgrade substation equipment and reconductor the Tidd - Mahans Lane Weirton 138 kV circuit with 954 ACSR |  | APS (100\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.


## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0460 | Raise limiting structures on Albright - Bethelboro 138 kV to raise the rating to 175 MVA normal 214 MVA emergency |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0491 | Construct an Amos Bedington 765 kV circuit (APS equipment) | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-19B | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0492 | Construct a Bedington Kemptown 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in <br> Attachment H-19B | AEC $(1.89 \%) /$ AEP $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) / \operatorname{PENELEC}(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE~}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |
| b0492.3 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-26 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0492.4 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-28 |  | APS (100\%) |

[^10]PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
First Revised Sheet No. 270F.01h. 01
FERC Electric Tariff
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0492.5 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-31 |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0495 | Replace existing Kammer 765/500 kV transformer with a new larger transformer |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO ( $6.31 \%$ ) / PENELEC ( $2.06 \%$ ) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0533 | Reconductor the Powell Mountain - Sutton 138 kV line |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0534 | Install a 28.61 MVAR capacitor on Sutton 138 kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0535 | Install a 44 MVAR capacitor on Dutch Fork 138 kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0536 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ1 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0537 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ7 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0538 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ10 |  | APS (100\%) |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1
Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0572.1 | Reconductor Albright - <br> Mettiki - Williams - Parsons <br> Lough Lane 138 kV with <br> 954 ACSR |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b0572.2 | Reconductor Albright - <br> Mettiki - Williams - Parsons <br> Loughs Lane 138 kV with <br> 954 ACSR |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0573 | Reconfigure circuits in Butler <br> -Cabot 138 kV area |  | APS (100\%) |

Issued By: Craig Glazer
Effective: April 5, 2009
Vice President, Federal Government Policy
Issued On: January 5, 2009

FERC Electric Tariff
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C

FERC Electric Tariff
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0797 | Advance n0321 (Replace <br> Doubs Circuit Breaker DJ2) | APS(100\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b0798 | Advance n0322 (Replace <br> Doubs Circuit Breaker DJ3) |  | APS(100\%) |$|$| b0799 | Advance n0323 (Replace <br> Doubs Circuit Breaker DJ6) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Require | Enh | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Custon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0539 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ11 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0540 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ12 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0541 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ13 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0542 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ20 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0543 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ21 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0544 | Remove instantaneous reclose from Eastalco circuit breaker D-26 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0545 | Remove instantaneous reclose from Eastalco circuit breaker D-28 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0559 | Install 200 MVAR capacitor at Meadow Brook 500 kV substation |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) <br> BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) DL (2.02\%) / DPL ( $2.85 \%$ ) Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** ( $0.24 \%$ ) |
| b0560 | Install 250 MVAR capacitor at Kemptown 500 kV substation |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) <br> BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton ( $2.50 \%$ ) DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
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(15) Commonwealth Edison Company and Commonwealth Edison Company of Indiana, Inc.

| Required Transmission Enhancements |  | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0164 | Reconductor Wolfs -  <br> Oswego 138 kV with 636 <br> ACSS    |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0236.1 | Build new West Loop 138 kV substation |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0236.2 | Install two new 345 kV circuits from Crawford and Taylor to West Loop and two new $345 / 138 \mathrm{kV}$ autotransformers at West Loop. |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0299 | Upgrade line 0108 - LaSalle County - Mazon 138 kV with 3.4 miles of 664.8 ACSS |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0301 | Increase capacity of Wolfs Oswego 138 kV line 14304 |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0302 | Dixon - McGirr 138kV Replace small piece of conductor on line 10714 and install $138 \mathrm{kV} \quad \mathrm{CB}$ at Sandwich |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0303 | Install $345 \mathrm{kV} \quad \mathrm{CB}$ and change Elwood 345 kV BT to normally closed |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0304 | Reconductor line 11106 Electric Junction - North Aurora tap 4 miles |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0305 | Normally openEast <br> Frankfort 138 kV red-blue <br> bus tie <br> R |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0306 | Reconductor line Electric <br> Junction - North Aurora <br> (11104 0.3 miles $)$  |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0377 | Install a second Byron Wempletown 345 kV circuit |  | AEC $(0.60 \%) /$ BGE $(1.32 \%) /$ ComEd $(85.95 \%) /$ Dayton $(0.73 \%)$ $/$ DL $(1.01 \%) /$ DPL $(0.87 \%) /$ Dominion $(2.45 \%) /$ JCPL $(1.41 \%)$ $/$ Neptune* $(0.14 \%) /$ PECO $(1.79 \%) /$ PEPCO $(1.20 \%) /$ PSEG $(2.37 \%) /$ RE $(0.09 \%) /$ ECP** $(0.07 \%)$ |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.
Issued By: Craig Glazer
Effective: September 1, 2008
Vice President, Federal Government Policy
Issued On: November 25, 2008
Filed to comply with order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Letter Order, Docket No. ER06-456, et al. (Oct. 15, 2008).

Commonwealth Edison Company and Commonwealth Edison Company of Indiana, Inc. (cont.)

| Requir | Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0379 | Reconductor $10301 \quad \&$ 10302 Lisle-Lombard 138 kV circuits |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0380 | Reconductor 17713 from Burnham - Wildwood and 7611 from Wildwood to the Beverly tap |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0394 | Reconductor 2.8 miles of Wolfs - Frontenac 138 kV line 14310 |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0461 | Install a 115.2 MVAR capacitor at Will County 138 kV |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0462 | Install a 57.6 MVAR capacitor at Joliet 138 kV |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0463 | Install a 115.2 MVAR capacitor at East Frankfort 138 kV |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0464 | Increase capacity of 138 kV line 14304 between Oswego TDC 592 to Montgomery TSS 106 |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0465 | Install a 115.2 MVAR capacitor at Libertyville 138 kV |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0466 | Install a 115.2 MVAR <br> capacitor at Prospect <br> Heights 138 kV   |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0510 | Install two 115.3 MVAR capacitors at Elmhurst 138 kV |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0511 | Reconductor the Pleasant Valley - Woodstock 138 kV line |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0546 | Install a 20 MVAR capacitor at Shorewood substation |  | ComEd (100\%) |
| b0547 | Install a 15 MVAR capacitor at Wilmington substation |  | ComEd (100\%) |

Issued By: Craig Glazer Effective: September 1, 2008
Vice President, Federal Government Policy
Issued On: November 25, 2008
Filed to comply with order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Letter Order, Docket No. ER06-456, et al. (Oct. 15, 2008).
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required | mission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0418 | Install a breaker failure autorestoration scheme at Cabot 500 kV for the failure of the \#6 breaker |  |  |
| b0419 | Install a breaker failure autorestoration scheme at Bedington 500 kV for the failure of the \#1 and \#2 breakers |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0420 | Operating Procedure to open the Black Oak 500/138 kV transformer \#3 for the loss of Hatfield - Ronco 500 kV and the Hatfield \#3 Generation |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0445 | Upgrade substation equipment and reconductor the Tidd - Mahans Lane Weirton 138 kV circuit with 954 ACSR |  | APS (100\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.


## Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| Required | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0460 | Raise limiting structures on Albright - Bethelboro 138 kV to raise the rating to 175 MVA normal 214 MVA emergency |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0491 | Construct an Amos Bedington 765 kV circuit (APS equipment) | As specified under the procedures detailed in Attachment H-19B | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0492 | Construct a Bedington Kemptown 500 kV circuit | As specified under the procedures detailed in <br> Attachment H-19B | AEC $(1.89 \%) /$ AEP $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) / \operatorname{PENELEC}(2.06 \%) /$ PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE~}(0.31 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |
| b0492.3 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-26 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0492.4 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-28 |  | APS (100\%) |
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Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, and West Penn Power Company, all doing business as Allegheny Power (cont.)

| b0492.5 | Replace Eastalco 230 kV breaker D-31 |  | APS (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0495 | Replace existing Kammer 765/500 kV transformer with a new larger transformer |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / Dominion (13.61\%) JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO ( $6.31 \%$ ) / PENELEC ( $2.06 \%$ ) PEPCO (4.82\%) / PPL (5.37\%) PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0533 | Reconductor the Powell Mountain - Sutton 138 kV line |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0534 | Install a 28.61 MVAR capacitor on Sutton 138 kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0535 | Install a 44 MVAR capacitor on Dutch Fork 138 kV |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0536 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ1 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0537 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ7 |  | APS (100\%) |
| b0538 | Replace Doubs circuit breaker DJ10 |  | APS (100\%) |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010 Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Delmarva Projects

## (a)

(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
(i)

|  |  | June 2009- May 2010 <br> Annual Revenue <br> Requirement per PJM website |  | Responsible Customers - Schedule 12 Appendix |  |  |  | Estimated New Jersey EDC Zone Charges by Project |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Required Transmission Enhancement per PJM website | PJM <br> Upgrade ID <br> per PJM spreadsheet |  |  | ACE <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> per PJ | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Open Acc | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Transmiss | RE <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Tariff | ACE <br> Zone Charges | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Charges | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Charges | RE <br> Zone <br> Charges | Total NJ Zones Charges |
| New 500 kV MAPP TX line - Delmarva portion | b0512 | \$ | 1,418,277.00 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | \$26,805 | \$63,822 | \$107,931 | \$4,397 | \$202,955 |
| Red Lion Sub Reconfiguration Totals | b0241.3 | \$ | 2,170,869.00 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 26,805 \end{array}$ | \$0 \$63,822 | \$0 \$107,931 | \$0 \$4,397 | \$0 \$202,955 |

Notes on calculations >>>


Notes on calculations >>>

$$
=(\mathrm{k}) *(\mathrm{l}) \quad=(\mathrm{k}) * 7 \quad=(\mathrm{k}) * 5 \quad=(\mathrm{n}) *(\mathrm{o})
$$

## Notes:

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns b-e) are from PJM OATT sheets 270E. 09


PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

Third Revised Sheet No. 270D. 08
Superseding 3rd Sub 2nd Rev Original Sheet No. 270D. 08

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company (cont.)

| Requir | ansmission Enhancements A | ual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0482 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Rebuild Millsboro - Zoar } \\ \text { REA } 69 \mathrm{kV} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0483 | Replace Church 138/69 kV transformer and add two breakers |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0483.1 | Build Oak Hall - Wattsville 138 kV line |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0483.2 | Add 138/69 kV transformer at Wattsville |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0483.3 | Establish 138 kV bus position at Oak Hall |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0484 | Re-tension Worcester - Berlin 69 kV for $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0485 | Re-tension Taylor - North Seaford 69 kV for $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0494.1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Install a } 2^{\text {nd }} & \text { Red } & \text { Lion } \\ 230 / 138 \mathrm{kV} & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0494.2 | Hares Corner - Relay Improvement |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0494.3 | Reybold $-\quad$ Relay Improvement |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0494.4 | New Castle Improvement |  | DPL (100\%) |
| b0512 | MAPP Project - install new 500 kV transmission from Possum Point to Calvert Cliffs to Salem |  |  |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for ACE Projects


## Notes

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns e,f) are from PJM OATT sheets 270E.08-270E.08c
2) Allocation share pending FERC approval
3) Allocation share percentages for Merchant Transmission Owners pending an ALJ decision after hearing which could affect all other allocation share percentages (columns b-e above - past, present and future).

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
First Revised Sheet No. 270D.02c
FERC Electric Tariff
Superseding Original Sheet No. 270D.02c
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

## (1) Atlantic City Electric Company

| Required | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0135 | Build new Cumberland - Dennis 230 kV circuit which replaces $\quad$ existing Cumberland - Corson 138 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0136 | Install Dennis 230/138 kV transformer, Dennis 150 MVAR SVC and 50 MVAR capacitor |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0137 | Build new Dennis - Corson 138 kV circuit |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0138 | Install Cardiff 230/138 kV transformer and a 50 MVAR capacitor at Cardiff |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0139 | Build new Cardiff - Lewis 138 kV circuit |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0140 | Reconductor Laurel - <br> Woodstown 69 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0141 | Reconductor Monroe - North Central 69 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0265 | Upgrade AE portion of Delco Tap - Mickleton 230 kV circuit |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (89.87\%) / JCPL } \\ \text { (9.48\%) / Neptune* (0.65\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0276 | Replace both Monroe 230/69 <br> kV transformers |  | AEC ( $91.28 \%) /$ PSEG $(8.29 \%) /$ RE $(0.23 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.20 \%)$ |
| b0277 | Install a second Cumberland 230/138 kV transformer |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0281.1 | Install 35 MVAR capacitor at Lake Ave 69 kV substation |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0281.2 | Install 15 MVAR capacitor at Shipbottom 69 kV substation |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0281.3 | Install 8 MVAR capacitors on the AE distribution system |  | AEC (100\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

Issued By: Craig Glazer
Effective: October 21, 2007
Vice President, Federal Government Policy
Issued On: November 14, 2008
Filed to comply with order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Letter Order, Docket No. ER06-456, et al. (Oct. 15, 2008).

## Atlantic City Electric Company (cont.)

| Required | ransmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0142 | Reconductor Landis Minotola 138 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0143 | Reconductor Beckett Paulsboro 69 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0210 | Install a new $500 / 230 \mathrm{kV}$ substation in AEC area. The high side will be tapped on the Salem - East Windsor 500 kV circuit and the low side will be tapped on the Churchtown - Cumberland 230 kV circuit. |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL $(4.50 \%) / \mathrm{ME}(2.18 \%) /$ NEPTUNE $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%)$ / PEPCO $(4.82 \%) /$ PPL $(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG $(7.61 \%) /$ RE $(0.31 \%) /$ ECP* $(0.24 \%) \dagger$ |

[^12]The Annual Revenue Requirement associated with the Transmission Enhancement Charges are set forth and determined in Appendix A to Attachment H-1.

## Atlantic City Electric Company (cont.)

| Required | nsmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0210 | Install a new $500 / 230 \mathrm{kV}$ substation in AEC area, the high side will be tapped on the Salem - East Windsor 500 kV circuit and the low side will be tapped on the Churchtown - Cumberland 230 kV circuit. |  | AEC (65.23\%) / JCPL (25.87\%) / Neptune* $(2.55 \%)$ / PSEG (6.35\%) $\dagger \dagger$ |
| b0211 | Reconductor Union - Corson 138 kV circuit |  | AEC (65.23\%) / JCPL (25.87\%) / Neptune* (2.55\%) / PSEG (6.35\%) |
| b0212 | Substation upgrades at Union and Corson 138 kV |  | AEC (65.23\%) / JCPL (25.87\%) / Neptune* (2.55\%) / PSEG (6.35\%) |
| b0214 | Install 50 MVAR capacitor at Cardiff 230 kV substation |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0576 | Move the Monroe 230/69 kV to Mickleton |  | AEC (100\%) |
| b0744 | Upgrade a strand bus at Mill 138 kV |  | AEC (100\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
$\dagger$ Cost allocations associated with below 500 kV elements of the project
The Annual Revenue Requirement associated with the Transmission Enhancement Charges are set forth and determined in Appendix A to Attachment H-1.

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010 Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for PEPCO Projects
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
(j)


Notes on calculations >>>
$=(\mathrm{a}) *(\mathrm{~b})=(\mathrm{a}) *(\mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{a}) *(\mathrm{~d})=(\mathrm{a}) *(\mathrm{e}) \quad=(\mathrm{f})+(\mathrm{g})+$
(h) + (i)


## Notes:

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns b-e) are from PJM OATT sheets 270F.20a


PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Third Revised Sheet No. 270E.09a
FERC Electric Tariff
Superseding Second Revised Sheet No. 270E.09a
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

## Potomac Electric Power Company (cont.)

| Required | smission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Custon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0367.2 | Reconductor circuit " 23033 " for Dickerson - Quince Orchard 230 kV |  | AEC $(1.78 \%)$ / BGE $(26.52 \%) /$ DPL $(3.25 \%) / \operatorname{JCPL}(2.67 \%) /$ ME $(1.16 \%) /$ Neptune* $(0.25 \%) /$ PECO $(4.79 \%) /$ PEPCO $(52.46 \%)$ / PPL $(3.23 \%) / \operatorname{PSEG}(3.81 \%) /$ $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.08 \%)$ |
| b0375 | Install $0.5 \%$ reactor at Dickerson on the Pleasant View - Dickerson 230 kV circuit |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEC (1.02\%) / BGE (25.42\%) / } \\ \text { DPL }(2.97 \%) \text { / ME (1.72\%) / } \\ \text { PECO }(3.47 \%) \text { / PEPCO }(65.40 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0467.1 | Reconductor the Dickerson Pleasant View 230 kV circuit |  | AEC (1.76\%) / APS (19.70\%) / BGE (22.14\%) / DPL (3.69\%) / JCPL $(0.72 \%) /$ ME $(2.48 \%) /$ Neptune* $(0.03 \%) /$ PECO $(5.54 \%) /$ PEPCO (41.87\%) / PPL $(2.07 \%)$ |
| b0478 | Reconductor the four circuits from Burchess Hill to Palmers Corner |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { APS (1.68\%) / BGE (1.83\%) / } \\ \text { PEPCO ( } 96.49 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| b0496 | Replace existing 500/230 kV transformer at Brighton |  | APS (5.67\%) / BGE (29.68\%) / Dominion (10.91\%) / PEPCO (53.74\%) |
| b0499 | Install third Burches Hill 500/230 kV transformer |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { APS }(3.54 \%) / \text { BGE }(7.31 \%) / \\ \text { PEPCO }(89.15 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| b0512 | MAPP Project - install new 500 kV transmission from Possum Point to Calvert Cliffs to Salem |  |  |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.
The Annual Revenue Requirement associated with the Transmission Enhancement Charges are set forth and determined in Appendix A to Attachment H-9.

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009 - May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for PPL Projects
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
(j)



## Notes:

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns e,f) are from PJM OATT sheets 270E.08-270E.08c
2) Allocations pending FERC approval


## (9) PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

| Required | on Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0074 | Rebuild 12 miles of S . Akron - Berks 230 kV to double circuit, looping Met Ed's S. Lebanon - S. Reading line into Berks; replacement of S. Reading 230 kV breaker 107252 |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0171.2 | Replace wavetrap at Hosensack 500 kV substation to increase rating of Elroy - Hosensack 500 kV |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE (4.95\%) / <br> ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) /$ <br> PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE~}(0.31 \%) /$ <br> $E C P * *(0.24 \%)$ |
| b0172.1 | Replace wave trap at Alburtis 500 kV substation |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS (6.02\%) / BGE (4.95\%) / <br> ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%) /$ <br> PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE~}(0.31 \%) /$ <br> $\operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |

[^13]PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Third Revised Sheet No. 270E.08a
FERC Electric Tariff
Superseding 2nd Sub Second Revised Sheet No. 270E.08a
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (cont.)

| Required | smission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0284.2 | Replace two wave traps at Juniata 500 kV - on the two Juniata - Airydale 500 kV |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) <br> BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) DL (2.02\%) / DPL ( $2.85 \%$ ) / Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0293.1 | Replace wavetrap at the Martins Creek 230 kV bus |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0293.2 | Raise the operating temperature of the 2-1590 ACSR to 140 C for the Martins Creek - Portland 230 kV circuit |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0378 | Install a 3000 A disconnect switch at Alburtis 230 kV bus |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0440 | Spare Juniata $500 / 230 \mathrm{kV}$ transformer |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0468 | Build a new substation with two 150 MVA transformers between Dauphin and Hummelstown 230/69 kV substations by sectionalizing the Middletown Junction New Lebanon 230 kV line |  | JCPL (4.56\%) / Neptune* (0.19\%) / PECO (1.79\%) PENELEC ( $0.33 \%$ ) / PPL (86.87\%) / ECP** (0.09\%) / PSEG (5.95\%) / RE (0.22\%) |
| b0469 | Install 130 MVAR  <br> capacitor at West <br> Shore   230 kV line |  | PPL (100\%) |

* Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
** East Coast Power, L.L.C.


## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (cont.)

| Required Transmission Enhancements |  | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0487 | Build new 500 kV transmission facilities from Susquehanna Pennsylvania - New Jersey border at Bushkill |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP |
|  |  |  | (17.30\%) / APS (6.02\%) / |
|  |  |  | BGE (4.95\%) / ComEd |
|  |  |  | (14.97\%) / Dayton (2.50\%) / |
|  |  |  | DL (2.02\%) / DPL (2.85\%) / |
|  |  |  | Dominion (13.61\%) / JCPL |
|  |  |  | (4.50\%) / ME (2.18\%) / |
|  |  |  | NEPTUNE* (0.49\%) / |
|  |  |  | PECO (6.31\%) / PENELEC |
|  |  |  | (2.06\%) / PEPCO (4.82\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (5.37\%) / PSEG |
|  |  |  | (7.61\%) / RE (0.31\%) / |
|  |  |  | ECP** (0.24\%) |
| b0500.1 | Conastone - Otter Creek 230 kV - Reconductor approximately 17.2 miles of 795 kcmil ACSR with new 795 kcmil ACSS operated at 160 deg C |  | AEC (6.33\%) / DPL (8.74\%) |
|  |  |  | / JCPL (14.68\%) / ME |
|  |  |  | (10.69\%) / Neptune* |
|  |  |  | (0.69\%) / PECO (15.81\%) / |
|  |  |  | PPL (21.23\%) / ECP** |
|  |  |  | (0.29\%) / PSEG (20.76\%) / |
|  |  |  | RE (0.78\%) |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (cont.)

| Requir | , | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0558 | Install 250 MVAR capacitor at Juniata 500 kV substation |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP <br> $(17.30 \%) /$ APS $(6.02 \%) /$ <br> BGE $(4.95 \%) / \mathrm{ComEd}$ <br> $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton $(2.50 \%) /$ <br> DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL $(2.85 \%) /$ <br> Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ JCPL <br> $(4.50 \%) /$ ME $(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%)$ <br> / PEPCO $(4.82 \%) /$ PPL <br> $(5.37 \%) /$ PSEG $(7.61 \%) /$ RE <br> $(0.31 \%) / \operatorname{ECP}^{* *}(0.24 \%)$ |
| b0593 | Eldred - Pine Grove 69 kV line Rebuild Part 2: 8 miles |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0595 | Rebuild Lackawanna Edella 69 kV line to double circuit |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0596 | Reconductor and rebuild Stanton - Providence 69 kV \#1 and \#2 lines with 69 kV design; approximately 8 miles total |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0597 | Reconductor Suburban Providence $69 \mathrm{kV} \# 1$ and resectionalize the Suburban 69 kV lines |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0598 | Reconductor Suburban Taps \#1 and \#2 for 69 kV line portions |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0600 | Tripp Park Substation: 69 kV tap off Stanton Providence 69 kV line \#3 to new substation |  | PPL (100\%) |
| b0601 | Jessup Substation: New $138 / 69 \mathrm{kV}$ tap off of Peckville - Jackson 138/69 kV line |  | PPL (100\%) |

[^14]Issued By: Craig Glazer
Effective: April 5, 2009
Issued On: January 5, 2009

PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010 Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for AEP -East Projects

## (a)

(b)
(c)
(d)
(e)
(f)
(g)
(h)
(i)
(j)

|  |  |  | Responsible Customers - Schedule 12 Appendix |  |  |  | Estimated New Jersey EDC Zone Charges by Project |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Required Transmission Enhancement per PJM website | $\qquad$ | June 2009- May 2010 Annual Revenue Requirement per PJM website | ACE <br> Zone Share ${ }^{1}$ per PJM | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Open Acce | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Transmission | RE <br> Zone <br> Share ${ }^{1}$ <br> Tariff | ACE <br> Zone Charges | JCP\&L <br> Zone <br> Charges | PSE\&G <br> Zone <br> Charges | RE <br> Zone <br> Charges | Total NJ Zones Charges |
| New 765 KV circuit breakers at Hanging Rock Sub Totals | b0504 | \$ 895,456.00 | 1.89\% | 4.50\% | 7.61\% | 0.31\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,924 \\ & \$ 16,924 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,296 \\ & \$ 40,296 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 68,144 \\ & \$ 68,144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,776 \\ & \$ 2,776 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 $\$ 0$ |
| Notes on calculations | >> |  |  |  |  |  | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * $(\mathrm{b})$ | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * $(\mathrm{c})$ | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * (d) | $=(\mathrm{a})$ * (e) | $\begin{gathered} =(\mathrm{f})+(\mathrm{g})+ \\ (\mathrm{h})+(\mathrm{i}) \end{gathered}$ |



## Notes:

1) 2009 allocation share percentages (columns b-e) are from PJM OATT sheets 270 F .20 a
2) Allocation share percentages for Merchant Transmission Owners pending an ALJ decision after hearing which could affect all other allocation share percentages (columns b-e above - past, present an
(17) AEP East Operating Companies (Appalachian Power Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, Ohio Power Company and Wheeling Power Company) (cont.)

| Required | ransmission Enhancements | Annual Revenue Requirement | Responsible Customer(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b0504 | Add two advanced technology circuit breakers at Hanging Rock 765 kV to improve operational performance |  | AEC (1.89\%) / AEP (17.30\%) / <br> APS $(6.02 \%) /$ BGE $(4.95 \%) /$ <br> ComEd $(14.97 \%) /$ Dayton <br> $(2.50 \%) /$ DL $(2.02 \%) /$ DPL <br> $(2.85 \%) /$ Dominion $(13.61 \%) /$ <br> JCPL $(4.50 \%) / \operatorname{ME~}(2.18 \%) /$ <br> NEPTUNE* $(0.49 \%) /$ PECO <br> $(6.31 \%) /$ PENELEC $(2.06 \%) /$ <br> PEPCO $(4.82 \%) / \operatorname{PPL}(5.37 \%)$ <br> / PSEG $(7.61 \%) / \operatorname{RE}(0.31 \%) /$ <br> $E C P * *(0.24 \%)$ |
| b0570 | Reconductor East Side Lima Sterling 138 kV |  | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{AEP}(41.99 \%) / \operatorname{ComEd} \\ (58.01 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0571 | Reconductor West Millersport <br> - Millersport 138 kV |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEP (73.83\%) / ComEd } \\ (19.26 \%) \text { / Dayton (6.91\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| b0748 | Establish a new 69 kV circuit between the Canal Road and East Wooster stations, establish a new 69 kV circuit between the West Millersburg and Moreland Switch stations (via Shreve), add reactive support via cap banks |  | AEP (100\%) |

*Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
**East Coast Power, L.L.C.

Attachment 3a
Translation of 2009/2010 Schedule 12 Charges into Rates - JCP\&L
Attachment 3b
Translation of 2009/2010 Schedule 12 Charges into Rates - PSE\&G
Attachment 3c
Translation of 2009/2010 Schedule 12 Charges into Rates - RECO

## Attachment 3a-JCP\&L Rate Translation

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed PPL Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (PPL-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved PPL Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009-May 2010

| 2009/2010 Average Monthly PPL-TEC Costs Allocated to JCP\&L Zone | \$ | 13,093.40 | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 JCP\&L Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW) |  | 6299 |  |
| PPL-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month) | \$ | 2.08 |  |

PPL-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Effective July 1, 2009:
Transmission

|  | Transmission Obligation (MW) | Allocated Cost <br> Recovery (\$) (2) | BGS Eligible Sales <br> (kWh) (3) | Effective July 1, 2009: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PPL-TECSurcharge $(\$ / k W h)$ |  | PPL-TEC <br> Surcharge w/ SUT(\$/kWh) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BGS by Voltage Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary (excluding lighting) | 5535.2 | 138,069 | 16,530,397,205 | \$ | 0.000008 | \$ | 0.000009 |
| Primary | 378.4 | 9,439 | 1,818,130,448 | \$ | 0.000005 | \$ | 0.000005 |
| Transmission @ 34.5 kV | 364.5 | 9,092 | 1,700,004,880 | \$ | 0.000005 | \$ | 0.000005 |
| Transmission @ 230 kV | 20.9 | 521 | 326,210,273 | \$ | 0.000002 | \$ | 0.000002 |
| Total | 6299.0 | 157,121 | 20,374,742,806 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of PPL Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
(2) Based on 12 months PPL Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustmen

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer

2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node
17,702,799 MWH
3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation

4 PPL-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers
\$ 121,757 = Line $3 \times \$ 2.08 \times 11$
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates \$/MWH (rounded to 2 decimals)
\$ $\quad 0.01=$ Line $4 /$ Line 2

## Attachment 3

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed AEP-East Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (AEP-East-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved AEP-East Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009 - May 2010

| 2009/2010 Average Monthly AEP-East-TEC Costs Allocated to JCP\&L Zone | \$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2008 JCP\&L Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW) | $3,357.96$ |
| AEP-East-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month) | 6299 |

AEP-East-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Effective July 1, 2009:

| BGS by Voltage Level | Transmission Obligation (MW) | Allocated Cost Recovery (\$) (2) | BGS Eligible Sales <br> (kWh) (3) | Effective July 1, 2009: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ast-TEC <br> e (\$/kWh) |  | East-TEC <br> harge w/ <br> \$/kWh) |
| Secondary (excluding lighting) | 5535.2 | 35,409 | 16,530,397,205 | \$ | 0.000002 | \$ | 0.000002 |
| Primary | 378.4 | 2,421 | 1,818,130,448 | \$ | 0.000001 | \$ | 0.000001 |
| Transmission @ 34.5 kV | 364.5 | 2,332 | 1,700,004,880 | \$ | 0.000001 | \$ | 0.000001 |
| Transmission @ 230 kV | 20.9 | 134 | 326,210,273 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | 6299.0 | 40,296 | 20,374,742,806 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of AEP-East Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
(2) Based on 12 months AEP-East Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustmen

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer

2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node
17,702,799 MWH
3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation

4 AEP-East-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers \$
31,226 = Line $3 \times \$ 0.53 \times 11$
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates \$/MWH (rounded to 2 decimals)

## Attachment 3

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed Delmarva Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Delmarva-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved Delmarva Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009 - May 2010

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of Delmarva Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
(2) Based on 12 months Delmarva Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustmen

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer

2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node
3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation

4 Delmarva-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers \$
49,458 = Line $3 \times \$ 0.84 \times 11$
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates \$/MWH (rounded to 2 decimals)

## Attachment 3

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed ACE Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (ACE-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved ACE Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009 - May 2010

| 2009/2010 Average Monthly ACE-TEC Costs Allocated to JCP\&L Zone | \$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2008 JCP\&L Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW) | $167,188.39$ |
| ACE-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month) | 6299 |

ACE-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Effective July 1, 2009:

| BGS by Voltage Level | Transmission Obligation (MW) | Allocated Cost Recovery (\$) (2) | BGS Eligible Sales <br> (kWh) (3) | ACE-TEC <br> Surcharge (\$/kWh) |  | ACE-TEC Surcharge w/ SUT(\$/kWh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secondary (excluding lighting) | 5535.2 | 1,762,987 | 16,530,397,205 | \$ | 0.000107 | \$ | 0.000114 |
| Primary | 378.4 | 120,522 | 1,818,130,448 | \$ | 0.000066 | \$ | 0.000071 |
| Transmission @ 34.5 kV | 364.5 | 116,095 | 1,700,004,880 | \$ | 0.000068 | \$ | 0.000073 |
| Transmission @ 230 kV | 20.9 | 6,657 | 326,210,273 | \$ | 0.000020 | \$ | 0.000021 |
| Total | 6299.0 | 2,006,261 | 20,374,742,806 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of ACE Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
(2) Based on 12 months ACE Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustmen

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer
16,092,283 MWH

2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node

3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation
17,702,799 MWH
5,325 MW

4 ACE-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers
\$ 1,554,701 = Line $3 \times \$ 26.54 \times 11$
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals)

## Attachment 3

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed PEPCO Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (PEPCO-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved PEPCO Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009 - May 2010

| 2009/2010 Average Monthly PEPCO-TEC Costs Allocated to JCP\&L Zone | \$ | 37,118.40 | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 JCP\&L Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW) |  | 6299 |  |
| PEPCO-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month) | \$ | 5.89 |  |

PEPCO-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Effective July 1, 2009:
Transmission Allocated Cost BGS Eligible Sales

|  | Transmission Obligation (MW) | Allocated Cost Recovery (\$) (2) | BGS Eligible Sales <br> (kWh) (3) | Effective July 1, 2009: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PEPCO-TEC |  | PEPCO-TEC <br> Surcharge w/ <br> SUT(\$/kWh) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BGS by Voltage Level |  |  |  |  | ge (\$/kWh) |  |  |
| Secondary (excluding lighting) | 5535.2 | 391,410 | 16,530,397,205 | \$ | 0.000024 | \$ | 0.000026 |
| Primary | 378.4 | 26,758 | 1,818,130,448 | \$ | 0.000015 | \$ | 0.000016 |
| Transmission @ 34.5 kV | 364.5 | 25,775 | 1,700,004,880 | \$ | 0.000015 | \$ | 0.000016 |
| Transmission @ 230 kV | 20.9 | 1,478 | 326,210,273 | \$ | 0.000005 | \$ | 0.000005 |
| Total | 6299.0 | 445,421 | 20,374,742,806 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of PEPCO Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
(2) Based on 12 months PEPCO Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustmen

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer

2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node
3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation

4 PEPCO-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers
\$ 345,168 = Line $3 \times \$ 5.89 \times 11$
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals)
\$ $\quad 0.02=$ Line $4 /$ Line 2

## Attachment 3

## Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

Proposed TRAILCO Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (TRAILCO3-TEC Surcharge) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved TRAILCO Project Transmission Enhancement Charge (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) effective June 2009 - May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly TRAILCO3-TEC Costs Allocated to JCP\&L Zone 2008 JCP\&L Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)

188,279.36 (1
6299
TRAILCO3-Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)
\$ 29.89

(1) Attachment 5 Cost Allocation of TRAILCO Project Schedule 12 Charges to JCP\&L Zone for 2009/2010
2) Based on 12 months TRAILCO Project costs from June 2009 through May 2010
(3) July 2009 through May 2010

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

## Line No.

1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Customer
16,092,283 MWH
2 BGS-FP Eligible Sales July through May @ Transmission Node
17,702,799 MWH
5,325 MW
3 BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation
\$ 1,750,828 = Line $3 \times \$ 29.89 \times 11$
4 TRAILCO3-Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers
5 Change to Supplier Payment Rates $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals)
\$
0.10 = Line 4 / Line 2

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12-Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company - TrAILCo Projects 2009 Annual Update

TEC Charges for June 2009 - May 2010 PSE\&G Zonal Transmission Load for Effective Yr. (MW)
Term (Months)
OATT rate
converted to $\$ / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}=$
Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in \$/MWh
in cents/kWh - rounded to 4 places

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge in \$/kW/month - rounded to 4 places

GLP
LPL-S
\$
\$ 3,208,265.76
10,654.00
12
25.09 /MW/month $301.08 / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}$
all values show w/o NJ SUT

|  | RLM |  | WH |  | WHS |  | HS |  | PSAL |  | BPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 74.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | 301,068 |  | 4,190 |  | 65 |  | 28,180 |  | 166,110 |  | 327,488 |
| \$ | 0.0749 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.0662 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 0.0075 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.0066 |  | 0 |  | 0 |

Line \#

| 1 | Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ cust |
| 3 | Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ trans nodes |

4 Change in OATT rate * total Trans Obl
5 Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh 35,480,591 MWh
\$ 2,645,861
$0.0746 / \mathrm{MWh}$
0.07 /MWh

## 2,483,641

$(162,220)$
unrounded
unrounded
unrounded rounded to 2 decimal places
unrounded
unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust
$=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl $=(4) /(3)$
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(6)$ * $(3)$
$=(7)-(4)$

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Delmarva Power and Light Company Projects 2009 Annual Update

TEC Charges for June 2009 - May 2010
PSE\&G Zonal Transmission Load for Effective Yr.
(MW)
Term (Months)
OATT rate
converted to $\$ / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}=\$$
Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in \$/MWh

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge in $\$ / k W / m o n t h$ - rounded to 4 places
\$ 107,930.88
10,654.00
12
0.84 /MW/month
10.08 /MW/yr


## Line \#

| 1 | Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ cu |
| 3 | Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ tra |
| 4 |  |
| 5 | Change in OATT rate * total Trans <br> Change in Average Supplier Payme <br> 6 |
| Change in Average Supplier Payme |  |
|  |  |
| 7 | Proposed Total Supplier Payment <br> Difference due to rounding |

8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh $35,480,591 \mathrm{MWh}$

88,582
$0.0025 / \mathrm{MWh}$
/MWh
$\$$
$(88,582)$
unrounded
unrounded
unrounded rounded to 2 decimal places
unrounded
unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust $=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl $=(4) /(3)$
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(6)$ * $(3)$
$=(7)-(4)$

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Atlantic City Electric Projects 2009 Annual Update
PSE\&G Zonal Transmission Load for Effective Yr
(MW)
Term (Months)

OATT rate
\$ 928,057.18
10,654.00
12
7.26 /MW/month
87.12 /MW/yr
RS RHS

WH
WHS
HS
PSAL
BPL
Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in $\$ / M W h$
in cents/kWh - rounded to 4 places

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge
in \$/kW/month - rounded to 4 places
in \$/MWh
in cents/kWh - rounded to 4 places
4522.9

13,496,224
\$

GLP

RLM
RHS
42.1 74.9

301,068
0.0

4,190
0.0
65
6.2
$28,180-0.0$
0.0
66,110

327,488
\$ 0.0292 \$ 0.0198 \$ 0.0217
$0.002 \quad 0.0022$

\$ 0.0192 \$


LPL-S
\$ 0.0073 \$ 0.0073
<< same increase to BGS-CIEP Transmission Obligation Charges

Line \#

1 Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl
2 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ cust 3 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ trans nodes

4 Change in OATT rate * total Trans Obl
5 Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate

Proposed Total Supplier Payment
Difference due to rounding
8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh
35,480,591 MWh

| $\$$ | 765,602 |  | unrounded |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 0.0216 | $/ \mathrm{MWh}$ | unrounded |
| $\$$ | 0.02 | $/ \mathrm{MWh}$ | rounded to 2 decimal places |

709,612
$(55,990)$
unrounded
unrounded
rounded to 2 decimal places
unrounded
unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust
$=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl $=(4) /(3)$
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(7)-(4)$
$=(7)-(4)$

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009 - May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for Potomac Electric Power Company Projects 2009 Annual Update

Term (Months) 12
converted to $\$ / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}=\$$
70.68 /MW/yr

Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in \$/MWh
in cents/kWh - rounded to 4 places

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge in \$/kW/month - rounded to 4 places

|  | RS |  | RHS |  | RLM |  | WH |  | WHS |  | HS |  | PSAL |  | BPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4522.9 |  | 42.1 |  | 74.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | 13,496,224 |  | 185,200 |  | 301,068 |  | 4,190 |  | 65 |  | 28,180 |  | 166,110 |  | 327,488 |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0237 \\ 0.0024 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0161 \\ 0.0016 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0176 \\ 0.0018 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | ${ }^{-} 0$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0156 \\ 0.0016 \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 | \$ | 0 |
|  | GLP |  | LPL-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 0.0059 | \$ | 0.0059 |  |  | << same increase to BGS-CIEP Transmission Obligation Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Line \#

1 Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl

```
3 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ trans nodes
```

4 Change in OATT rate * total Trans Obl 5 Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
6 Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh 35,480,591 MWh

| $\$$ | 621,129 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 0.0175 |

$0.0175 / \mathrm{MWh}$ 0.02 /MWh

## 709,612 <br> 88,483

unrounded
unrounded unrounded rounded to 2 decimal places

## unrounded

unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust $=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl $=(4) /(3)$
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(6)$ * $(3)$
$=(7)-(4)$

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Projects 2009 Annual Update
PSE\&G Zonal Transmission Load for Effective Yr.
(MW)
Term (Months)
TATT (Mon
OATT rate converted to $\$ / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}=\begin{gathered}\$ \\ \$\end{gathered}$
\$ 270,394.27
10,654.00
2.11 / MW/month
$25.32 / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}$
all values show w/o NJ SUT

Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in \$/MWh
in cents/kWh - rounded to 4 places

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge in \$/kW/month - rounded to 4 places

|  | RS |  | RHS |  | RLM |  | WH |  | WHS |  | HS |  | PSAL | BPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4522.9 |  | 42.1 |  | 74.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 13,496,224 |  | 185,200 |  | 301,068 |  | 4,190 |  | 65 |  | 28,180 |  | 166,110 | 327,488 |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0085 \\ 0.0008 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0058 \\ 0.0006 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0063 \\ 0.0006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $0$ | \$ | 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.0056 \\ 0.0006 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $0_{0}^{\$}$ | ${ }^{-} 0$ |
|  | GLP |  | LPL-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 0.0021 | \$ | 0.0021 |  |  | << same increase to BGS-CIEP Transmission Obligation Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Line \#

1 Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl

```
3 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ trans nodes
```

4 Change in OATT rate * total Trans Obl 5 Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
$6 \quad$ Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh 35,480,591 MWh

| $\$$ | 222,510 |
| :--- | ---: |
| \$ | $0.0063 / \mathrm{MWh}$ |

0063 /MWh 0.01 /MWh

354,806
132,296
unrounded
unrounded unrounded rounded to 2 decimal places
unrounded
unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Ob
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust
$=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl = (4) / (3)
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(6)$ * $(3)$
$=(7)-(4)$

Transmission Charge Adjustment - BGS-FP
PJM Schedule 12 - Transmission Enhancement Charges for June 2009-May 2010
Calculation of costs and monthly PJM charges for American Electric Power Service Corporation
PSE\&G Zonal Transmission Load for Effective Yr
(MW)
Term (Months)

OATT rate

|  | 12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| converted to \$/MW/yr $=$ | $\$$ | $0.53 / \mathrm{MW} /$ month |
|  | $6.36 / \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |

all values show w/o NJ SUT
Trans Obl - MW
Total Annual Energy - MWh
Change in energy charge
in \$/MWh
in

Change in Transmission Obligation Charge
in \$/kW/month - rounded to 4 places

|  | RS |  | RHS |  | RLM |  | WH |  | WHS |  | HS |  | PSAL |  | BPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4522.9 |  | 42.1 |  | 74.9 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
|  | 13,496,224 |  | 185,200 |  | 301,068 |  | 4,190 |  | 65 |  | 28,180 |  | 166,110 |  | 327,488 |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0021 \\ 0.0002 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0016 \\ 0.0002 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $0$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
|  | GLP |  | LPL-S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 0.0005 | \$ | 0.0005 |  |  | << same increase to BGS-CIEP Transmission Obligation Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Line \#

1 Total BGS-FP eligbile Trans Obl
2 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ cust
3 Total BGS-FP eligbile energy @ trans nodes
4

Change in OATT rate * total Trans Obl
Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
Change in Average Supplier Payment Rate
8787.9 MW

33,161,817 MWh
35,480,591 MWh
55,891
0.0016 /MWh /MWh
-
$(55,891)$
unrounded
unrounded unrounded rounded to 2 decimal places
unrounded
unrounded
= sum of BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl
= sum of BGS-FP eligible kWh @ cust $=(2)$ * loss expansion factor to trans node
= Change in OATT rate * Total BGS-FP eligible Trans Obl = (4) / (3)
$=(5)$ rounded to 2 decimal places
$=(6)^{*}(3)$
$=(7)-(4)$

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (ACE) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved ACE Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly ACE-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO

| \$ | 1,704 | (1) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 443.2 | (2) |
| \$ | 3.85 |  |

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Col. 1
Col. 2 Col. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 1,704 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. $5=$ Col. $3 /$ Col. 4
Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$
$\square$

| Rate Class | Full Service Transmission Obligation (MW) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Obligation } \\ \text { (Pct) } \end{array}$ |  | Allocated Cost Recovery (1) | Full Service BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 (kWh) |  | Transmission Enhancement Charge (\$/kWh) | Transmission Enhancement Charge w/ SUT (\$/kWh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 12,751 | 701,602,000 | \$ | 0.00002 | \$ | 0.00002 |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 6,115 | 538,938,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 877 | 111,861,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 5 | 271,000 | \$ | 0.00002 | \$ | 0.00002 |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 175 | 18,539,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 531 | 42,835,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| Total | 443.2 (2) | 100.00\% | \$ | 20,454 | 1,425,558,000 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of ACE Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.

| 1 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ trans node (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 3 | BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation | 407 | MW |
| 4 | Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers | $\$$ | $17,223.40$ |
| 5 | Change in Supplier Payment Rate $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals) Line $3 \times \$ 3.85 * 11$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\$$ | 0.01 |

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (AEP-East) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved AEP-East Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly AEP-East-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO
$\$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
231 & (1) \\
443.2 & (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)
\$
0.52

Col. 1
Col. 2 Col. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 231 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. $5=$ Col. $3 /$ Col. 4
Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$

| Rate Class | Full Service Transmission Obligation (MW) | Transmission Obligation (Pct) |  | Allocated Cost Recovery (1) | Full Service BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 <br> (kWh) |  | Transmission Enhancement Charge (\$/kWh) |  | ssion harge kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 1,731 | 701,602,000 | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 830 | 538,938,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 119 | 111,861,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 1 | 271,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 24 | 18,539,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 72 | 42,835,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total | 443.2 (2) | 100.00\% | \$ | 2,777 | 1,425,558,000 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of AEP-East Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.

| 1 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ trans node (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 3 | BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation | 407 | MW |
| 4 | Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers | $\$$ | $2,326.28$ |
| 5 | Change in Supplier Payment Rate $\$ /$ MWH (rounded to 2 decimals) | $\$$ Line $3 \times \$ 0.52 * 11$ |  |

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (Delmarva) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved Delmarva Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly Delmarva-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)
\$
\$

366 (1)
443.2 (2)
0.83

Col. 1
Col. 2 Col. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 366 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. $5=$ Col. $3 /$ Col. 4
Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$
$\square$

| Rate Class |  | Full Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transmission Obligation (MW) | Transmission Obligation (Pct) |  |  | BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 <br> (kWh) |  | Transmission Enhancement Charge (\$/kWh) |  | ssion harge kWh) |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 2,741 | 701,602,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 1,314 | 538,938,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 188 | 111,861,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 1 | 271,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 38 | 18,539,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 114 | 42,835,000 |  | - | \$ | - |
| Total | 443.2 (2) | 100.00\% | \$ | 4,396 | 1,425,558,000 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of Delmarva Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.

| 1 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ trans node (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 3 | BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation | 407 | MW |
| 4 | Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers | $\$$ | $3,713.10$ |
| 5 | Change in Supplier Payment Rate $\$ /$ MWH (rounded to 2 decimals) | $\$$ Line $3 \times \$ 0.83 * 11$ |  |

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (PEPCO) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved PEPCO Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly PEPCO-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO
2,557 (1)

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
443.2 (2)

Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)
\$ 5.77

Col. 1 Col. 2 Col. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 2,557 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. $5=$ Col. $3 /$ Col. $4 \quad$ Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$

| Rate Class | Full Service Transmission Obligation (MW) | Transmission Obligation (Pct) |  | Allocated Cost <br> Recovery (1) | Full Service BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 (kWh) |  | Transmission Enhancement Charge ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) |  | ransmission <br> ent Charge <br> UT (\$/kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 19,129 | 701,602,000 | \$ | 0.00003 | \$ | 0.00003 |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 9,174 | 538,938,000 | \$ | 0.00002 | \$ | 0.00002 |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 1,315 | 111,861,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 7 | 271,000 | \$ | 0.00003 | \$ | 0.00003 |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 263 | 18,539,000 | \$ | 0.00001 | \$ | 0.00001 |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 796 | 42,835,000 | \$ | 0.00002 | \$ | 0.00002 |
| Total | 443.2 (2) | 100.00\% | \$ | 30,684 | 1,425,558,000 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of PEPCO Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.

| 1 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ trans node (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 3 | BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation | 407 | MW |
| 4 | Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers | $\$$ | $25,812.73$ |
| 5 | Change in Supplier Payment Rate $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals) Line $3 \times \$ 5.77 * 11$ |  |  |

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (PPL) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved PPL Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly PPL-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO
916
443.2

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Col. 1
Col. 2 Col. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 916 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. 5 = Col. 3/Col. 4
Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$

| Rate Class | Full Service Transmission Obligation (MW) | Transmission Obligation (Pct) |  | Allocated Cost Recovery (1) | Full Service BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 (kWh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 6,856 | 701,602,000 |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 3,288 | 538,938,000 |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 471 | 111,861,000 |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 2 | 271,000 |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 94 | 18,539,000 |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 285 | 42,835,000 |
| Total | 443.2 (2) | 100.00\% | \$ | 10,996 | 1,425,558,000 |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of PPL Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.
1 BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division)

| $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| ---: | :--- |
| $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 407 | MW |
| $9,260.37$ | $=$ Line $3 \times \$ 2.07$ * 11 |
| 0.01 | $=$ Line 4/Line 2 |

## Rockland Electric Company

Calculation of Transmission Surcharges reflecting changes in Transmission Enhancement Charges (TrAILCo) effective July 1, 2009
To reflect FERC-approved TrailCo Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for the period June 2009 to May 2010

2009/2010 Average Monthly TrAILCo-TEC Costs Allocated to RECO

| $\$$ | 10,891 | $(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 443.2 | $(2)$ |
| $\$$ | 24.57 |  |

2008 RECO Zone Transmission Peak Load (MW)
Transmission Enhancement Rate (\$/MW-month)

Col. 1
Col. 2 -ol. $3=$ Col. $2 \times \$ 10,891 \times 12$
Col. 4 Col. $5=$ Col. $3 /$ Col. 4
Col. $6=$ Col. $5 \times 1.07$
$\square$

| Rate Class | Full Service Transmission Obligation (MW) | Transmission Obligation (Pct) |  | Allocated Cost Recovery (1) | Full Service BGS Eligible Sales Jul 2009 - May 2010 (kWh) |  | Transmission Enhancement Charge ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) | Transmission Enhancement Charge w/ SUT (\$/kWh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 | 276.3 | 62.34\% | \$ | 81,476 | 701,602,000 | \$ | 0.00012 | \$ | 0.00013 |
| SC2 Secondary | 132.5 | 29.90\% | \$ | 39,072 | 538,938,000 | \$ | 0.00007 | \$ | 0.00007 |
| SC2 Primary | 19.0 | 4.29\% | \$ | 5,603 | 111,861,000 | \$ | 0.00005 | \$ | 0.00005 |
| SC3 | 0.1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 29 | 271,000 | \$ | 0.00011 | \$ | 0.00012 |
| SC4 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 6,463,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC5 | 3.8 | 0.86\% | \$ | 1,121 | 18,539,000 | \$ | 0.00006 | \$ | 0.00006 |
| SC6 | 0.0 | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 5,049,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| SC7 | 11.5 | 2.59\% | \$ | 3,391 | 42,835,000 |  | 0.00008 | \$ | 0.00009 |
| Total | 443.2 | 100.00\% | \$ | 130,692 | 1,425,558,000 |  |  |  |  |

(1) Attachment 5 - Cost Allocation of TrailCo Schedule 12 Charges to RECO Zone for June 2009 through May 2010
(2) Includes RECO's Central and Western Divisions

## BGS-FP Supplier Payment Adjustment

Line No.

| 1 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ cust (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,236,841$ | MWH |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | BGS-FP Eligible Sales Oct - December @ trans node (RECO Eastern Division) | $1,325,636$ | MWH |
| 3 | BGS-FP Eligible Transmission Obligation | 407 | MW |
| 4 | Transmission Enhancement Costs to FP Suppliers | $\$$ | $109,916.60$ |
| 5 | Change in Supplier Payment Rate $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ (rounded to 2 decimals) Line $3 \times \$ 24.57 * 11$ |  |  |

FERC-approved ACE Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved AEP-East Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved Delmarva Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved PATH Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) currently in RECO's rates
FERC-approved PEPCO Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved PPL Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved PSE\&G Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009 currently in RECO's rates
FERC-approved TrailCo Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009
FERC-approved VEPCo Project Schedule 12 Charges (Schedule 12 PJM OATT) for 2009 currently in RECO's rates
(A) Transmission Surcharge rates by Transmission Project and Service Class (excluding SUT)

| Transmission Project | Note | SC1 | SC2 Sec | SC2 Pri | SC3 | SC4 | SC5 | SC6 | SC7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reliability Must Run | (1) | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 |
| ACE - TEC | (2) | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 |
| AEP-East-TEC | (3) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| Delmarva-TEC | (4) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| PATH - TEC | (5) | 0.00007 | 0.00005 | 0.00003 | 0.00006 | 0.00000 | 0.00004 | 0.00000 | 0.00005 |
| PEPCO-TEC | (6) | 0.00003 | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00003 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00002 |
| PPL - TEC | (7) | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 |
| PSE\&G - TEC | (8) | 0.00102 | 0.00066 | 0.00043 | 0.00086 | 0.00000 | 0.00054 | 0.00000 | 0.00071 |
| TrAILCo-TEC | (9) | 0.00012 | 0.00007 | 0.00005 | 0.00011 | 0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.00000 | 0.00008 |
| VEPCo - TEC | (10) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| Total (\$/kWh and excl SUT) |  | \$0.00127 | \$0.00082 | \$0.00053 | \$0.00109 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00067 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00088 |
| Total ( $\phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ and excl SUT) |  | 0.127 ¢ | 0.082 ¢ | 0.053 ¢ | 0.109 ¢ | $0.000 \phi$ | 0.067 ¢ | $0.000 \phi$ | 0.088 ¢ |

(B) Transmission Surcharge rates by Transmission Project and Service Class (including SUT)

| Transmission Project | Note | SC1 | SC2 Sec | SC2 Pri | SC3 | SC4 | SC5 | SC6 | SC7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reliability Must Run | (1) | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 |
| ACE - TEC | (2) | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00002 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 |
| AEP-East - TEC | (3) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| Delmarva - TEC | (4) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| PATH - TEC | (5) | 0.00007 | 0.00005 | 0.00003 | 0.00006 | 0.00000 | 0.00004 | 0.00000 | 0.00005 |
| PEPCO-TEC | (6) | 0.00003 | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00003 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00002 |
| PPL - TEC | (7) | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 | 0.00000 | 0.00001 |
| PSE\&G - TEC | (8) | 0.00109 | 0.00071 | 0.00046 | 0.00092 | 0.00000 | 0.00058 | 0.00000 | 0.00076 |
| TrAILCo - TEC | (9) | 0.00013 | 0.00007 | 0.00005 | 0.00012 | 0.00000 | 0.00006 | 0.00000 | 0.00009 |
| VEPCo - TEC | (10) | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| Total (\$/kWh and incl SUT) |  | \$0.00135 | \$0.00087 | \$0.00056 | \$0.00116 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00071 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00094 |
| Total ( $\phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ and incl SUT) |  | $0.135 \not \subset$ | $0.087 \phi$ | $0.056 \phi$ | $0.116 \phi$ | $0.000 \phi$ | $0.071 \phi$ | $0.000 \not \subset$ | $0.094 \phi$ |

## Notes:

(1) RMR rates based on allocations by transmission zone. For RECO, the estimated allocation is zero percent for calendar year 2009.
(2) ACE-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(3) AEP-East-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(4) Delmarva-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(5) Current PATH-TEC rates pursuant to the Board's Order dated December 18, 2008 in Docket Nos. EO03050394, EO05040317, EO06020119, and ER07060379.
(6) PEPCO-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(7) PPL-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(8) Current PSE\&G-TEC rates pursuant to the Board's Order dated December 18, 2008 in Docket Nos. EO03050394, EO05040317, EO06020119, and ER07060379.
(9) TrAILCo-TEC rates calculated in Attachment 6 (based on Attachment 5 of the joint EDC filing)
(10) Current VEPCo-TEC rates pursuant to the Board's Order dated December 18, 2008 in Docket Nos. EO03050394, EO05040317, EO06020119, and ER07060379.

Attachment 4a
TrAILCo Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing
Attachment 4b
Delmarva Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing
Attachment 4c
ACE Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing
Attachment 4d
PEPCo Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing
Attachment 4e
PPL Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing
Attachment 4f
AEP-East Formula Rate Update Compliance Filing

## ATTACHMENT H-18A

| Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For | mula Rate -- Appendix A | Notes | FERC Form 1 Page \# or Instruction | TrAILCo |
| Shaded cells are input cells |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2009 Forecast |
| Allocators |  |  |  |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Transmission Wages Expense |  | p354.21.b | 478,204 |
| 2 | Total Wages Expense |  | p354.28.b | 2,144,989 |
| 3 | Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | p354.27.b | 1,666,785 |
| 4 | Total Wages Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | (Line 2 - Line 3) | 478,204 |
| 5 | Wages \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 1/Line 4), if line 2 = 0, then 100\% | 100.0000\% |
| Plant Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Electric Plant in Service | (Note B) | Attachment 5 | 77,935,050 |
| 7 | Total Plant In Service |  | (Line 6) | 77,935,050 |
| 8 | Accumulated Depreciation (Total Electric Plant) |  | Attachment 5 | 1,649,800 |
| 9 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 8) | 1,649,800 |
| 10 | Net Plant |  | (Line 7 - Line 9) | 76,285,250 |
| 11 | Transmission Gross Plant |  | (Line $15+$ Line 21) | 77,935,050 |
| 12 | Gross Plant Allocator |  | (Line 11/ Line 7, if Line 7=0, enter 100\%) | 100.0000\% |
| 13 | Transmission Net Plant |  | (Line 11 - Line 29) | 76,285,250 |
| 14 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 13/Line 10, if line 10=0, enter 100\%) | 100.0000\% |


| Plant Calculations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission Plant |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Note B) | Attachment 5 | 74,486,606 |
| 16 | New Trans. Plant Adds. for Current Calendar Year (13 average balance) | (Note B) | Attachment 6 | 13,674,751 |
| 17 | Total Transmission Plant |  | (Line $15+$ Line 16) | 88,161,357 |
| 18 | General \& Intangible |  | Attachment 5 | 3,448,444 |
| 19 | Total General \& Intangible |  | (Line 18) | 3,448,444 |
| 20 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 100.0000\% |
| 21 | Transmission Related General and Intangible Plant |  | (Line 19 * Line 20) | 3,448,444 |
| 22 | Transmission Related Plant |  | (Line 17 + Line 21) | 91,609,801 |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation | ( Note B) | Attachment 5 | 1,649,800 |
| 24 | Accumulated General Depreciation |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 25 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 26 | Total Accumulated General and Intangible Depreciation |  | (Sum Lines 24 to 25) | 0 |
| 27 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 100.0000\% |
| 28 | Transmission Related General \& Intangible Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 26 * Line 27) | 0 |
| 29 | Total Transmission Related Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 23 + Line 28) | 1,649,800 |
| 30 | Total Transmission Related Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 22-Line 29) | 89,960,001 |


| Adjustment To Rate Base |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | ADIT net of FASB 106 and 109 Enter Negative |  | Attachment 1 | -912,642 |
| 32 | Transmission Related Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  | (Line 31) | -912,642 |
| 33 | Transmission Related CWIP (Current Year 13 Month weighted average balances) | (Note B) | p216.b. 43 as shown on Attachment 6 | 256,380,609 |
| 34 | Transmission Related Land Held for Future Use | (Note C) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
|  | Transmission Related Pre-Commercial Costs Capitalized |  |  |  |
| 35 | Unamortized Capitalized Pre-Commercial Costs |  | Attachment 5 | 851,529 |
|  | Prepayments |  |  |  |
| 36 | Transmission Related Prepayments | (Note A) | Attachment 5 | 49,017 |
|  | Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |
| 37 | Undistributed Stores Expense | (Note A) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 38 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 100.0000\% |
| 39 | Total Undistributed Stores Expense Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 37 * Line 38) | 0 |
| 40 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 41 | Transmission Related Materials \& Supplies |  | (Line 39 + Line 40) | 0 |
|  | Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |
| 42 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense |  | (Line 74) | 5,680,086 |
| 43 | 1/8th Rule |  | 1/8 | 12.5\% |
| 44 | Transmission Related Cash Working Capital |  | (Line 42 * Line 43) | 710,011 |
| 45 | Total Adjustment to Rate Base |  | (Lines $32+33+34+35+36+41+44$ ) | 257,078,523 |
| 46 | Rate Base |  | (Line 30 + Line 45) | 347,038,524 |
| O\&M |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission O\&M |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Transmission O\&M |  | p321.112.b | 897,460 |
| 48 | Less Account 566 Misc Trans Exp listed on line 73 below.) |  | (line 73) | 689,344 |
| 49 | Less Account 565 |  | p321.96.b | 0 |
| 50 | Plus Schedule 12 Charges billed to Transmission Owner and booked to Account 565 | (Note M) | PJM Data | 0 |
| 51 | Plus Property Under Capital Leases |  | p200.4.c | 0 |
| 52 | Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 47-48-49+50+51) | 208,116 |
| A\&G Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Total A\&G |  | p323.197.b | 4,779,281 |
| 54 | Less Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185.b | 12,517 |
| 55 | Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note E) | p323.189.b | 0 |
| 56 | Less General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 |  | p323.191.b | 399,596 |
| 57 | Less PBOP Adjustment |  | Attachment 5 | -3,345 |
| 58 | Less EPRI Dues | (Note D) | p352 \& 353 | 0 |
| 59 | A\&G Expenses |  | (Line 53) - Sum (Lines 54 to 58) | 4,370,513 |
| 60 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 100.0000\% |
| 61 | Transmission Related A\&G Expenses |  | (Line 59 * Line 60) | 4,370,513 |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 63 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note J) | Attachment 5 | 399,596 |
| 64 | Subtotal - Accounts 928 and 930.1-Transmission Related |  | (Line 62 + Line 63) | 399,596 |
| 65 | Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185.b | 12,517 |
| 66 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 67 | Total Accounts 928 and 930.1-General |  | (Line 65 + Line 66) | 12,517 |
| 68 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 14) | 100.0000\% |
| 69 | A\&G Directly Assigned to Transmission |  | (Line 67 * Line 68) | 12,517 |
| Account 566 Miscellaneous Transmission Expense |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Amortization Expense on Pre-Commercial Cost | Account 566 | Attachment 5 | 567,686 |
| 71 | Pre-Commercial Expense | Account 566 | Attachment 5 | 99,015 |
| 72 | Miscellaneous Transmission Expense | Account 566 | Attachment 5 | 22,643 |
| 73 | Total Account 566 |  | Sum (Lines 70 to 72) | 689,344 |
| 74 | Total Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 52+61+64+69 + 73) | 5,680,086 |


| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | Transmission Depreciation Expense |  | Attachment 5 | 1,649,698 |
| 76 | General Depreciation |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 77 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 78 | Total |  | (Line 76 + Line 77) | 0 |
| 79 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 100.0000\% |
| 80 | Transmission Related General Depreciation and Intangible Amortization |  | (Line 78* Line 79) | 0 |
| 81 | Total Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Lines 75 + 80) | $\underline{\text { 1,649,698 }}$ |
| Taxes Other than Income |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | Transmission Related Taxes Other than Income |  | Attachment 2 | 600,701 |
| 83 | Total Taxes Other than Income |  | (Line 82) | 600,701 |
| Return / Capitalization Calculations |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Preferred Dividends | enter positive | p118.29.c | 0 |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Proprietary Capital |  | p112.16.c | 134,379,588 |
| 86 | Less Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Account 219 |  | p112.15.c | -69 |
| 87 | Less Preferred Stock |  | (Line 95) | 0 |
| 88 | Less Account 216.1 |  | p112.12.c | 0 |
| 89 | Common Stock |  | (Line 85-86-87-88) | 134,379,657 |
| Capitalization |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | Long Term Debt | (Note N) |  | 90,000,000 |
| 91 | Less Unamortized Loss on Reacquired Debt |  | p111.81.c | 0 |
| 92 | Plus Unamortized Gain on Reacquired Debt |  | p113.61.c | 0 |
| 93 | Less ADIT associated with Gain or Loss |  | Attachment 1 | 0 |
| 94 | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 90-91-92-93) | 90,000,000 |
| 95 | Preferred Stock |  | p112.3.c | 0 |
| 96 | Common Stock |  | (Line 89) | 134,379,657 |
| 97 | Total Capitalization |  | (Sum Lines 94 to 96) | 224,379,657 |
| 98 | Debt \% Total Long Term Debt | (Note N) | (Line $94 /$ Line 97) | 50.0\% |
| 99 | Preferred \% Preferred Stock | (Note N) | (Line $95 /$ Line 97) | 0.0\% |
| 100 | Common \% Common Stock | (Note N) | (Line 96 /Line 97) | 50.0\% |
| 101 | Debt Cost Total Long Term Debt |  |  | 0.048 |
| 102 | Preferred Cost Preferred Stock |  | (Line 84 / Line 95) | 0.0000 |
| 103 | Common Cost Common Stock | (Note I) | The most recent FERC approved ROE | 0.1170 |
| 104 | Weighted Cost of Debt Total Long Term Debt (WCLTD) |  | (Line 98 * Line 101) | 0.02417 |
| 105 | Weighted Cost of Preferred Preferred Stock |  | (Line 99 * Line 102) | 0.0000 |
| 106 | Weighted Cost of Common Common Stock |  | (Line 100 * Line 103) | 0.0585 |
| 107 | Rate of Return on Rate Base ( ROR ) |  | (Sum Lines 104 to 106) | 0.08267 |
| 108 | Investment Return = Rate Base * Rate of Return |  | (Line 46 * Line 107) | 28,688,980 |


| Composite Income Taxes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Tax Rates |  |  |  |
| 109 | FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate (Note H) |  | 35.00\% |
| 110 | SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite |  | 9.06\% |
| 111 | p ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purpsin | Per State Tax Code | 0.00\% |
| 112 | T $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT})$ * (1-FIT) $/(1-\mathrm{SIT}$ * FIT * p$) \mathrm{\}}=$ |  | 40.89\% |
| 113 | $\mathrm{T} /(1-\mathrm{T}) \quad$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 69.17\% |
| 114 | Income Tax Component = CIT=(T/1-T) * Investment Return * (1-(WCLTD/R)) = | [Line 113 * Line 108 * (1- (Line 104 / Line 107))] | 14,041,872 |
| 115 | Total Income Taxes | (Line 114) | 14,041,872 |
| REVENUE REQUIREMENT |  |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |  |
| 116 | Net Property, Plant \& Equipment | (Line 30) | 89,960,001 |
| 117 | Total Adjustment to Rate Base | (Line 45) | 257,078,523 |
| 118 | Rate Base | (Line 46) | 347,038,524 |
| 119 | Total Transmission O\&M | (Line 74) | 5,680,086 |
| 120 | Total Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization | (Line 81) | 1,649,698 |
| 121 | Taxes Other than Income | (Line 83) | 600,701 |
| 122 | Investment Return | (Line 108) | 28,688,980 |
| 123 | Income Taxes | (Line 115) | 14,041,872 |
| 124 | Gross Revenue Requirement | (Sum Lines 119 to 123) | 50,661,337 |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities |  |  |  |
| 125 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Line 22) | 91,609,801 |
| 126 | Excluded Transmission Facilities (Note L) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 127 | Included Transmission Facilities | (Line 125 - Line 126) | 91,609,801 |
| 128 | Inclusion Ratio | (Line 127 / Line 125) | 100.00\% |
| 129 | Gross Revenue Requirement | (Line 124) | 50,661,337 |
| 130 | Adjusted Gross Revenue Requirement | (Line 128 * Line 129) | 50,661,337 |
| Revenue Credits |  |  |  |
| 131 | Revenue Credits | Attachment 3 | 561,914 |
| 132 | Net Revenue Requirement | (Line 130-Line 131) | 50,099,423 |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |
| 133 | Net Revenue Requirement | (Line 132) | 50,099,423 |
| 134 | Net Transmission Plant + CWIP | (Line 17 - Line 23 + Line 33) | 342,892,165 |
| 135 | FCR | (Line 133 / Line 134) | 14.6108\% |
| 136 | FCR without Depreciation | (Line 133 - Line 75) / Line 134 | 14.1297\% |
| 137 | FCR without Depreciation and Pre-Commercial Costs | (Line 133 - Line 70 - Line 71 - Line 75) / Line 134 | 13.9353\% |
| 138 | FCR without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes | (Line 133 - Line 75-Line 108 - Line 115) / Line 134 | 1.6678\% |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge Calculation with Incentive ROE |  |  |  |
| 139 | Net Revenue Requirement Less Return and Taxes | (Line 132 - Line 122 - Line 123) | 7,368,571 |
| 140 | Increased Return and Taxes | Attachment 4 | 45,666,205 |
| 141 | Net Revenue Requirement with Incentive ROE | (Line 139 + Line 140) | 53,034,776 |
| 142 | Net Transmission Plant + CWIP | (Line 17 - Line 23+ Line 33) | 342,892,165 |
| 143 | FCR with Incentive ROE | (Line 141 / Line 142) | 15.4669\% |
| 144 | FCR with Incentive ROE without Depreciation | (Line 141 - Line 75) / Line 142 | 14.9858\% |
| 145 | FCR with Incentive ROE without Depreciation and Pre-Commercial | (Line 141 - Line 70-Line 71 - Line 75) / Line 142 | 14.7913\% |
| 146 | Net Revenue Requirement | (Line 132) | 50,099,423 |
| 147 | Reconciliation amount | Attachment 6 | -5,460,000 |
| 148 | Plus any increased ROE calculated on Attach 7 other than PJM Sch. 12 projects not paid by other PJM trans zones | Attachment 7 | 2,622,623 |
| 149 | Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 150 | Net Zonal Revenue Requirement | (Line $146+147+148+149)$ | 47,262,046 |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |
| 151 | 1 CP Peak (Note K) | PJM Data | N/A |
| 152 | Rate (\$/MW-Year) | (Line 150 / 151) | N/A |
| 153 | Network Service Rate (\$/MW/Year) | (Line 152) | N/A |

## Notes

A Electric portion only
B For both the estimate and the reconciliation, Construction Work In Progress ("CWIP") and leases that are expensed as O\&M (rather than amortized) are excluded.
For the Estimate Process:
Transmission plant in service will show the end of year balance and is linked to Attachment 5 which shows detail support by project.
The transmission plant will agree to or be reconciled to the FERC Form 1 balance for the transmission plant.
New Transmission Plant expected to be placed in service in the current calendar year will be based on the average of 13 monthly investment costs and shown separately detailed by project on Attachment 6.
Accumulated depreciation will show the end of year balance and is linked to Attachment 5 which shows detail support by project.
CWIP will be linked to Attachment 6 which shows detail support by project (incentive and non-incentive).
For the Reconciliation Process:
Transmission plant in service will be calculated using a 13 month average balance and will be detailed on Attachment 5 . This includes new transmission plant added to plant-in-service
Accumulated depreciation will be calculated using a 13 month average balance and will be detailed on Attachment 5 . This includes accumulated depreciation associated with current year transmission plant.
CWIP will be linked to Attachment 6 which shows detail support by project (incentive and non-incentive).
C Includes Transmission portion only and (i) only land that has an estimated in-service date within 10 years may be included and (ii) a plan for the land's use is required to be included in the filing whenever the cost of the land is proposed to be included in rates.
D Excludes all EPRI Annual Membership Dues
E Excludes all Regulatory Commission Expenses
F Includes Safety related advertising included in Account 930.1
G Includes Regulatory Commission Expenses directly related to transmission service, RTO filings, or transmission siting itemized in Form 1 at 351.h.
$H$ The currently effective income tax rate where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes. If the utility includes taxes in more than one state, it must explain in
Attachment 5 the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed.
$I$ ROE will be established in the Commission order accepting the settlement in Docket No. ER07-562 and no change in ROE will be made absent a Section 205 or Section 206 filing at FERC.
J Education and outreach expenses relating to transmission, for example siting or billing
K As provided for in Section 34.1 of the PJM OATT; the PJM established billing determinants will not be revised or updated in the annual rate reconciliations.
L Amount of transmission plant excluded from rates per Attachment 5.
M Payments made under Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT that are not directly assessed to load in the Zone under Schedule 12 are included in Transmission O\&M on Line 47 . If they are booked to Acct 565 , they are included on Line 50 . Copies of PJM invoices will be provided upon request.
N The capital structure will remain $50 \%$ equity and $50 \%$ debt until construction of all of the segments of the TrAIL Project is completed and the entire TrAIL Project is placed in service. The first
year that these projects are in service the formula will be run based on the 50/50 capital structure and on the actual year end capital structure. The two results will be weighted
based on: the number of days the last project was in service and 365 day minus the numbers of days the last project was in service divided by 365 days.
This can be illustrated using the following example:
Example:
Assume Last Project goes into service on day 260.
Hypothetical Capital Structure until the last project goes into service is $50 / 50$.
Assume Year End actual capital structure is 60\% equity and $40 \%$ debt.
Therefore: Weighted Equity $=[50 \% * 260+60 \% *(365-260)] / 365$

|  | Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company <br> Attachment 1 - Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trans-Allegheny Interstate Company |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B1 | B2 | B3 | c | D | E | F | G |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beg of Year } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | End of Year Total | End of Year for Est. Average for Final Total | Retail Related | $\begin{gathered} \text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Plant Related | Labor Related | Total ADIT |  |
| ADIT- 282 From Account Total Below | 366,313 | 4,971,980 | 4,971,980 |  | 4,971,980 | - | - | 4,971,980 |  |
| ADIT-283 From Account Total Below | 778,287 | 140 | 140 |  | 140 | - | - | 140 |  |
| ADIT-190 From Account Total Below | $(1,965,117)$ | $(4,059,478)$ | $(4,059,478)$ |  | $(4,059,478)$ | - | - | $(4,059,478)$ | Enter Negative |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 912,642 | - | - | 912,642 |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0000\% |  |  |
| Gross Plant Allocator |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0000\% |  |  |  |
| ADIT |  |  |  |  | 912,642 | - | - | 912,642 |  |

Note: ADIT associated with Gain or Loss on Reacquired Debt is included in Column A here and included in Cost of Debt on Appendix A, Line 93. ${ }_{\text {Amount }}^{\text {<From Acct 283, below }}$

A

| B1 | B2 | B3 | C Allegheny Interstate Company | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trans-Ale |  |  |  |


| ADIT-190 | Beg of Year Balance p234.18.b | End of Year Balance p234.18.c | End of Year for Est. Average for Final Total | Retail Related | Gas, Prod Or Other Related | $\underset{\substack{\text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related }}}{ }$ | Plant Related | Labor Related | JUSTIFICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Interest Capitalized | 1,042,269 | 3,304,578 | 3,304,578 |  |  | 3,304,578 | - |  | Actual amount of tax interest capitalized |
| Depreciation | 42 | 662,231 | 662,231 |  |  | 662,231 |  |  | Book depreciation |
| Intercompany Charges | 102,289 | 21,843 | 21,843 |  |  | 21,843 |  |  | Intercompany charges from the AP service company |
| Worker's Compensation | 42,230 | 68,830 | 68,830 |  |  | 68,830 |  |  | Actual amount of reserve for workers' compensation |
| Deferred Tax Reclassification | 778,287 | 1,950 | 1,950 |  |  | 1,950 |  |  | Deferred tax reclassification |
| Excess Over/Under Pr Service | - | 46 | 46 |  |  | 46 |  |  | Excess over under prior service cost |
| Subtotal | 1,965,117 | 4,059,478 | 4,059,478 | - | - | 4,059,478 | - | - |  |
| Less FASB 109 included above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 included above Total | 1,965,117 | 4,059.478 | 4.059,478 |  |  | 4.059,478 |  |  |  |
|  | 1,965,117 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

Instructions for Account 190:

1. ADIT items related only to Retail Related Operations are directly assigned to Column C
2. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column D.
3. ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column E.
4. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ \& E are directly assigned to Column F .
5. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{~F}$ are directly assigned to Column G .
. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates. Therefore, if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.

## PJM TRANSMISSION OWNER

Attachment 1 - Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet


Instructions for Account 282:

1. ADIT items related only to Retail Related Operations are directly assigned to Column C.
2. ADIT items related only to Retail Related Operations are directly assigned to Column C.
3. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column D.
4. ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column E .
5. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{E}$ are directly assigned to Column F .
6. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{~F}$ are directly assigned to Column G .
7. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates. Therefore, if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.

## PJM TRANSMISSION OWNER

Attachment 1 - Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

| A | B1 B2 B3 C D E F <br>    Trans-Allegheny Interstate Company  G  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADIT-283 | Beg of Year Balance p276.19.b | End of Year Balance p277.19.k | End of Year for Est. Average for Final Total | Retail Related | Gas, Prod Or Other Related | Only Transmission Related | Plant Related | Labor Related |  | JUSTIFICATION |
| Deferred Tax Reclassification | 778,287 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | ADIT balance sheet reclassification Regulatory asset for Prexy reclassification |
| Regulated Asset Prexy LT | - | 540,486 | 540,486 | - | - | 540,486 | - |  | - | Non-property related <br> Exclude regulatory asset for Prexy reclassification |
| Regulated Asset Prexy LT | - | $(540,486)$ | $(540,486)$ | - | - | $(540,486)$ | - |  | - | Non-property related <br> Temporary difference due to change in state tax rate in West |
| WV Rate Change Consol Benefit | - | 140 | 140 | - | - | 140 | - |  | - | Virginia |
| Reg Asset PJM Receivable | - | 3,279,376 | 3,279,376 | - | - | 3,279,376 | - |  | - | Comparison of actual to forecast revenues - Non-property related Exclude comparison of actual to forecast revenues |
| Reg Asset PJM Receivable | - | $(3,279,376)$ | $(3,279,376)$ | - | - | $(3,279,376)$ | - |  | - | Non-property related |
| Subtotal | 778,287 | 140 | 140 |  | - | 140 | - |  | - |  |
| Less FASB 109 included above Less FASB 106 included above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 778,287 | 140 | 140 |  | - | 140 | - |  | - |  |

Instructions for Account 283:

1. ADIT items related only to Retail Related Operations are directly assigned to Column C.
2. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column D.
3. ADIT items related only only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column E.
4. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C,D\&E are directly assigned to Column F.
5. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $C, D, E \& F$ are directly assigned to column $G$.
6. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates. Therefore, if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.

## Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

## Attachment 2 - Taxes Other Than Income Worksheet

|  | FERC Form No.1 <br> page, line \& Col | Amount | Allocater |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Taxes |  |  |  |

## Retail Related Other Taxes to be Excluded

| Federal Income Tax | p263.2(i) | 798,372 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Corporate Net Income Tax MD | p263.17(i) | 407,405 |
| Corporate Net Income Tax PA | p263.28(i) | 163,903 |
| Corporate Net Income Tax VA | p263.37(i) | 159,962 |
| Corporate Net Income Tax WV | p263.1.4(i) | $-263,165$ |
|  |  | $1,266,477$ |
| Subtotal, Excluded | $1,867,178$ |  |
| otal, Included and Excluded (Line 20 + Line 28) | 600,700 |  |
| Otal |  |  |
| Difference (Line 39 - Line 40) | $1,266,478$ |  |

## Criteria for Allocation:

A Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of plant including transmission plant will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they shall not be included.
B Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of only general or intangible plant will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they shall not be included.
C Other taxes that are assessed based on labor will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator.
D Other taxes except as provided for in A, B and C above, that are incurred and (1) are not fully recovered at retail or (2) are directly or indirectly related to transmission service will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator; provided, however, that overheads shall be treated as in footnote B above.
E Excludes prior period adjustments in the first year of the formula's operation and reconciliation for the first year.

## Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

## Attachment 3-Revenue Credit Workpaper

Account 454 - Rent from Electric Property
1 Rent from Electric Property - Transmission Related (Note 3)
2 Total Rent Revenues
(Line 1)

## Account 456 - Other Electric Revenues (Note 1)

3 Schedule 1A
4 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the load is not included in the divisor (difference between NITS credits from PJM and PJM NITS charges paid by Transmission Owner) (Note 4)

Point to Point Service revenues for which the load is not included in the divisor received by Transmission Owner
PJM Transitional Revenue Neutrality (Note 1)
PJM Transitional Market Expansion (Note 1)
Professional Services (Note 3)
Revenues from Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges (Note 2)
Rent or Attachment Fees associated with Transmission Facilities (Note 3)
Gross Revenue Credits
(Sum Lines 2-10)
Less line 14 g
Total Revenue Credits
Line 11 - Line 12)

FERC Form No. 1 page, line \& Col

## Revenue Adjustment to determine Revenue Credit

14a Revenues associated with lines $14 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ are to be included in lines 2-10 and total of those revenues entered here
14b Costs associated with revenues in line 14a
14c Net Revenues (14a-14b)
14d 50\% Share of Net Revenues (14c / 2)
14 e Costs associated with revenues in line 14a that are included in FERC accounts recovered through the formula times the allocator used to functionalize the amounts in the FERC account to the transmission service at issue.
$14 f$ Net Revenue Credit (14d + 14e)
14 g Line 14a less line 14 f
15 Amount offset in line 4 above

16 Total Account 454 and 456

17
Note 1: All revenues related to transmission that are received as a transmission owner (i.e., not received as a LSE), for which the cost of the service is recovered under this formula, except as specifically provided for elsewhere in this Attachment or elsewhere in the formula will be included as a revenue credit or included in the peak on line 178 of Appendix A.

18 Note 2: If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are included in the Rates, the associated revenues are included in the Rates. If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are not included in the Rates, the associated revenues are not included in the Rates.

19
Note 3: Ratemaking treatment for the following specified secondary uses of transmission assets: (1) right-of-way leases and leases for space on transmission facilities for telecommunications; (2) transmission tower licenses for wireless antennas; (3) right-of-way property leases for farming, grazing or nurseries; (4) licenses of intellectual property (including a portable oil degasification process and scheduling software); and (5) transmission maintenance and consulting services (including energized circuit maintenance, high-voltage substation maintenance, safety training, transformer oil testing, and circuit breaker testing) to other utilities and large customers (collectively, products). Company will retain $50 \%$ of net revenues consistent with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 90 FERC $\mathbb{1} 61,314$. Note: in order to use lines 14a 14 g , the utility must track in separate subaccounts the revenues and costs associated with each secondary use (except for the cost of the associated income taxes).

20 Note 4: If the facilities associated with the revenues are not included in the formula, the revenue is shown here, but not included in the total above and explained in the Cost Support. For example revenues associated with distribution facilities. In addition Revenues from Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT are not included in the total above to the extent they are credited under Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT.



## Attachment 5-Cost Support



Attachment 5-Cost Support
Electric / Non-electric Cost Support
Attachment 5-Cost Support

| Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | Electic Porion | Nonemectric Porition | Deails |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Beg of | End of Year (lor estimat) | Average of Beginning and Ending Balances |  |
| 40 37 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies Undistributed Stores Expense |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p227.8 } \\ & \text { p227.16 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Allocated General Expenses Pus Property Under Capial Leases | 0 | p20.4.c |  |  |  |  |

Transmission / Non-transmission Cost Suppor

| Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  | Beg of year | End of Year (for estimate) | Average of Beginning and Ending Balances | Deatis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Transmission Related Land Held for Future Use | Total <br> Non-transmission Related Transmission Related |  |  |  | Enere dealis tere |

CWIP \& Expensed Lease Workshee


## Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

## 35 Unamortized Capitalized Pre-Commercial Costs

\section*{EPRI Dues Cost Support} Allocated General \& Common Expens | Allocated General $\&$ Common Expenses |
| :---: |
| Less EPRI Dues |

Regulatory Expense Related to Transmission Cost Suppor

Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions
Note D) p352 \& 353
Beg of year $\quad$ EPRI Dues Details
Regulatory Expense Related to Transmission Cost Suppor

| Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |
| :--- |
| Directiy Assigned A\&G |

$\square$Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928(Note G) p323.189.b
Form 1 Amount Transmission Related $\underset{\substack{\text { Nontransmission } \\ \text { Related }}}{\text { n }}$Safety Related Advertising Cost Suppor
Directly Assigned A\&G
 Link to Appendix $A$,
Ine
lin
titer Deails Here

General Advertising Exp Account 930.1
(Note F) p323.191.b
Link to Appendix $A$,
line
lit
nter Details Here
MultiState Workpaper
Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions


110 SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite
(Note H


Education and Out Reach Cost Suppor


Directy Assigned ARG
General Advertising Exp Account 930.1
Form 1 Amount $\quad$ Education 8 Outreach
Education \& Outreach


## Excluded Plant Cost Support

Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions $126 \quad$ Exjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excludued Transmission Facilites

Step-Up Facilities
Instuctions
investment below 69 k

2 It unable to deteermine the investment below 69 KV in a substation with investment of 69 kV and highe as well as below 69 kV
the following tormula will be used:
A Tola investmentin subsataio
B Identifiabele investmentin Transmission (provide workpapers)
C Identifiable investementin Distribuion (provide workpapers)
D Amount to be excluded $(A x(C) /(B+C)))$

## epayments <br> Prepayment

${ }^{36} \begin{gathered}\text { Prepayments } \\ \text { Prepayments }\end{gathered}$
Prepaid nsurance
Prepaid Pensions fint in included in Prepayments
Total repayments
count 566 Miscellaneous Transmission Expens
Link to Appendix A, line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

\section*{| Link to Appendix A, line \#s, D |
| :---: |
| 70 |
| Amortization Expense on Pre-Commercial Cost | <br> $\begin{array}{ll}70 & \text { Amortization Expense on } \\ 71 & \text { Pre-Commercial Expense } \\ \text { Miscellaneor }\end{array}$}

Miscellaneous Transmission Expense
Total Account 566 Miscellaneous Transmission Expenses
p. 321

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

| $\underset{\substack{\text { Excuded Transmisision } \\ \text { Facilites }}}{\text { and }}$ | Desscipitoo of the Facilities |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Geneal Descripition of the Facilities |
| Enters |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { or } \\ \text { Enters } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Add mo |  |



Cost Element Name Tummary of Pre-Commercial Expenses

## Labor 8 Ovenenead (1) Misclaneous (2)

Misulaneusus (e)
unside
unces legal
Uutidid Senices Legal (3)
Outidid Senies onter (4)
Outide Sevices Raese (5)

$\underset{\substack{\text { Travel, Lodiging and Meals (7) } \\ \text { Toual }}}{ }$
(1) Labor overnead amount inculdes cosst alocated top peparaidon ot the periminiay surve and invesigatio
 rest to varius mading tom Lega, Procurn
3) Ousidide legal sasicices incuuves
3) Outside egal sen

mangenenti, penen hosese sand seseact senvices.
5) Outside senvices rates incuides the adicice of a atie consuluant ceqarding rate desion.

(7) Travel. Oodging and meals are the direct expensese for Alleghereny staftio atend hes scoping meetings.

## Attachment 5-Cost Support



[^15]|  | 1 Total PBOP expenses | 22,856,433 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{2}$ Amountrelating to retired personnel | ${ }^{8,786,372}$ |
|  |  | +1,070.061 |
|  | 5 Cost per FTE | 3.192 |
|  | 6 TAALCO FTES (abor not capitalized) current year | 14.09 |
|  | 7 TAAlLCo PBOP Expense tor base year | 44,965 |
|  | 8 TAALCO PBOP Expense in Account 226 for current year | 41,620 |
| 57 | 9 PBOP Adjustment for Appendix A, Line 57 Lines 1-5 cannot change absent approval or | ${ }^{3,345}$ |

 ,3

## Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

## Attachment 5a - Pre-Commercial Costs and CWIP

Step 1 Totals reported below are by project with the amounts to be expensed reported separately from those to be deferred and amortized (note, deferred costs related to 2006 include AFUDC). For Forecasting purposes, Pre-Commercial expenses will be estimated. Total deferred and amortized Pre-commercial costs will be the actual amount agreeing to FERC Form 1 and Attachment 5 .
 Step 3 actual amount agreeing to FERC Form 1 and Attachment 5.

|  | Column A |  | Pre-Commercial Costs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step 1 | For Estimate: |  | Expensed <br> (Estimated) | Deferred | Amount of Deferred Amortized in Year |
|  | Prexy - 502 Junction 138 kV (CWIP) |  | 10,629 |  | 60,937 |
|  | Prexy - 502 Junction 500 kV (CWIP) |  | 13,690 |  | 78,492 |
|  | 502 Junction - Territorial Line | (CWIP) | 74,696 |  | 428,257 |
|  | Total |  | 99,015 | 1,135,372 | 567,686 |



Notes:
1 Small projects may be combined into larger projects where rate treatment is consistent. Pre-Commercial costs benefiting multiple projects will be allocated to projects based on the estimated plant in service of each project.

| Allocation of Pre-Commercial Costs | Plant in Service (Estimated 2/12/2008) | Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prexy - 502 Junction 138 kV (CWIP) | 94,140,000 | 0.10734 |
| Prexy - 502 Junction 500 Kv (CWIP) | 121,260,000 | 0.13827 |
| 502 Junction - Territorial Line (CWIP) | 661,600,000 | 0.75439 |
| Total | 877,000,000 | 1.00000 |

Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company
Attachment 6 - Estimate and Reconciliation Worksheet



| Month End Ealances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bedington Transformer } \\ & \text { (monthly balance) } \end{aligned}$ | Kammer Transformers (monthly balance) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meadowbrook Transformer } \\ \text { (monthly balance) } \\ \text { (in semice) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Line }}{\substack{502 \text { Junction }- \text { Teritorial } \\ \text { (monthly } \\ \text { balance) }}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (maseme) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94.947300 | 9,677, 269 | 11,774984 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {111,40,289 }}^{\text {127,16, } 149}$ | 9,6,6,477 | 11.79 .1 .142 <br> $11,796,259$ <br> 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | ¢, 9.9887 .554 | 11, $11.13,4746$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.111.356 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢, 9 | 12,423, ${ }^{1239}$ <br> 12.579 .591 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | coick |  |  |
|  |  | $7,747,222$ <br> $7,479,222$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{311.177466 \\ 326,30,958}}$ | (10.122906 | 12,891,966 $13,023,69$ |
|  |  | - | ${ }_{\substack{51,66,975 \\ 51,368,975}}$ |  |  |  |  | (34.0.9.9333 | (10,220,620 | cisisis.782 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1290,0950,070} 0$ |  |


| Tomeneme | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meadow Brook SS } \\ \text { Capacitor (Monthly } \\ \text { additions) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Bedington } \\ \text { Transformer } \\ \text { Monthly additions) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | Wjeremide (lominy |  | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \text { kV Prexy - } 502 \\ & \text { Junction (Monthly } \\ & \text { additions) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 138 \text { kV Prexy - } 502 \\ & \text { Junction (Monthly } \\ & \text { additions) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 s272, 206.58 | 77,98 | 720.578 | 1.07, 200 | 1,151,253 | 267.217 | 7.98,.192 | ${ }^{2.092,522}$ | ${ }^{35,885,563}$ | 1.561.498 | 1.950 .184 |



| Other Projects PIS (Monthly additions) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meadowbrook } \\ & \text { ransformer (Monthly } \\ & \text { balance) } \end{aligned}$ | North Shenandoah | Black Oak (monthly balance) | Wjere idide (mominy |  | $\begin{gathered} 500 \mathrm{kV} \text { Prexy } 502 \\ \text { Junction (monthly } \\ \text { balance) } \end{gathered}$ | 138 kV Prexy - 502 Junction (monthly balance) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (mseneme) | (msemixe) | (mseneme) | (msemive) | cwp | cwp | cwp |
|  |  |  |  | 197,754 | 20,651,884 | 5,244,599 | 4.808,804 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | c.i.97,531 |
|  |  |  |  |  | comer | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {l,932,030 }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,955.350 | ¢, 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {40,953 }}^{45665}$ |  | 94,977,300 | 9,977,299 | ${ }_{\text {11,77,984 }}^{\text {9,675162 }}$ |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { 3,497 }}}{4,46{ }^{\text {a }} \text { ( }}$ | ${ }_{15,212}^{197,54}$ | ${ }_{45} 5.566,784$ |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}$ |

[^16]




## Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

Attachment 7 - Transmission Enhancement Charge Worksheet

Revenue Requirement By Project

| Fixed Charge Rate (FCR) if not a CIACFormula Line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 137 | FCR without Depreciation and Pre-Commercial Costs | 13.9353\% |
| B ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | 145 | FCR with Incentive ROE without Depreciation and Pre-Commercial | 14.7913\% |
|  |  | Line B less Line A | 0.8561\% |
| FCR if a CIAC |  |  |  |
| D | 138 | FCR without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxe | 1.6678\% |

The FCR resulting from Formula in a given year is used for that year onls
Therefore actual revenues collected in a year do not change based on cost data for subsequent yea

11
12
amount of the investment on line 29 sum payment in
nnut or
Input the allowed ROE

If ine 13 equals $12.7 \%$, then line 4 , if line 13 equals $11.7 \%$
then line 3 , and if line 12 is "Yes" then line 7
forecast of CWIP or Cap Adds. reconciliation - Average of 13 month prior year net plant balances plus prior year 13 -mo CWIP balances.
Annual Depreciaioo Exp from Attachment 5

18
19
20
See Calculations for each item below

For Plant in Service
"Pre-Commercial Exp" is equal to the amount of pre-commercial expense on Attachment 5 a for each project expensed in year and amorized in year.
Revenue is equal to the "Return" ""Investment" times FCR) plus "Depreciation" plus "Pre-Commercial Exp" plus prior year "R
Reconciliation Amount" is created in the reconciliation in Attachment 6 and included in the forecasted revenue requirement.

| "Yes" if a project under PJM OATT Schedule 12, otherwise "No" | PJM Upgrade ID: b0328.2; b0347.1; b0347.2; b0347.3; b0347.4 |  |  |  |  | PJM Upgrade ID: b0218 |  |  |  | PJM Upgrade ID: b0216 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 502 Junction - Territorial Line (CWIP + Plant In Service) |  |  |  |  | Wylie Ridge Transtormer (Plant in Service) |  |  |  | Black Oak (SVC) Dynamic Reactive Device (Plant In Service) |  |  |  |
|  | Yes |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| "Yes" if the customer has paid a lump sum payment in the amount of the investment on line 29, Otherwise "No" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input the allowed ROE | 12.70\% |  |  |  |  | 70\% |  |  |  | 12.70\% |  |  |  |
| From line 3 above if "No" on line 12 and From line 7 above if "Yes" on line 12 | 3.935\% |  |  |  |  | 13.9353\% |  |  |  | 13.9353\% |  |  |  |
| If line 13 equals $12.7 \%$, then line 4 , if line 13 equals $11.7 \%$ then line 3 , and if line 12 is "Yes" then line 7 | 14.7913\% |  |  |  |  | 13.9353\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| forecast of CWIP or Cap Adds. <br> reconciliation - Average of 13 month prior year net plant <br> balances plus prior year 13 -mo CWIP balances. | 239207353 |  |  |  |  |  | 13,012,514 |  |  | 44,519,664 |  |  |  |
| Annua Depreciaion Exp from Attachment 5 | 616 |  |  |  |  | 279,191 |  |  |  | 1,323,133 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pre-Commercial | Reconciliation |  |  |  | Reconciliation |  |  |  | Reconciliation |  |
| See Calculations for each item below | ${ }_{\text {Return }}^{3,334,243}$ | ${ }_{\text {Depreciation }}^{616}$ |  | $\underset{(4,57,825)}{\text { Amount }}$ | Revenue ${ }_{\text {29,260,986.89 }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Return } \\ 1,813,332}}{ }$ | Depreciation ${ }_{279,191}$ | Amount 235,354 | Revenue <br> $2,37,876.21$ | ${ }_{\text {Return }}^{6,203,945}$ | ${ }_{\text {Depreciation }}^{1,323,133}$ | $\underset{\text { Amount }}{1,80,945}$ | ${ }_{\text {Revenue }}^{\substack{\text { R,708,023.44 }}}$ |
| See Calculations for each item belon | 35,381,994 | 616 | 502,953 | (4,576,825) | 31,308,738.36 | 1,813,332 | 279,191 | 235,354 | 2,327,87.21 | 6,585,059 | 1,323,133 | 1,180,945 | 9,089,137.18 |

For Plant in Service
"Pre-Commercial Exp" is equal to the amount of pre-comm Revenue is equal to the "Return" "llinestment" times FCR "Reconciliation Amount" is created in the reconciliation in $A$

1
2
:

10
11
12
13
14
4
5
16

17 balances plus prior year of 13 -mo CWIP balances.
Annual Depreciation Exp from Attachment 5

18
19
20
See Calculations for each item below
See Calculations for each item below

## For Plant in Service

"Pre-Commercial Exp" is equal to the amount of pre-comme Revenue is equal to the "Return" ""Investment" times FCR) "Reconciliation Amount" is created in the reconciliation in At

1
2

Annual Depreciaion Exp from Attachment 5

18
19
See Calculations for each item below

## For Plant in Service

"Pre-Commercial Exp" is equal to the amount of pre-comme
Revenue is equal to the "Return" "'Investment" times FCR)
"Reconciliation Amount is created in the reconciliation in $A$.

## Template for Annual Information Filings with Formula Rate Debt Cost Disclosure and True-Up

 Attachment 8, page 1, Table 1 and 2

| YEAR ENDED |  | (a) | (bb) | (cc) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (dd) } \\ \text { (Discount) } \end{gathered}$ |  | (ee) |  | $\stackrel{(\text { flf })}{\text { Loss/Gain on }}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{gg}) \\ \text { Less Related } \end{gathered}$ | (hh) |  | (ii) | (i) | (kk) |  | (II) <br> Effective Cost Rate (Yield to Maturity at Issuance, $\mathrm{t}=0$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long Term Debt Issuances | Affiliate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Issue } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Maturity Date |  | Amount |  | Premium t Issuance |  | Issuance Expense | Reacquired Debt | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADIT } \\ \text { (Attachment 1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Net }}$ | Proceeds | Coupon |  | Annual Interest |  |
| First Mortgage Bonds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) 7.09\%, Debenture Descripition, Series, Name of Issuer | No | 1/1/2014 | 6/3012025 | \$ | 300,000,000 | \$ | (2,400,000) | \$ | 3,000,000 | - | xxx | \$ | 294,600,000 | 98.2000 | 0.07090 | \$ | 21,270,000 | 7.324\% |
| (2) Coupon rate, Deenenture Descripition, Series, Name of Issuer |  | xxx | xxx |  | xxx |  | xxx |  | xxx | xxx | xxx |  | xxx | xxxx | xxx |  | xxxx | xx.xxxx |
| Other Long Term Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| (3) 6.6\%, Medium Term Notes, Series, Name of Issuer | No | 411/2014 | 06/30/2024 |  | 200,000,000 |  |  |  | 2,000,000 |  | xxx | \$ | 198,000,000 | 99.0000 | 0.06600 |  | 13,200,000 | 6.735\% |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 500,000,000 |  | (2,400,000) | \$ | 5,000,000 | - | xxx | \$ | 492,600,000 |  |  | \$ | 34,470,000 |  |

Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company
Attachment 8, page 2, Table 3
TABLE 3: Project Financing Costs for Long Term Debt Credit Line Drawdowns using the Internal Rate of Return Methodology

```
Hypothetical Example: Construction project financing wiir be a 7 year loan, where by Company pays Origination Fees of \(\$ 5.2\) milion; Commitments Fee of \(0.3 \%\) on the undrawn principal
and interest on amounts drawn.
Consistent with GAAP, Company will amortize the Origination Fees and Commitments Fees using the standard Internal Rate of Return ("IRR") formula below.
The IRR is the fluctuating effective yield to maturity of the construction project financing loan at a given time "t".
Each year, Company will reconcile the amounts withdrawn, the interest paid in the year, Origination Fees, Commitments Fees, and total loan amount on this attachment. Company
anticipates entering into permanent financing at the end of the term of the project financing, when the project is in-service. At such time, Company will reconcile amounts borrowed,
issuance cost, issuance discount or premium, interest paid, etc., on Table 2.
IRR= Internal Rate of Return; NPV = Net Present Value; C = Net Cashflows (Column I below); \(\mathrm{t}=\) time period; pwr = exponential power.
```

| Total Loan Amount | \$ | 550,000,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internal Rate of Return ${ }^{1}$ |  | 4.83360\% |
| Based on following Financial Formula ${ }^{2}$ :$\mathrm{NPV}=0=\sum_{t=1}^{N} C_{t} /(1+I R R) p w r(t)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Origination Fees |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Origination Fees | 7,780,954 |
| Addition Origination Fees | 15,125 |
| Total Issuance Expense | 7,796,079 |
| Revolving Credit Commitment Fee | 0.0050 |
| Revolving Credit Commitment Fee | 0.0037 |


|  | 2008 | 2008 | 2008 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIBOR Rate | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate | 6.13\% | 3.86\% | 4.05\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% | 4.34\% |


| (A) Year | (B) | (C) <br> Capital Expenditures | (D) <br> Principle Drawn In Quarter ( $\$ 000$ 's) | (E) <br> Principle Drawn To Date | Outstanding Debt Balance | (F) | (G) ${ }_{\text {Origination Fees }}$ | (H) ${ }_{\text {Commitment }}$ | (I) <br> Net Cash Flows (D-F-G-H) | Interest at effective rate | Amortization of origination fees and commitment fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/24/2007 | Q4 | 68,183,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |  |  |  | 10,000,000 | - | - |
| 3/24/2008 | Q1 | 25,543,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 155,048 |  |  | $(155,048)$ | 118,382 | $(36,665)$ |
| 6/23/2008 | Q2 | 20,509,000 |  | 10,000,000 | 9,963,335 | 97,477 |  |  | $(97,477)$ | 117,948 | 20,471 |
| 8/15/2008 | Q3 | - | 55,000,000 | 65,000,000 | 9,983,805 | 59,689 | 7,780,954 | - | 47,159,357 | 68,667 | 8,978 |
| 8/25/2008 | Q3 | - |  | 65,000,000 | 57,211,829 | - | 15,125 | - | $(15,125)$ | 74,038 | 74,038 |
| 9/15/2008 | Q3 | - | $(20,000,000)$ | 45,000,000 | 57,270,742 | 243,025 | - | - | $(20,243,025)$ | 155,750 | $(87,274)$ |
| 9/30/2008 | Q3 | 24,995,000 | - | 45,000,000 | 37,183,468 | - | - | 235,521 | $(235,521)$ | 72,202 | 72,202 |
| 10/15/2008 | Q3 | - | 20,000,000 | 65,000,000 | 37,020,149 | - | - | - | 20,000,000 | 71,885 | 71,885 |
| 12/15/2008 | Q4 | - | 25,000,000 | 90,000,000 | 57,092,034 | 718,999 |  | - | 24,281,001 | 452,175 | $(266,824)$ |
| 1/6/2009 | Q1 | 42,068,000 | - | 90,000,000 | 81,825,210 | - |  | 618,334 | $(618,334)$ | 233,139 | 233,139 |
| 3/15/2009 | Q1 | 75,475,000 | 60,791,000 | 150,791,000 | 81,440,015 | 963,123 |  | 575,000 | 59,252,877 | 719,358 | $(243,765)$ |
| 6/15/2009 | Q2 | 66,048,000 | 78,284,000 | 229,075,000 | 141,412,250 | 1,649,530 |  | 499,011 | 76,135,459 | 1,692,576 | 43,047 |
| 9/15/2009 | Q3 | 61,175,000 | 53,475,000 | 282,550,000 | 219,240,286 | 2,505,892 |  | 401,156 | 50,567,952 | 2,624,107 | 118,215 |
| 12/15/2009 | Q4 | 73,715,000 | 54,288,000 | 336,838,000 | 272,432,345 | 3,057,268 |  | 334,313 | 50,896,419 | 3,225,116 | 167,848 |
| 3/15/2010 | Q1 | 168,370,000 | 92,260,000 | 429,098,000 | 326,553,880 | 3,604,628 |  | 266,453 | 88,388,919 | 3,823,090 | 218,462 |
| 6/15/2010 | Q2 | 83,172,000 | 80,476,000 | 509,574,000 | 418,765,889 | 4,693,979 |  | 151,128 | 75,630,893 | 5,012,248 | 318,269 |
| 9/15/2010 | Q3 | 70,980,000 | 40,426,000 | 550,000,000 | 499,409,031 | 5,574,321 |  | 50,533 | 34,801,147 | 5,977,473 | 403,153 |
| 12/15/2010 | Q4 | 56,349,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 540,187,651 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,394,864 | 443,714 |
| 3/15/2011 | Q1 | 58,293,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 540,631,364 | 5,885,753 |  |  | $(5,885,753)$ | 6,329,376 | 443,623 |
| 6/15/2011 | Q2 | 59,524,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 541,074,987 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,476,177 | 459,629 |
| 9/15/2011 | Q3 | 42,228,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 541,534,616 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,481,678 | 465,130 |
| 12/15/2011 | Q4 | 39,701,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 541,999,746 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,416,316 | 465,166 |
| 3/15/2012 | Q1 | 42,672,000 | - | 550,000,000 | 542,464,912 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,421,823 | 470,672 |
| 6/15/2012 | Q2 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 542,935,584 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,498,447 | 481,899 |
| 9/15/2012 | Q3 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 543,417,483 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,504,215 | 487,667 |
| 12/15/2012 | Q4 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 543,905,150 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,438,873 | 487,722 |
| 3/15/2013 | Q1 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 544,392,872 | 5,885,753 |  |  | $(5,885,753)$ | 6,373,413 | 487,660 |
| 6/15/2013 | Q2 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 544,880,532 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,521,726 | 505,178 |
| 9/15/2013 | Q3 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 545,385,710 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,527,772 | 511,225 |
| 12/15/2013 | Q4 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 545,896,934 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,462,452 | 511,301 |
| 3/15/2014 | Q1 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 546,408,236 | 5,885,753 |  |  | $(5,885,753)$ | 6,397,008 | 511,255 |
| 6/15/2014 | Q2 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 546,919,490 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,546,130 | 529,582 |
| 9/15/2014 | Q3 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 547,449,073 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,552,469 | 535,921 |
| 12/15/2014 | Q4 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 547,984,994 | 5,951,151 |  |  | $(5,951,151)$ | 6,487,171 | 536,020 |
| 3/15/2015 | Q1 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 548,521,014 | 5,885,753 |  |  | $(5,885,753)$ | 6,421,743 | 535,990 |
| 6/15/2015 | Q2 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 549,057,004 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,571,715 | 555,167 |
| 9/15/2015 | Q3 | - | - | 550,000,000 | 549,612,171 | 6,016,548 |  |  | $(6,016,548)$ | 6,578,359 | 561,811 |
| 8/15/2015 | Q3 |  | - | 550,000,000 | 550,173,982 | $(2,027,315)$ |  |  | $(547,972,685)$ | $(2,201,297)$ | $(173,982)$ |

[^17][^18]
## ATTACHMENT H-3D

| Delmarva Power \& Light Company |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula Rate - Appendix A |  | Notes | FERC Form 1 Page \# or Instruction | 2008 |  |
| Shaded cells are input cells |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Transmission Wages Expense |  | p354.21.b | \$ | 2,247,047 |
| 2 | Total Wages Expense |  | p354.28b | \$ | 30,225,895 |
| 3 | Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | p354.27b | \$ | 2,553,786 |
| 4 | Total |  | (Line 2-3) |  | 27,672,109 |
| 5 | Wages \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 1/4) |  | 8.1203\% |
| Plant Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Electric Plant in Service | (Note B) | p207.104g | \$ | 2,097,683,993 |
| 7 | Common Plant In Service - Electric |  | (Line 24) |  | 74,730,016 |
| 8 | Total Plant In Service |  | (Sum Lines 6 \& 7) |  | 2,172,414,009 |
| 9 | Accumulated Depreciation (Total Electric Plant) |  | p219.29c | \$ | 810,491,470 |
| 10 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21c | \$ | 25,847,304 |
| 11 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 17,196,214 |
| 12 | Accumulated Common Plant Depreciation - Electric | (Note A) | p356 | \$ | 37,798,490 |
| 13 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Sum Lines 9 to 12) |  | 891,333,478 |
| 14 | Net Plant |  | (Line 8-13) |  | 1,281,080,531 |
| 15 | Transmission Gross Plant |  | (Line 29 - Line 28) |  | 667,417,533 |
| 16 | Gross Plant Allocator |  | (Line 15/8) |  | 30.7224\% |
| 17 | Transmission Net Plant |  | (Line 39 - Line 28) |  | 404,019,002 |
| 18 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 17/14) |  | 31.5374\% |


| Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Note B) | p207.58.g | \$ | 641,302,061 |
| 20 | For Reconciliation only - remove New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year | For Reconciliation Only | Attachment 6 - Enter Negative |  |  |
| 21 | New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year (weighted by months in service) |  | Attachment 6 |  | 12,059,758 |
| 22 | Total Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Line 19-20-21) |  | 653,361,819 |
| 23 | General \& Intangible |  | p205.5.g \& p207.99.g |  | 98,364,376 |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) | (Notes A \& B) | p356 |  | 74,730,016 |
| 25 | Total General \& Common |  | (Line 23 + 24) |  | 173,094,392 |
| 26 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.12026\% |
| 27 | General \& Common Plant Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 25*26) |  | 14,055,713 |
| 28 | Plant Held for Future Use (Including Land) | (Note C) | p214 |  | 0 |
| 29 | TOTAL Plant In Service |  | (Line 22+27+28) |  | $\underline{667,417,533}$ |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation | (Note B) | p219.25.c | \$ | 254,178,010 |
| 31 | Accumulated General Depreciation |  | p219.28.c | \$ | 32,707,577 |
| 32 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization |  | (Line 10) |  | 25,847,304 |
| 33 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric |  | (Line 11) |  | 17,196,214 |
| 34 | Common Plant Accumulated Depreciation (Electric Only) |  | (Line 12) |  | 37,798,490 |
| 35 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Sum Lines 31 to 34) |  | 113,549,585 |
| 36 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.12026\% |
| 37 | General \& Common Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 35*36) |  | 9,220,521 |
| 38 | TOTAL Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 30 + 37) |  | 263,398,531 |
| 39 | TOTAL Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 29-38) |  | 404,019,002 |


| Adjustment To Rate Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | ADIT net of FASB 106 and 109 |  |  | Attachment 1 |  | -115,124,961 |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 | Enter Negative | (Notes A \& I) | p266.h |  | -6,204,252 |
| 42 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 18) |  | 31.54\% |
| 43 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes Allocated To Transmission |  |  | (Line 41*42) + Line 40 |  | -117,081,618 |
| 43a | Transmission Related CWIP (Current Year 12 Month weighted average balances) |  | (Note B) | p216.43.b as Shown on Attachment 6 |  | 5,283,249 |
| Transmission O\&M Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Total Balance Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves |  | Enter Negative | Attachment 5 |  | -2,000,920 |
| Prepayments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Prepayments |  | (Note A) | Attachment 5 |  | 15,495,543 |
| 46 | Total Prepayments Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 45) |  | 15,495,543 |
| Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp |  | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c | \$ | 1,612,994 |
| 48 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.12\% |
| 49 | Total Transmission Allocated |  |  | (Line 47* 48) |  | 130,979 |
| 50 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |  |  | p227.8c |  | 4,028,772 |
| 51 | Total Materials \& Supplies Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line $49+50)$ |  | 4,159,751 |
| Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense |  |  | (Line 85) |  | 13,976,762 |
| 53 | 1/8th Rule |  |  | x 1/8 |  | 12.5\% |
| 54 | Total Cash Working Capital Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 52 * 53) |  | 1,747,095 |
| Network Credits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 56 | Less Accumulated Depreciation Associated with Facilities with Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 57 | Net Outstanding Credits |  |  | (Line 55-56) |  | 0 |
| 58 | TOTAL Adjustment to Rate Base |  |  | (Line $43+43 \mathrm{a}+44+46+51+54-57)$ |  | -92,396,899 |
| 59 | Rate Base |  |  | (Line $39+58$ ) |  | $\underline{311,622,103}$ |



## Composite Income Taxes

| Income Tax Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate |  |  | 35.00\% |
| 129 | SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite | (Note I) |  | 8.39\% |
| 130 | p ( $\mathrm{p}^{\text {a }}$ (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) |  | Per State Tax Code | 0.00\% |
| 131 | T $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT}) *(1-\mathrm{FIT})] /(1-\mathrm{SIT}$ * FIT * p $)$ ) $=$ |  |  | 40.45\% |
| 132 | T/ (1-T) |  |  | 67.94\% |
|  | ITC Adjustment | (Note I) |  |  |
| 133 | Amortized Investment Tax Credit | enter negative | Attachment 1 | -232,486 |
| 134 | T/(1-T) |  | (Line 132) | 67.94\% |
| 135 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  | (Line 18) | 31.5374\% |
| 136 | ITC Adjustment Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 133 * $1+134$ * 135) | -123,132 |
| 137 | Income Tax Component = CIT=(T/1-T) * Investment Return * (1-(WCLTD/R)) = |  | [Line 132 * 127 * (1-(123/126))] | 11,694,432 |
| 138 | Total Income Taxes |  | (Line 136 + 137) | 11,571,300 |
| REVENUE REQUIREMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 39) | 404,019,002 |
| 140 | Adjustment to Rate Base |  | (Line 58) | -92,396,899 |
| 141 | Rate Base |  | (Line 59) | 311,622,103 |
| 142 | O\&M |  | (Line 85) | 13,976,762 |
| 143 | Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Line 97) | 15,971,476 |
| 144 | Taxes Other than Income |  | (Line 99) | 5,766,874 |
| 145 | Investment Return |  | (Line 127) | 24,757,798 |
| 146 | Income Taxes |  | (Line 138) | 11,571,300 |
| 147 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Sum Lines 142 to 146) | 72,044,212 |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities |  |  |  |  |
| 148 | Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Line 19) | 641,302,061 |
| 149 | Excluded Transmission Facilities | (Note M) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 150 | Included Transmission Facilities |  | (Line 148-149) | 641,302,061 |
| 151 | Inclusion Ratio |  | (Line 150/148) | 100.00\% |
| 152 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 147) | 72,044,212 |
| 153 | Adjusted Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 151 * 152) | 72,044,212 |
| Revenue Credits \& Interest on Network Credits |  |  |  |  |
| 154 | Revenue Credits |  | Attachment 3 | 8,054,237 |
| 155 | Interest on Network Credits | (Note N) | PJM Data | - |
| 156 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 153-154 + 155) | 63,989,975 |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) | 63,989,975 |
| 158 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) | 387,124,051 |
| 159 | Net Plant Carrying Charge |  | (Line 157/158) | 16.5296\% |
| 160 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation |  | (Line 157-86) / 158 | 12.5524\% |
| 161 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes |  | (Line 157-86-127-138) / 158 | 3.1681\% |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge Calculation per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | Net Revenue Requirement Less Return and Taxes |  | (Line 156-145-146) | 27,660,876 |
| 163 | Increased Return and Taxes |  | Attachment 4 | 38,887,309 |
| 164 | Net Revenue Requirement per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line $162+163)$ | 66,548,185 |
| 165 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) | 387,124,051 |
| 166 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line 164 / 165) | 17.1904\% |
| 167 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE without Depreciation |  | (Line 163-86) / 165 | 13.2133\% |
| 168 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) | 63,989,975 |
| 169 | True-up amount |  | Attachment 6 | $(6,645,698)$ |
| 170 | Plus any increased ROE calculated on Attachment 7 other than PJM Sch. 12 projects |  | Attachment 7 | 299,490 |
| 171 | Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 |  | Attachment 5 | - |
| 172 | Net Zonal Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 168-169 + 171) | 57,643,767 |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | 1 CP Peak | (Note L) | PJM Data | 3,991 |
| 174 | Rate (\$/MW-Year) |  | (Line $172 / 173$ ) | 14,444 |
| 175 | Network Service Rate (\$/MW/Year) |  | (Line 174) | 14,444 |

Notes
A Electric portion only
B Exclude Construction Work In Progress and leases that are expensed as $0 \& M$ (rather than amortized). New Transmission plant
that is expected to be placed in service in the current calendar year weighted by number of months it is expected to be in-service. New Transmission plant expected
to be placed in service in the current calendar year that is not included in the PJM Regional Transmission Plan (RTEP) must be separately detailed on Attachment 5
For the Reconciliation, new transmission plant that was actually placed in service weighted by the number of months it was actually in service
CWIP will be linked to Attachment 6 which shows detail support by project (incentive and non-incentive).
C Transmission Portion Only
E All Regulatory Commission Expenses
F Safety related advertising included in Account 930.1
G Regulatory Commission Expenses directly related to transmission service, RTO filings, or transmission siting itemized in Form 1 at 351 .h
I The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and p=
The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility includes taxes in more than one state, it must explain
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility includes taxes in more than one stat
Attachment 5 the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that
elected to use amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduce
rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f)
multiplied by ( $111-\mathrm{T}$ ). A utility must not include tax credits as a reduction to rate base and as an amortization against taxable income
Per FERC order in Docket No. ERO8-10, the ROE is $11.30 \%$, which includes a 50 basis-point RTO membership adder as authorized by FERC to become effective on December 1, 2007. Per FERC orders in Docket Nos. ER08-686 and ERO8-1423, the ROE for specific projects identified or to be indentified in Attachment 7 is $12.80 \%$, which includes a 150 basis-point transmission incentive ROE adder as authorized by FERC to become effective June 1,2008 and J November 1,2008 respectively.
Education and outreach expenses relating to transmission, for example siting or billing
As provided for in Section 34.1 of the PJM OATT and the PJM established billing determinants will not be revised or updated in the annual rate reconciliations per settlement in ER05-515.
M Amount of transmission plant excluded from rates per Attachment 5 .
I (heet of accumulated deprecian
. Payments made under Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT that are not directly assessed to load in the Zone under Schedule 12 are included in Transmission O\&M.
If they are booked to Acct 565 , they are included in on line 64
P Securitization bonds may be included in the capital structure per settlement in ER05-515.
Q ACE capital structure is initially fixed at $50 \%$ common equity and $50 \%$ debt per settlement in $E R 05-515$ subject to moratorium provisions in the settlement
R Per the settlement in ER05-515, the facility credits of $\$ 15,000$ per month paid to Vineland will increase to $\$ 37,500$ per month (prorated for partial months) effective on the date FERC approves the settlement in ER05-515.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Plant Related | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor } \\ & \text { Related } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tital } \\ & \text { ADIT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADIT. 282 | 0 | $(345,173,966)$ | 0 |  |
| ADIT-283 | 0 | (19,428,353) | (71,672,056) |  |
| ADIT-190 | 0 | 9,464,666 | $(2,441,108)$ |  |
| Subtotal | 0 | $(355,137,653)$ | (74,113,164) | $(429,250,817)$ |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  | 8.1203\% |  |
| Gross Plant Allocator |  | 30.7224\% |  |  |
| ADIT | 0 | (109,106,780) | $(6,018,181)$ | (115,124,961) |

Note: ADIT associated with Gain or Loss on Reacquired Debt is included in Column A here and included in Cost of Debt on Appendix A, Line 111

| In filling out this attachment, a full and complete description with amounts exceeding $\$ 100,000$ will be listed separately. |  | CGas, ProdOr Other Related | cation to Co | and each |  | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\text { B }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| ADIT-190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merrill Creek Excess Capacity | 6,072,741 | 6,072,741 |  |  |  | This represents deferred tax generated as a result of an extraordinary charge deducted for books relating to impaired assets due to the effects of deregulation. For tax purposes, the impairment did not give rise to a tax deduction. Deductions for tax ar |
| Above Market Sales Contracts | 3,031,920 | 3,031,920 |  |  |  | This represents deferred tax generated as a result of a book expense related to Energy Trading. For tax purposes, this item did not give rise to a tax deduction. Deductions for tax will be amortized over future periods. Generation related. |
| Below Market Sales Contracts | (391,896) | $(391,896)$ |  |  |  | This represents deferred tax generated as a result of a book reserve related to Energy Trading. For tax purposes, this item did not give rise to a tax deduction as did not meet the "all events" test. Generation related. |
| Deferred Restructuring Costs | (199,144) | (199,144) |  |  |  | These deferred taxes are the result of books deferring costs associated with the deregulation of the Energy Business. For tax, these costs were deducted as ordinary and necessary expenses under IRC section 162. Retail related. |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | 4,714,669 | 4,714,669 |  |  |  | Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, taxpayers were required to switch from the reserve method for bad debts to the specific write-off method. The amounts previously accumulated in a reserve were required to be included in taxable incom over a four year pe |
| Excess Property Reserve | (7,023) | (7,023) |  |  |  | This represents deferred tax generated as a result of a book reserve related to deregulation of the Energy Business. For tax purposes, this item did not give rise t a tax deduction as it did not meet the "all events" test. Generation related. |
| Environmental Expense | (56,259) | (56,259) |  |  |  | aside a reserve for environmental site clean-up expenses. For tax no deduction is |
| Merger Costs | (6,068,791) | (6,068,791) |  |  |  | Reflects deferred taxes generated on Delmanva Power \& Light Company lAtantic |
| Claims Reserve | 2,280,868 |  |  | $2,280,868$ |  | These deferred taxes are the result of a deduction taken for book purposes to se |
| Emissions Allowances | $(50,559)$ | (50,559) |  |  |  | Proceeds from the sale of emissions allowances are deferred, pending future rate |
| Preliminary Survey \& Investigation Costs | (670) | (670) |  |  |  | immaterial |
| Building Maintenance Accrual | 88,495 | 88,495 |  |  |  | Acct 242650 immaterial |
| Merrill Creek - Rent | 4,041,091 | 4,041,091 |  |  |  | These deferred taxes are the result of rent being recorded ratably over the life of th lease for book purposes. For tax, rent is deductible when economic performance occurs. This asset is Generation related |
| Wilmington Coal Gas Site Cleanup | $(723,292)$ | $(723,292)$ |  |  |  | Timing differences related to Gas operations. |
| Merger Costs | 458,232 |  |  |  | 458,232 | Reflects deferred taxes generated on Delmarva Power \& Light Company /Atlantic City Electric Company merger costs deducted for tax purposes. For books these costs were capitalized. Pension related and therefore labor related. |
| Performance Based Restr.Stck | (938,766) |  |  |  | (938,766) | Relates to Executive compensation that tax can not deduct until all restriction lapse |
| Capital Loss over Capital Gain | $(18,302)$ |  |  | $(18,302)$ |  | This relates to a capital loss carry forward, tax can not deduct loss in excess of capital gain. |
| PJM Member Defautis | 16,062 |  |  | 16,062 |  | December 2007 two members of PJM were declared in defaut on their obligations |
| Blueprint for the Future | (686,745) |  |  | (686,745) |  | is designed to help customers, both residential and business, manage their energy |
| Merger/ERO Paid Out of Pension | (576,381) |  |  |  | (576,381) | This relates to ACE/DPL merger seperation payments paid out of pension fund ;this is deductible when pension is fully funded. |
| Miscellaneous | (1,036,828) | (1,036,828) |  |  |  | Timing differences related to Gas operations. |
| Deferred Fuel | 7,715,087 | 7,715,087 |  |  |  | To help utilities cope with price fluctuations, many regulators have approved rate tariffs that allow rates to be adjusted through fuel adjustment clauses that pass through actual fuel expense increases/decreases to rate payers by means of surcharges or $r$ |
| Summit Land Transfer | 42 | 42 |  |  |  | transaction was disregarded resulting in deferred taxes. Tax liability is recognized |
| Venture Capital Invest/Partnership Inc | 359,976 | 359,976 |  |  |  | Investment attributable to non-utility operations |
| Gain on Sale of Microwave Systems | (234,579) |  |  | (234,579) |  | The deferred tax balance reflects the difference between the book gain and tax gail on the disposition assets. Involves both T \& D facilities. |
| MD DSM Deferred Interest | $(344,100)$ | $(344,100)$ |  |  |  | deferred costs balance. For tax these costs are expensed when paid. These |
| Deferred ITC | 6,103,655 |  |  | 6,103,655 |  | encompass all timing differences regardless of whether the difference is normalize or flowed-through. These balances primarily represent the deferred taxes on prior |
| Plant Related | 77,922,895 | 77,922,895 |  |  |  | Life and method differences related to all plant |
| Pension And Other Labor Related | $(1,384,192)$ |  |  |  | $(1,384,192)$ | Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| OPEB | 3,078,796 |  |  |  | 3,078,796 | OPEB contributions are made to the trust. These deferred taxes are the result of |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Other Adjusment | $(2,656,272)$ | (2,656,272) |  |  |  | Adjustment relatied to other plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p234 | 100,510,730 | 92,412,083 | 0 | 7,460,959 | 637,688 |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | $(2,003,707)$ |  |  | $(2,003,707)$ |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed | 3,078,796 |  |  |  | 3,078,796 |  |
| Total | 99,435,641 | 92,412,083 | . | 9,464,666 | (2,441,108) |  |

nstructions for Account 190:

- ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water,

ADIT items re Ony Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
ADIT items related to labor and not in Couns $C$ \& are included in Column E
-Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable
6. Re: Form 1.F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1-F, p.113.57.c

Delmarva Power \& Light Company
hent 1. Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

| ADIT-282 A | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { c } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Gas, Prod } \\ \text { Or Other } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ Related | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ \text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Justification | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoverable Income Tax | (62,550,021) |  |  | (62,550,021) |  | FASB 109 gross up, removed below |  |
| Plant Related | $(405,789,619)$ | (60,615,653) |  | $(345,173,966)$ |  | Plant |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p275 | (468,339,640) | (60,615,653) | 0 | (407,723,987) | 0 |  |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | (62,550,021) |  |  | (62,550,021) |  |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | (405,789,619) | (60,615,653) | 0 | (345,173,966) | 0 |  |  |

```
Mstructions for Account 282;
    ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
    ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C& D are included in Column E
    ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns & & are included in Column F
    - ADTT items related to labor and not in Columns C&D are incluc
. Re: Form 1.F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1.F, p.113.57.c
```

Delmarva Power \& Light Company
Worksheet

| ADIT-283 | A | B <br> Total | C <br> Gas, Prod <br> Or Other <br> Related | O <br> Transmission <br> Related | Plant <br> Related | Labor <br> Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Mark to Market Adj | (268,887) | $(268,887)$ |  |  |  | For tax, DPL elected to be a dealer in securities and marks their section 475 trade receivables to market value by means of schedule m adjustments. For book purposes, the change in market value of securities is generally not recognized. These are the de |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Taxes | 782,416 | 782,416 |  |  |  | For book purposes, certain real estate taxes were expensed. For tax purposes, those taxes were capitalized and are being depreciated. Unregulated related |
| Copco Deferred Fuel | $(892,292)$ | $(892,292)$ |  |  |  | Deferred tax relates to fuel costs for retail customers |
| Reg Liab - MD SOS Energy | $(6,677,651)$ | $(6,677,651)$ |  |  |  | Retail SOS, Other |
| Reg Liab - MD SOS Transmission | $(438,251)$ | $(438,251)$ |  |  |  | Retail $\operatorname{SOS}$, Other |
| Reg Liab - DE SOS Energy | $(2,109,604)$ | $(2,109,604)$ |  |  |  | Retail SOS, Other |
| Reg Liab - DE SOS Transmission | 504,203 | 504,203 |  |  |  | Retail SOS, Other |
| Gas Environmental surcharge | 216,255 | 216,255 |  |  |  | Gas related |
| Miscellaneous | (500,904) | $(500,904)$ |  |  |  | Miscellaneous temporary differences that are less than $\$ 100,000$ for each item. |
| Copco Carrying Charge | (1,487,420) | $(1,487,420)$ |  |  |  | These deferred taxes are the result of fuel associated costs that are amortized for book purposes. For tax these cost were deducted when paid. Retail related. |
| Copco DSM Costs | 41,769 | 41,769 |  |  |  | For books, Demand Side Management Costs are deferred. Interest accrues on the deferred costs balance. For tax these costs are expensed when paid. These deferred taxes are the result of this book/tax difference which is retail in nature. |
| MD DSM Deferred Interest | 346,833 | 346,833 |  |  |  | For books, Demand Side Management Costs are deferred. Interest accrues on the deferred costs balance. For tax these costs are expensed when paid. These deferred taxes are the result of this book/tax difference which is retail in nature. |
| Capitalized Interest | 393,048 |  |  | 393,048 |  | The Tax Reform Act of 1986 eliminated the current deduction for interest incurred during construction and required that it be capitalized and depreciated over the tax life of the asset. This deferred tax is due to the differences in the way AFUDC-debt is |
| AFUDC Debt | $(248,914)$ |  |  | $(248,914)$ |  | For book purposes, AFUDC is capitalized and depreciated. For tax purposes, AFUDC is not recognized. Related to all plant. |
| Repair Allowance | (3,970,730) |  |  | $(3,970,730)$ |  | Deferred tax represents the difference between tax deductible repairs and book capitalization of repair costs related to all plant |
| Reserve Adjustment | (167,000) |  |  | $(167,000)$ |  | Depreciation adjustment related to all plant |
| Plant Related | $(347,853)$ |  |  | $(347,853)$ |  | Life and method differences related to all plant |
| Pension/OPEB AND Other Labor Related | (71,306,626) |  |  |  | (71,306,626) | Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| Other | (54,313) | (54,313) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p277 (Form 1-F filer: see note 6, below) | (100,267,564) | (24,231,850) | - | (4,363,658) | (71,672,056) |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | 15,064,695 |  |  | 15,064,695 |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed | 0 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Total | (115,332,259) | (24,231,850) |  | (19,428,353) | (71,672,056) |  |

Instructions for Account 283:
Non:Electric Operations (e.g, Gas, Water
ADTT items relateded to to Plant and not in Columns $C \& D$ are included in Colum
ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $C \& D$ are included in Column $F$
f. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable
.
De/marva Power \& Light Company
hment 1- Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

ADITC-255

|  | \|tem | Balance | Amortization |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate Base Treatment |  |  |  |  |
| Balance to line 41 of Appendix A | Total | 6,204,252 | 527,042 |  |
| Amortization |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization to line 133 of Appendix A | Total | 1,320,569 | 232,486 | Excludes \$56,643 related to gas function amortization |
|  |  |  |  | Excludes $\$ 759,528 \mathrm{related}$ to gas function balance |
| Total |  | 7,524,821 | 759,528 |  |
| Total Form No. 1 (p 266 \& 267 ) |  | 7,524,821 | 759,528 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference /1 |  | (0) | 0 |  |

11 Difference must be zero

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

## Attachment 2-Taxes Other Than Income Worksheet

|  | Page 263 Allocated |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Other Taxes | Col (i) Allocator | Amount |


| Plant Related |  | Gross Plant Allocator |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Real property (State, Municipal or Local) | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 3 7 , 9 7 2}$ |  |
| 2 Personal property |  |  |  |
| 3 Federal/State Excise |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 | $17,837,972$ | $30.7224 \%$ |  |
| 6 |  |  | $5,480,252$ |

Labor Related Wages \& Salary Allocator

| 7 Federal FICA \& Unemployment | 3,369,658 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 Unemployment | 40,932 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| Total Labor Related | 3,410,590 | 8.1203\% | 276,949 |
| Other Included | Gross Plant Allocator |  |  |
| 12 Miscellaneous | 31,489 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| Total Other Included | 31,489 | 30.7224\% | 9,674 |
| Total Included | 21,280,051 |  | 5,766,874 |
| Excluded |  |  |  |
| 15 State Franchise Tax | 5,795,404 |  |  |
| 16 Gross Receipts | - |  |  |
| 17 Sales and Use | 296,253 |  |  |
| 18 Utility Tax for Delmarva | 11,409,469 |  |  |
| 19 City License | 3,996 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 Total "Other" Taxes (included on p. 263) | 38,785,173 |  |  |
| 22 Total "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" - acct 408.10 (p. 114.14) | 38,785,173 |  |  |

23 Difference

## Criteria for Allocation

A Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of plant including transmission plant will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they will not be included
B Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of only general or intangible plant will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they will not be included
C Other taxes that are assessed based on labor will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator
D Other taxes except as provided for in A, B and C above, that are incurred and (1) are not fully recovered at retail or (2) are directly or indirectly related to transmission service will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator; provided, however, that overheads shall be treated as in footnote $B$ above
E Excludes prior period adjustments in the first year of the formula's operation and reconciliation for the first year

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

## Attachment 3-Revenue Credit Workpaper

| Account $\mathbf{4 5 4}$ - Rent from Electric Property |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1 Rent from Electric Property - Transmission Related (Note 3) | 1,177,703 |
| 2 Total Rent Revenues | (Sum Line 1) |

## Account 456 - Other Electric Revenues (Note 1)

3 Schedule 1A
4 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the
4 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the
load is not included in the divisor (difference between NITS credits from PJM and PJM NITS charges paid by Transmission Owner) (Note 4)
5 Point to Point Service revenues for which the load is not included in the divisor received by Transmission Owner (Note 4)
6 PJM Transitional Revenue Neutrality (Note 1)
7 PJM Transitional Market Expansion (Note 1)
8 Professional Services (Note 3)
9 Revenues from Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges (Note 2)
10 Rent or Attachment Fees associated with Transmission Facilities (Note 3)
11 Gross Revenue Credits
(Sum Lines 2-10)
8,881,304
12 Less line 17 g
$(827,067)$
13 Total Revenue Credits
8,054,237

## Revenue Adjustment to determine Revenue Credit

14
Note 1: All revenues related to transmission that are received as a transmission owner (i.e. not received as a LSE), for which the cost of the service is recovered under this formula, except as specifically provided for elsewhere in this Attachment or elsewhere in the formula will be included as a revenue credit or included in the peak on line 173 of Appendix A.

15 Note 2: If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are included in the Rates, the associated revenues are included in the Rates. If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are not included in the Rates, the associated revenues are not included in the Rates.

16 Note 3: Ratemaking treatment for the following specified secondary uses of transmission assets: (1) right-of-way leases and leases for space on transmission facilities for telecommunications; (2) transmission tower licenses for wireless antennas; (3) right-of-way property leases for farming, grazing or nurseries; (4) licenses of intellectual property (including a portable oil degasification process and scheduling software); and (5) transmission maintenance and consulting services (including energized circuit maintenance, high-voltage substation maintenance, safety training, transformer oil testing, and circuit breaker testing) to other utilities and large customers (collectively, products). Company will retain $50 \%$ of net revenues consistent with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 90 FERC $\mathbb{I}$ 61,314 . Note: in order to use lines $17 \mathrm{a}-17 \mathrm{~g}$, the utility must track in separate subaccounts the revenues and costs associated with each secondary use (except for the cost of the associated income taxes).

17a Revenues included in lines 1-11 which are subject to $50 / 50$ sharing.
1,177,703
17b Costs associated with revenues in line 17a
17c Net Revenues (17a-17b)
17 c Net Revenues (17a-17b) $\quad 701,272$

17d 50\% Share of Net Revenues (17c / 2)
17e Costs associated with revenues in line 17a that are included in FERC accounts recovered through the formula times the allocator used to functionalize the amounts in the FERC account to the transmission service at issue.
17 f Net Revenue Credit (17d + 17e) 350,636
17 g Line 17 f less line 17 a
18
Note 4: If the facilities associated with the revenues are not included in the formula, the revenue is shown here but not included in the total above and is explained in the Cost Support; for example revenues associated with distribution facilities. In addition, Revenues from Schedule 12 are not included in the total above to the extent they are credited under Schedule 12.

19 Amount offset in line 4 above
20 Total Account 454, 456 and 456.1

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

## Attachment 4 - Calculation of 100 Basis Point Increase in ROE



## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

Electric / Non-electric Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Non-electric |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21c |  | 49,783,347 | 25,847,304 | 23,936,043 | See Form 1 |
| 11 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 20,471,683 | 17,196,213 | 3,275,470 | See Form 1 |
| 12 | Accumulated Common Plant Depreciation - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 44,998,201 | 37,98,490 | 7,199,711 | See Form 1 |
| Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) | (Notes A \& B) | p356 |  | 88,964,305 | 74,730,016 | 14,234,289 | See Form 1 |
|  | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 | (Notes A \& 1) | p266.h |  | 8,240,442 | 7,524,821 | 715,621 | See Form 1 |
|  | Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c | \$ | 1,685,822 | 1,612,994 | 72,828 | 95.68\% Electric, 4.32\% Non-Electric |
|  | Allocated General \& Common Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Plus Transmission Lease Payments | (Note A) | p200.3.c |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Common Plant O\&M | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1d\&e |  | 151,147 | 142,676 | 8,471 | See FERC Form 2, Page 337, Line 1, Column h for non-electric portion. |
| 92 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only | (Note A) | p336.11.b | \$ | 3,473,129 | 3,473,129 | 0 | See Form 1, electric only. |
| 93 | Common Amortization - Electric Only | (Note A) | p356 or p336.11d |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | See Form 1, electric only. |


| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | Transmission Related | Non-transmission Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Plant Held for Future Use (Including Land) ctly Assigned A\&G |  |  | 397,133 | 0 | 397,133 | Specific identification based on plant records: The following plant investments are included: |
| 73 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note C) | p323.160b | Enter | Enter | Enter | Enter Details |

## CWIP \& Expensed Lease Worksheet

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

## Plant Allocation Factors

Electric Plant in Service
Plant In Service
Transmission Plant In Service
Common Plant (Electric Only)
ccumulated Depreciation
Transmission Accumulated Depreciation

| (Note B) | p 207.104 g |
| :---: | :--- |
| (Note B) | $\mathrm{p} 207.58 . \mathrm{g}$ |
| (Notes A \& B) | p 356 |


| Form 1 Amount | CWIP In Form 1 <br> Amount | Expensed Lease in <br> Form 1 Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Details

| $\$ 2,097,683,993$ | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$$$641,302,061$ 0 0 <br> $74,730,016$ 0 0 | See Form 1 |  |  |
| $254,178,010$ | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |

EPRI Dues Cost Support
Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions
Allocated General \& Common Expenses
Less EPRI Dues
(Note D) p352-353
Form 1 Amount EPRI Dues
Details
$\qquad$

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

Attachment 5 - Cost Support

## Regulatory Expense Related to Transmission Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount |  | Transmission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-transmission } \\ & \text { Related } \end{aligned}$ | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ated General \& Common Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 tly Assigned A\&G | (Note E) | p323.189b |  | 3,299,506 | 0 | 3,299,506 | FERC related. |
| 77 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | p323.189b |  | 3,299,506 | 0 | 3,299,506 | FERC reated |

Safety Related Advertising Cost Support

|  | Attachment A Line \#s | tructi |  | Form 1 Amount | Safety Related | Non-safety Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ctly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | p323.191b | 88,557 | 0 | 88,557 |  |

## MultiState Workpaper



## Education and Out Reach Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions <br> Directly Assigned A\&G <br> General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 (Note K) p323.191b |
| :--- |

Form 1 Amount |  |
| :---: |
| Outreach |$\quad$ Other Outreach Other

Details

General Advertising Exp Account 930.1

| 88,557 | 0 | 88,557 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

None

## Excluded Plant Cost Support

## Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

 Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities149
Excluded Transmission Facilities

## Excluded

Facilities

## Instructions:

Enter \$
1 Remove all investment below 69 kV or generator step up transformers included in transmission plant in service that are not a result of the RTEP Process
2 If unable to determine the investment below 69 kV in a substation with investment of 69 kV and higher as well as below 69 kV , the following formula will be used:

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

## Attachment 5 - Cost Support

Outstanding Network Credits Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Outstanding Network Credits | Description of the Credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network Credits |  |  |  | Enter \$ |  |
| 55 | Outstanding Network Credits | (Note N ) | From PJM | 0 | General Description of the Credits |
|  |  |  |  |  | None |
| 56 | Less Accumulated Depreciation Associated with Facilities with Outstanding Network Credits | (Note N ) | From PJM | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | None |
|  |  |  |  |  | Add more lines if necessary |

## Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions 44 Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves (exclude current year environmental site related reserves)

Directly Assignable to Transmission
Labor Related, General plant related or Common Plant related
Plant Related
Other
Total Transmission Related Reserves

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

| Prepayments |
| :--- |
| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |
| 45 Prepayments |
| Pension Liabilities, if any, in Account 242 |
|  |
| Prepayments |
| Prepaid Pensions if not included in Prepayments |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |
| Electric vs Gas |
| Modified Wages \& Salaries Allocator |


| Transmission |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Related | Details |
| Amount |  |
| - |  |
| 614,355 |  |
| $1,386,565$ |  |
| $2,000,920$ |  |



## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

Attachment 5 - Cost Support
Interest on Outstanding Network Credits Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Interest on NetworkCredits |  | Description of the Interest on the Credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | enue Credits \& Interest on N Interest on Network Credits | (Note N) | PJM Data |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enter \$ |  | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Add more lines if necessary |

Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions
Net Revenue Requirement
Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515
Attachment 5

PJM Load Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | 1 CP Peak | Descripion \& PJM Documentation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | 1 CP Peak | (Note L) | PJM Data | 3,991 | See Form 1 |

Statements BG/BH (Present and Proposed Revenues)

| Customer | Billing Determinants Current Rate Proposed Rate | Current Revenues | Proposed Revenues | Change in Revenues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DPL zone |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

Attachment 5a-Allocations of Costs to Affiliate

|  | Delmarva |  | Atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Power |  | City |  | Pepco |  | Non - Regulated |  | Total |  |
| Executive Management | \$ | 6,088,669 | \$ | 4,685,228 | \$ | 13,055,598 |  | 11,843,832 | \$ | 35,673,327 |
| Security Services Administration |  | 448,463 |  | 281,895 |  | 1,103,634 |  | 215,476 |  | 2,049,468 |
| Purchasing, Storeroom \& Materials Mgt |  | 764,668 |  | 401,128 |  | 2,292,990 |  | 162,133 |  | 3,620,919 |
| Vehicle Resource Management |  | 823,131 |  | 510,583 |  | 667,782 |  | 23,980 |  | 2,025,476 |
| General Services |  | 2,499,014 |  | 1,185,490 |  | 1,992,218 |  | 833,669 |  | 6,510,391 |
| Building Services |  | 845,609 |  | 719,336 |  | 2,002,356 |  | 650,304 |  | 4,217,605 |
| Real Estate |  | 1,062,693 |  | 914,165 |  | 168,676 |  | 123,622 |  | 2,269,156 |
| Corporate Insurance Administration |  | 161,286 |  | 107,288 |  | 243,862 |  | 132,157 |  | 644,593 |
| Claims Administration |  | 554,166 |  | 522,344 |  | 1,258,298 |  | - |  | 2,334,808 |
| Regulatory Affairs |  | 3,557,440 |  | 2,525,542 |  | 5,206,817 |  | 51,787 |  | 11,341,586 |
| Accounts Payable Accounting Services |  | 480,561 |  | 369,796 |  | 415,968 |  | 175,455 |  | 1,441,780 |
| Payroll Services |  | 345,067 |  | 197,596 |  | 527,080 |  | 82,924 |  | 1,152,667 |
| Asset \& Project Accounting Services |  | 465,891 |  | 441,261 |  | 1,235,701 |  | 396,926 |  | 2,539,779 |
| Investor Relations |  | 163,900 |  | 137,954 |  | 391,953 |  | 232,342 |  | 926,149 |
| Shareholder Services |  | 239,252 |  | 200,704 |  | 573,491 |  | 340,459 |  | 1,353,906 |
| Financial Reporting |  | 714,616 |  | 611,787 |  | 1,710,178 |  | 1,032,682 |  | 4,069,263 |
| Sarbanes-Oxley Compliance |  | 170,005 |  | 155,738 |  | 406,322 |  | 240,877 |  | 972,942 |
| Investment Financial Management |  | 162,452 |  | 144,408 |  | 324,998 |  | 227,000 |  | 858,858 |
| Other Financial Services |  | 4,822,102 |  | 4,016,397 |  | 7,066,305 |  | 5,585,377 |  | 21,490,181 |
| Insurance Premiums \& Claims |  | 2,183,779 |  | 1,532,480 |  | 3,622,824 |  | 2,853,195 |  | 10,192,278 |
| Cost of Benefits |  | 9,645,396 |  | 5,280,286 |  | 14,835,121 |  | 4,851,358 |  | 34,612,161 |
| Executive Compensation Services |  | 1,304,179 |  | 1,102,347 |  | 3,098,578 |  | 1,836,230 |  | 7,341,334 |
| Other Human Resources Services |  | 6,003,234 |  | 3,552,335 |  | 7,295,156 |  | 4,221,881 |  | 21,072,606 |
| Legal Services |  | 3,295,848 |  | 2,149,716 |  | 4,685,334 |  | 1,193,530 |  | 11,324,428 |
| Audit Services |  | 901,281 |  | 937,556 |  | 1,344,601 |  | 725,695 |  | 3,909,133 |
| Special Billing |  | 596,177 |  | 523,426 |  | 1,032,596 |  | 23,547 |  | 2,175,746 |
| Other Customer Care |  | 32,330,273 |  | 33,228,289 |  | 9,939,300 |  | - |  | 75,497,862 |
| Marketing Services |  | 1,337,414 |  | 901,584 |  | 2,152,837 |  | 71,686 |  | 4,463,521 |
| Information Technology |  | 6,446,316 |  | 4,108,253 |  | 28,658,896 |  | 2,414,853 |  | 41,628,318 |
| PHI Corporate Contributions |  | 4,413 |  | 3,760 |  | 10,600 |  | 6,249 |  | 25,022 |
| Federal Government Affairs |  | 236,465 |  | 199,898 |  | 565,539 |  | 334,717 |  | 1,336,619 |
| Other Corporate Communications |  | 965,371 |  | 576,380 |  | 1,674,735 |  | 591,134 |  | 3,807,620 |
| Environmental Management Services |  | 1,356,946 |  | 891,749 |  | 2,094,110 |  | 594,133 |  | 4,936,938 |
| System Operations Shared |  | 2,441,554 |  | 1,611,650 |  | 5,351,445 |  | 186,866 |  | 9,591,515 |
| Electric Maintenance Meter Shop |  | 1,353,932 |  | 767,471 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,121,403 |
| Other Delivery Services |  | 23,228,812 |  | 16,373,165 |  | 29,935,926 |  | 40,567 |  | 69,578,470 |
| Power Procurement |  | 1,691,047 |  | 1,405,532 |  | 2,847,431 |  | - |  | 5,944,010 |
| Management \& Administration |  | 112,436 |  | 21,520 |  | - |  | 10,169,677 |  | 10,303,633 |
| Merchant Functions |  | 907,522 |  | - |  | - |  | 21,600,003 |  | 22,507,525 |
| Engineering Administration |  | 254,758 |  | 117,831 |  | - |  | 10,043,444 |  | 10,416,033 |
| Internal Consulting Services |  | 104,095 |  | 70,196 |  | 157,910 |  | - |  | 332,201 |
| IT Voice Support |  | - |  | - |  | 2,430 |  | - |  | 2,430 |
| Interns |  | 159,834 |  | 109,390 |  | 144,916 |  | 342 |  | 414,482 |
| Total |  | 21,230,067 | \$ | 93,593,454 | \$ | 160,094,512 |  | 84,110,109 | \$ | 459,028,142 |
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10 May Year 3 Postresults of Step 9 on PJM web site

11 June Year 3 Results of Step 9 go into effect tor the Rate Year 2 (e.g, June 1,2006 - May 31, 2007) 57,643,767

## Delmarva Power \& Light Company

Attachment 7 - Transmission Enhancement Charge Worksheet

come effecitve on December 1, 2007. Per FERC orders in Dockets No. ER08-686 and ER08-1423 the ROE for specific project! ३C to become effective June 1, 2008 and November 1, 2008 respectively


# Delmarva Power \& Light Company <br> Attachment 8 - Company Exhibit - Securitization Workpaper 

Line \#Long Term InterestLess LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds0CapitalizationLess LTD on Securitization Bonds 0
Calculation of the above Securitization Adjustments

| Atlantic City Electric Company |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula Rate - Appendix A |  |


| Plant Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Note B) | p207.58.g | \$ | 658,126,150 |
| 20 | For Reconciliation only - remove New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year |  | For Reconciliation Only | Attachment 6 - Enter Negative |  |  |
| 21 | New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year (weighted by months in service) |  |  | Attachment 6 |  | 12,676,170 |
| 22 | Total Transmission Plant In Service |  |  | (Line 19-20 + 21) |  | 670,802,320 |
| 23 | General \& Intangible |  |  | p205.5.g \& p207.99.g | \$ | 154,553,934 |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) |  | (Notes A \& B) | p356 | \$ |  |
| 25 | Total General \& Common |  |  | (Line 23 + 24) |  | 154,553,934 |
| 26 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.36297\% |
| 27 | General \& Common Plant Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 25*26) |  | 12,925,297 |
| 28 | Plant Held for Future Use (Including Land) |  | (Note C) | p214 |  | 1,350,288 |
| 29 | TOTAL Plant In Service |  |  | (Line 22 + 27 + 28) |  | $\underline{685,077,906}$ |
|  | Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Note B) | p219.25.c | \$ | 190,199,742 |
| 31 | Accumulated General Depreciation |  |  | p219.28.c | \$ | 48,851,218 |
| 32 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization |  |  | (Line 10) |  | 39,453,724 |
| 33 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric |  |  | (Line 11) |  | 0 |
| 34 | Common Plant Accumulated Depreciation (Electric Only) |  |  | (Line 12) |  | 0 |
| 35 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  |  | (Sum Lines 31 to 34) |  | 88,304,942 |
| 36 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.36297\% |
| 37 | General \& Common Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 35 * 36) |  | 7,384,915 |
| 38 | TOTAL Accumulated Depreciation |  |  | (Line 30 + 37) |  | 197,584,657 |
| 39 | TOTAL Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  |  | (Line 29-38) |  | 487,493,249 |
| Adjustment To Rate Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | ADIT net of FASB 106 and 109 |  |  | Attachment 1 |  | -113,687,402 |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 | Enter Negative | (Notes A \& I) | p266.h |  | 0 |
| 42 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 18) |  | 33.02\% |
| 43 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes Allocated To Transmission |  |  | (Line 41 * 42) + Line 40 |  | -113,687,402 |
| 43a | Transmission Related CWIP (Current Year 12 Month weighted average balances) |  | (Note B) | p216.43.b as Shown on Attachment 6 |  | 0 |
|  | Transmission O\&M Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Total Balance Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves |  | Enter Negative | Attachment 5 |  | -1,273,787 |
|  | Prepayments |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Prepayments |  | (Note A) | Attachment 5 |  | 5,470,404 |
| 46 | Total Prepayments Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 45) |  | 5,470,404 |
|  | Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp |  | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c |  | 850,542 |
| 48 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.36\% |
| 49 | Total Transmission Allocated |  |  | (Line 47* 48) |  | 71,131 |
| 50 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |  |  | p227.8c | \$ | 2,770,421 |
| 51 | Total Materials \& Supplies Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line $49+50)$ |  | 2,841,552 |
|  | Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense |  |  | (Line 85) |  | 12,980,467 |
| 53 | 1/8th Rule |  |  | $\times 1 / 8$ |  | 12.5\% |
| 54 | Total Cash Working Capital Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 52 * 53) |  | 1,622,558 |
|  | Network Credits |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 56 | Less Accumulated Depreciation Associated with Facilities with Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N ) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 57 | Net Outstanding Credits |  |  | (Line 55-56) |  | 0 |
| 58 | TOTAL Adjustment to Rate Base |  |  | (Line $43+43 \mathrm{a}+44+46+51+54-57)$ |  | $\underline{-105,026,675}$ |
| 59 | Rate Base |  |  | (Line $39+58$ ) |  | 382,466,574 |


| O\&M |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission O\&M |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Transmission O\&M |  | p321.112.b | \$ | 9,126,554 |
| 61 | Less extraordinary property loss |  | Attachment 5 |  | 0 |
| 62 | Plus amortized extraordinary property loss |  | Attachment 5 |  | 0 |
| 63 | Less Account 565 |  | p321.96.b | \$ |  |
| 64 | Plus Schedule 12 Charges billed to Transmission Owner and booked to Account 565 | (Note O) | PJM Data |  | 0 |
| 65 | Plus Transmission Lease Payments | (Note A) | p200.3c | \$ | - |
| 66 | Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 60-63+64+65) |  | 9,126,554 |
| Allocated General \& Common Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Common Plant O\&M | (Note A) | p356 | \$ | - |
| 68 | Total A\&G |  | p323.197.b | \$ | 48,602,729 |
| 69 | Less Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185b | \$ | 350,369 |
| 70 | Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note E) | p323.189b | \$ | 3,463,479 |
| 71 | Less General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 |  | p323.191b | \$ | 54,971 |
| 72 | Less DE Enviro \& Low Income and MD Universal Funds |  | p335.b | \$ | - |
| 73 | Less EPRI Dues | (Note D) | p352-353 | \$ | 34,018 |
| 74 | General \& Common Expenses |  | (Lines $67+68)$ - Sum (69 to 73) |  | 44,699,892 |
| 75 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.3630\% |
| 76 | General \& Common Expenses Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 74*75) |  | 3,738,238 |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | p323.189b |  | 0 |
| 78 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note K) | p323.191b |  | 0 |
| 79 | Subtotal - Transmission Related |  | (Line 77 + 78) |  | 0 |
| 80 | Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185b | \$ | 350,369 |
| 81 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | p323.191b |  | 0 |
| 82 | Total |  | (Line $80+81$ ) |  | 350,369 |
| 83 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  | (Line 18) |  | 33.02\% |
| 84 | A\&G Directly Assigned to Transmission |  | (Line 82 * 83) |  | 115,675 |
| 85 | Total Transmission O\&M |  | (Line 66 + $76+79+84$ ) |  | 12,980,467 |


| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | Transmission Depreciation Expense |  | p336.7b\&c | 14,201,744 |
| 87 | General Depreciation |  | p336.10b\&c | 5,063,134 |
| 88 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1d\&e | 146,372 |
| 89 | Total |  | (Line 87 + 88) | 5,209,506 |
| 90 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) | 8.3630\% |
| 91 | General Depreciation Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 89 * 90) | 435,669 |
| 92 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only | (Note A) | p336.11.b | 0 |
| 93 | Common Amortization - Electric Only | (Note A) | p356 or p336.11d | 0 |
| 94 | Total |  | (Line 92+93) | 0 |
| 95 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) | 8.3630\% |
| 96 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 94*95) | 0 |
| 97 | 1 Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Line 86 + 91 + 96) | 14,637,413 |



| Long Term Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | Long Term Interest |  |  | p117.62c through 67c |  | 54,956,753 |
| 101 | Less LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds |  | (Note P) | Attachment 8 |  | 23,518,887 |
| 102 | Long Term Interest |  |  | "(Line 100 - line 101)" |  | 31,437,866 |
| 103 | Preferred Dividends |  | enter positive | p118.29c | \$ | 262,842 |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | Proprietary Capital |  |  | p112.16c | \$ | 543,339,680 |
| 105 | Less Preferred Stock |  | enter negative | (Line 114) |  | -6,214,500 |
| 106 | Less Account 216.1 |  | enter negative | p112.12c | \$ | - |
| 107 | Common Stock |  |  | (Sum Lines 104 to 106) |  | 537,125,180 |
| Capitalization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Long Term Debt |  |  | p112.17c through 21c | \$ | 1,056,272,762 |
| 109 | Less Loss on Reacquired Debt |  | enter negative | p111.81.c | \$ | 14,103,726 |
| 110 | Plus Gain on Reacquired Debt |  | enter positive | p113.61.c | \$ | - |
| 111 | Less ADIT associated with Gain or Loss |  | enter negative | Attachment 1 |  | 2,087,030 |
| 112 | Less LTD on Securitization Bonds | (Note P) | enter negative | Attachment 8 |  | -422,207,762 |
| 113 | Total Long Term Debt |  |  | (Sum Lines Lines 108 to 112) |  | 650,255,756 |
| 114 | Preferred Stock |  |  | p112.3c | \$ | 6,214,500 |
| 115 | Common Stock |  |  | (Line 107) |  | 537,125,180 |
| 116 | Total Capitalization |  |  | (Sum Lines 113 to 115) |  | 1,193,595,436 |
| 117 | Debt \% | Total Long Term Debt | (Note Q) | (Line 113 / 116) |  | 50\% |
| 118 | Preferred \% | Preferred Stock | (Note Q) | (Line $114 / 116)$ |  | 0\% |
| 119 | Common \% | Common Stock | (Note Q) | (Line 115 / 116) |  | 50\% |
| 120 | Debt Cost | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 102 / 113) |  | 0.0483 |
| 121 | Preferred Cost | Preferred Stock |  | (Line 103 / 114) |  | 0.0423 |
| 122 | Common Cost | Common Stock | (Note J) | Fixed |  | 0.1130 |
| 123 | Weighted Cost of Debt | Total Long Term Debt (WCLTD) |  | (Line 117 * 120) |  | 0.0242 |
| 124 | Weighted Cost of Preferred | Preferred Stock |  | (Line 118 * 121) |  | 0.0000 |
| 125 | Weighted Cost of Common | Common Stock |  | (Line 119 * 122) |  | 0.0565 |
| 126 | Total Return ( R ) |  |  | (Sum Lines 123 to 125) |  | 0.0807 |
| 127 | Investment Return = Rate Base * Rate of Return |  |  | (Line 59 * 126) |  | 30,854,903 |


| Composite Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Tax Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate |  |  |  | 35.00\% |
| 129 | SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite | (Note I) |  |  | 8.99\% |
| 130 | p ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) |  | Per State Tax Code |  | 0.00\% |
| 131 | T $\quad$ T=1-\{[(1-SIT) * (1-FIT)] $/(1-\mathrm{SIT}$ * FIT * p $)\}=$ |  |  |  | 40.85\% |
| 132 | T/ (1-T) |  |  |  | 69.05\% |
| ITC Adjustment |  | (Note I) |  |  |  |
| 133 | Amortized Investment Tax Credit | enter negative | p266.8f | \$ | $(1,021,567)$ |
| 134 | T/(1-T) |  | (Line 132) |  | 69.05\% |
| 135 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  | (Line 18) |  | 33.0151\% |
| 136 | ITC Adjustment Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 133 * $1+134$ * 135) |  | $-570,164$ |
| 137 | Income Tax Component = CIT=(T/1-T) * Investment Return * (1-(WCLTD/R)) = |  | [Line 132 * 127 * (1-(123 / 126))] |  | 14,921,626 |
| 138 | Total Income Taxes |  | (Line 136 + 137) |  | 14,351,462 |
| REVENUE REQUIREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 39) |  | 487,493,249 |
| 140 | Adjustment to Rate Base |  | (Line 58) |  | -105,026,675 |
| 141 | Rate Base |  | (Line 59) |  | 382,466,574 |
| 142 | O\&M |  | (Line 85) |  | 12,980,467 |
| 143 | Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Line 97) |  | 14,637,413 |
| 144 | Taxes Other than Income |  | (Line 99) |  | 893,839 |
| 145 | Investment Return |  | (Line 127) |  | 30,854,903 |
| 146 | Income Taxes |  | (Line 138) |  | 14,351,462 |
| 147 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Sum Lines 142 to 146) |  | 73,718,084 |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| 148 | Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Line 19) |  | 658,126,150 |
| 149 | Excluded Transmission Facilities | ( Note M) | Attachment 5 |  | 27,526,011 |
| 150 | Included Transmission Facilities |  | (Line 148-149) |  | 630,600,139 |
| 151 | Inclusion Ratio |  | (Line 150 / 148) |  | 95.82\% |
| 152 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 147) |  | 73,718,084 |
| 153 | Adjusted Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 151 * 152) |  | 70,634,838 |
| Revenue Credits \& Interest on Network Credits |  |  |  |  |  |
| 154 | Revenue Credits |  | Attachment 3 |  | 4,111,805 |
| 155 | Interest on Network Credits | (Note N) | PJM Data |  | - |
| 156 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 153-154 + 155) |  | 66,523,034 |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) |  | 66,523,034 |
| 158 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) |  | 467,926,408 |
| 159 | Net Plant Carrying Charge |  | (Line $157 / 158$ ) |  | 14.2166\% |
| 160 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation |  | (Line 157-86) / 158 |  | 11.1815\% |
| 161 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes |  | (Line 157-86-127-138) / 158 |  | 2.1411\% |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge Calculation per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | Net Revenue Requirement Less Return and Taxes |  | (Line 156-145-146) |  | 21,316,669 |
| 163 | Increased Return and Taxes |  | Attachment 4 |  | 48,439,196 |
| 164 | Net Revenue Requirement per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line $162+163)$ |  | 69,755,865 |
| 165 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) |  | 467,926,408 |
| 166 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line 164 / 165) |  | 14.9074\% |
| 167 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE without Depreciation |  | (Line 163-86) / 165 |  | 11.8724\% |
| 168 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) |  | 66,523,034 |
| 169 | True-up amount |  | Attachment 6 |  | $(1,667,410)$ |
| 170 | Plus any increased ROE calculated on Attachment 7 other than PJM Sch. 12 projects |  | Attachment 7 |  | 493,272 |
| 171 | Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits paid to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 | (Note R) | Attachment 5 |  | 450,000 |
| 172 | Net Zonal Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 168-169 + 171) |  | 65,798,896 |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | 1 CP Peak | (Note L) | PJM Data |  | 2,638 |
| 174 | Rate (\$/MW-Year) |  | (Line 172 / 173) |  | 24,939 |
|  | Network Service Rate (\$/MW/Year) |  | (Line 174) |  | 24,939 |

Notes
A Electric portion only
B Exclude Construction Work in Progress and leases that are expensed as O\&M (rather than amortized). New Transmission plant
that is expected to be placed in service in the current calendar year weighted by number of months it is expected to be in-service. New Transmission plant expected
o be placed in service in the current calendar year that is not included in the PJM Regional Transmission Plan (RTEP) must be separately detailed on Attachment 5 .
For the Reconciliation, new transmission plant that was actually placed in service weighted by the number of months it was actually in service
CWIP will be linked to Attachment 6 which shows detail support by project (incentive and non-incentive).
C Transmission Portion Only
D All EPRI Annual Membership Dues
E All Regulatory Commission Expenses
Safety related advertising included in Account 930.1
G Regulatory Commission Expenses directly related to transmission service, RTO filings, or transmission siting itemized in Form 1 at 351 h.
The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility includes taxes in more than one state, it must explain in
Attachment 5 the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that
elected to use amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduce
rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f)
multiplied by ( $1 / 1-\mathrm{T}$ ). A utility must not include tax credits as a reduction to rate base and as an amortization against taxable income
Per FERC order in Docket No. ER08-10, the ROE is $11.30 \%$, which includes a 50 basis-point RTO membership adder as authorized by FERC to become effective on December 1, 2007. Per FERC orders in Docket Nos. ER08-686
and ERO8-1423, the ROE for specific projects identified or to be indentified in Attachment 7 is $12.80 \%$, which includes a 150 basis-point transmission incentive ROE adder as authorized by FERC to become effective June 1,2008
and November 1,2008 respectively.
K Education and outreach expenses relating to transmission, for example siting or billing
As provided for in Section 34.1 of the PJM OATT and the PJM established billing determinants will not be revised or updated in the annual rate reconciliations per settlement in ER05-515.
M. Amount of transmission plant excluded from rates per Attachment 5

N Outstanding Network Credits is the balance of Network Facilities Upgrades Credits due Transmission Customers who have made lump-sum payments
(net of accumulated depreciation) towards the construction of Network Transmission Facilities consistent with Paragraph 657 of Order 2003-A.
Interest on the Network Credits as booked each year is added to the revenue requirement to make the Transmisison Owner whole on Line 155 ,
O Payments made under Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT that are not directly assessed to load in the Zone under Schedule 12 are included in Transmission O\&M
If they are booked to Acct 565 , they are included in on line 64
P Securitization bonds may be included in the capital structure per settlement in ER05-515
Q ACE capital structure is initially fixed at $50 \%$ common equity and $50 \%$ debt per settlement in ER05-515 subject to moratorium provisions in the settlement.
Per the settlement in ER05-515, the facility credits of $\$ 15,000$ per month paid to Vineland will increase to $\$ 37,500$ per month (prorated for partial months) effective on the date FERC approves the settlement in ER05-515.

## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 1- Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Workshee

|  | Only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transmission Related | Plant <br> Related | Labor <br> Related | Total <br> ADIT |
| ADIT- 282 | 0 | $(353,005,117)$ | 0 |  |
| ADIT-283 | 0 | 6,781,561 | $(36,201,763)$ |  |
| ADIT-190 | 0 | $(7,351,796)$ | 28,398,801 |  |
| Subtotal | 0 | $(353,575,352)$ | $(7,802,962)$ |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  | 8.3630\% |  |
| Gross Plant Allocator |  | 31.9691\% |  |  |
| ADIT | 0 | (113,034,842) | $(652,559)$ | $(113,687,402)$ |

Note: ADIT associated with Gain or Loss on Reacquired Debt is included in Column A here and included in Cost of Debt on Appendix A, Line 111.
$(2,087,030)$
Inling out this attachment, a full and complete description of each item and justification for the allocation to Columns C-F and each separate ADIT item will be listed. Dissimilar items with amounts exceeding $\$ 100,000$ will be listed separately.

| ADIT- | 190 | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | c <br> as, Prod or her Related | D Only Transmission Related | Plant | Labor | G Justifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190 | BAD DEBT RESERVE | 5,917,061 | 5,917,061 | - | - |  | Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, taxpayers were required to account for bad debts using the specific write-off method. The reserve method is used for book purposes. The amount represents the add-back of book reserve. Retail related. |
| 190 | FASB 112-ACCTING FOR POST RETIRE | 1,058,203 | - | - | - | 1,058,203 | The book records accrual for post employment benefits. Tax deduction is taken at the time a payment is made. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 190 | LEGAL REGULATORY FEES | 1,597,109 | 1,597,109 | - | - |  | Legal fees incurred and paid for regulatory issues were deferred for book purposes. For tax purposes, the fees were deductible in full as paid. Retail related. |
| 190 | LEAC DISALLOWANCE | $(111,388)$ | $(111,388)$ | - | - |  | For tax purposes, LEAC ( Levelized Energy Adjustment Clause) disallowance costs were deductible as incurred. For book purposes, a reserve for the disallowance costs was recorded. Retail related. |
| 190 | UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS | $(252,724)$ | $(252,724)$ | - | - |  | Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, taxpayers were required to account for bad debts using the specific write-off method. The reserve method is used for book purposes. The amount represents the deduction for tax purposes. Retail related. |
| 190 | FEBRUARY 98 SPECIAL RESERVES | 144,186 | 144,186 | - | - |  | For book purposes, the loan value position for Portland Station was written off as a loss. For tax purposes, the loss was not deductible. Generation related. |
| 190 | ACCRUAL SEVERANCE | $(174,251)$ |  |  |  | $(174,251)$ | adopted and the employees to be severed have been identified. For tax purposes, the costs are deductible when they are paid to the severed individual. |
| 190 | CLAIMS RESERVE | 902,210 |  |  |  | 902,210 | For book purposes, a deduction is taken for amounts set aside as a reserve for possible health, injury, and damages claims against ACE. For tax purposes, these amounts are not deductible until paid out as claims. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 190 | PLANT ABANDONMENT - SFAS 90 | 6,834,488 | 6,834,488 |  |  |  | Plant Abandonment Amount represents deferred tax asset resulting from the disallowances of plant costs associated with ACE's investment in Unit No. 1 of the Hope Creek Generation Station upon adoption of FAS 90 in 1986. [The FAS90 requires that a loss be recognized if disallowance costs provide no return on investment of any portion of a plant.] Generator related. |
| 190 | MERGER RELATED ENTRIES | 4,840,658 |  |  |  | 4,840,658 | Reflects deferred taxes generated on Delmarva Power \& Light Company IAtlantic City Electric Company merger costs deducted for tax purposes. For books these costs were capitalized. Pension related and therefore labor related. |
| 190 | Misc Deferred Debits - Retail | $(334,160)$ | $(334,160)$ |  |  |  | Retail related |
| 190 | Stores Clearing Accounts | 204,113 |  |  | 204,113 |  | Stores relates to all functions |
| 190 | Nuclear Fuel | 249,176 | 249,176 |  |  |  | Generation related |
| 190 | Hope Creek O\&M | 189,982 | 189,982 |  |  |  | Generation related |
| 190 | Amortization of OPEB | 920,894 |  |  |  | 920,894 | OPEB, labor related and relates to all functions |
| 190 | MISCELLANEOUS | 625,941 |  |  | 625,941 |  | Miscellaneous temporary differences that are less than $\$ 100,000$ for each item. Related to all functions |
| 190 | OFFICER'S/MANAGERS DEFERRED COMP | 432,683 | - | - | - | 432,683 | For book purposes, deferred compensation and deferred payments are expensed when accrued. For tax purposes, they are not deducted until paid. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 190 | HYDROGEN WATER CHEMISTRY W/O | 6,033 | 6,033 | - | - |  | Amortization of book costs on generation project study which was an addback for tax purposes. Generation related. |
| 190 | DSM COSTS | 3,323,872 | 3,323,872 | - | - |  | For books, Demand Side Management Costs are deferred. For tax these costs are expensed when paid. These deferred taxes are the result of this book/tax difference which is retail in nature. Retail related. |
| 190 | DEFERRED FUEL | 1,230,175 | 1,230,175 | - | - |  | Difference between actual fuel expense as compared to the fuel expense computed in accordance with fuel adjustment clause formulas as deferred on books. In accordance with Section 162 Ordinary and Necessary Business Expenses and Section 461 Rules for Taxable year of Deduction, fuel costs are deductible in the year incurred for federal tax purposes. Rate surcharges are includible in the taxable year the underlying monthly bill is adjusted. Refunds are deductible in the taxable year that the liability is fixed and economic performance has occurred. These deferred taxes are the result of this book/tax difference. Generation Related. |
| 190 | ENVIRONMENTAL SITE EXPENSE | 1,320,480 | 1,320,480 | - | - |  | These deferred taxes are the result of a deduction taken for book purposes to set aside a reserve for environmental site clean-up expenses. For tax no deduction is permitted until the "all events" test is met typically when economic performance has occurred. This book reserve is primarily related to Deepwater and BL England sites which should not be in transmission service. Generation Related. |
| 190 | MARK TO MARKET § 475 ADJUSTMENT | $(382,112)$ |  |  | $(382,112)$ |  | Pursuant to IRC Sec 475, the company is taking deduction to mark-tomarket its accounts receivable. For book purposes, the receivables remained valued at their original amounts. Reflects unbilled revenues and customer accounts receivables. Applies to all functions. |
| 190 | NJ EXCISE TAX | 8,512 | 8,512 | - | - |  | Gross receipts and franchise tax catch up and go current payment. Fully deducted when paid on the tax return. Book amortized over 10 years. Retail related. |
| 190 | PEACH BOTTOM MASTER LEASE | 15,668 | 15,668 | - | - |  | Leased hardware is being tax depreciated. The portion of the lease payments charged to expense on the books must be added back to income for tax purposes. Retail related. |

## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 1- Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Workshee

| 190 | DEFERRED PURCHASED POWER | 2,818,011 | 2,818,011 | - | - |  | Book records amortization on Susquehanna deferred capitalized costs . For tax purposes, the amortization is added back to taxable income. Retail related. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190 | PENSION PAYMENT RESERVE | 26,950,783 | - | - | - | 26,950,783 | Book records a deduction for actual SFAS 87 pension expense. A tax deduction is only allowed for actual payments into the pension trust. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 190 | SECTION 461(H) - PREPAID INSURANCE | 4,124,337 |  |  | 4,124,337 |  | Book records a deduction for accrual liabilities of worker compensation and T\&D property insurance. A tax deduction is only allowed for actual payments made. Related to both T \& D plant |
| 190 | SECTION 461(H) - PREPAID OTHER | 51,960 | 51,960 | - | - |  | Book records a deduction for accrual liability of Public Utility Assessment. A tax deduction is only allowed for actual payments made. Retail Related |
| 190 | SEVERANCE PACKAGE | $(4,751,596)$ |  |  |  | $(4,751,596)$ | individual. For book purposes, the costs are expensed when a formal plan is adopted and the employees to be severed have been identified. Affects company personnel across all |
| 190 | AMORTIZATION (LEGAL) | - |  |  |  |  | year incurred. For tax purposes, these costs are capital in nature and are amortized over a 30 year period. Generation related. |
|  | LOSS ON REACQ DEBT | $(1,754,672)$ | $(1,754,672)$ |  |  |  | over the life of the new bond issue for book purposes. Excluded here since included in Cost of Debt |
|  | ASBESTOS REMOVAL | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | as paid. These costs were deferred and amortized for book purposes. Generation related. |
|  | SERP | 798,575 |  |  |  | 798,575 | Affects company personnel across all functions. |
|  | NUG BUYOUT | 55,145,910 | 55,145,910 |  |  |  | Generation related |
|  | AMORT of OPEB | $(10,769,125)$ |  |  | $(10,769,125)$ |  | OPEB, labor related and relates to all functions |
|  | NOL | $(2,782,606)$ |  |  | $(2,782,606)$ |  | Related to both T\& D plant |
|  | AMA | 2,315 |  |  | 2,315 |  | Related to both $T$ \& $D$ plant |
|  | Miscell Diff | $(113,554)$ |  |  |  | $(113,554)$ | This deferred tax balance relates to plant and results from life and method differences. Related to both T \& D plant |
|  | Stranded Costs | $(40,224,769)$ | $(40,224,769)$ |  |  |  | All Generation related |
|  | Deregulation/Stranded Cost Generation Assets | $(6,646,284)$ | $(6,646,284)$ |  |  |  | This deferred tax balance relates to our plant and results from life and method differences. Generation related |
|  | PLANT RELATED | $(1,747,518)$ | $(1,747,518)$ |  |  |  | This deferred tax balance relates to plant and results from life and method differences. Related to both T \& D plant |
|  | Reclass | 3,811,947 | 3,811,947 |  |  |  | Related to generation |
|  | 1999 AMT | 1,625,341 |  |  | 1,625,341 |  | Plant related |
|  | De-regulated Deferred | 80,685,095 | 80,685,095 |  |  |  | Related to generation and retail |
| 190 | Subtotal - p234 | 135,790,959 | 112,278,151 | - | (7,351,796) | 30,864,604 |  |
|  | Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | Less FASB 106 Above if not separately re | 2,465,803 |  |  |  | 2,465,803 | FAS No. 106 requires accrual basis instead of cash basis accounting for post retirement health care and life insurance benefits for book purposes. Amounts paid to participants or funded through the VEBA or 401(h) accounts are currently deductible for tax purposes. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 190 | Total | 133,325,156 | 112,278,151 | - | $(7,351,796)$ | 28,398,801 |  |

Instructions for Account 190:
2. ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
3. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C \& D are included in Column E
4. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns C \& D are included in Column F
5. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates, therefore if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT 6. Re: Form 1-F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1-F, p.113.57.c

. Re: Form 1-F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1-F, p.113.57.c


## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 1- Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

| 283 | AMORTIZATION (LEGAL) | $(6,211)$ | $(6,211)$ | . |  |  | Legal costs related to Deepwater emergency facility were expensed on the books in the year incurred. For tax purposes, these costs are capital in nature and are amortized over a 30 year period. Generation related. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 283 | LOSS ON REACQ DEBT | $(332,358)$ | $(332,358)$ | . | . |  | The cost of bond redemption is deductible currenty for tax purposes and is amortized over the life of the new bond issue for book purposes. Excluded here since included in Cost of Debt |
| 283 | ASBESTOS REMOVAL | $(2,277,818)$ | $(2,277,818)$ | . | . |  | Costs incurred and paid by the company for asbestos removal were tax deductible in full as paid. These costs were deferred and amortized for book purposes. Generation related. |
| 283 | DEFERRED EXPENSE CLEARING | $(1,087,778)$ |  |  | $(1,087,778)$ |  | Reflects the deferred taxes generated as a result of the tax deductions taken for actual store room expenses. For book purposes, these amounts were recorded as an asset in FERC account 163. |
| 283 | PROPERTY LOSS AMORTIZATION | $(1,554,677)$ | $(1,554,677)$ | . | . |  | Reflects the deferred taxes as a result of the tax deductions taken on various generation related studies, such as Atlantic Generation Study, Hydrogen Water Chemistry, Baseline Configuration and Nuclear Fuel Contract Costs. Generation related. |
| 283 | SAVINGS \& THRIFT GUARANTEE 401(k) | $(927,567)$ | - | - | - | $(927,567)$ | Labor related. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| 283 | ACE REGULATORY RESTRUCTURING CHARGES | 355,615 | 355,615 | - | . |  | Costs incurred and paid for customer care enhancement program associated with deregulation are deferred and amortized for book purposes. Amortization of these costs were non-tax deductible. Retail related. |
| 283 | GATX Terminal Agreement for Atlantic CT's | 113,767 | 113,767 | . | . |  | Generation related |
| 283 | Reserve for Future Stranded Cost Disallowances | 4,148,440 | 4,148,440 | - | . |  | For book purposes, a loss due to future disallowance of stranded generation assets was set up as a reserve. For tax purposes, the loss is not deductible until the generation assets are disposed of. Retail related. |
| 283 | DUP.CL PROP R | $(192,037)$ | $(192,037)$ |  |  |  | Generation related |
| 283 | DUP-CL REM CO | (205,157) | $(205,157)$ |  |  |  | Generation related |
| 283 | Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | $(420,954)$ |  |  | (420,954) |  | FAS 109 Plant related, related to all functions. |
| 283 | Misc De-Regulation | 196,783 | 196,783 |  |  |  | Various items related to deregulation |
| 283 | Market to Market | 321,554 | 321,554 |  |  |  | Accounts Receivable, Other |
| 283 | Miscell Diff | 3,371,827 |  | . | . | 3,371,827 | This deferred tax balance relates to plant and results from life and method differences. Related to both T \& D plant |
| 283 | DEFERRED REVENUE | 615,928 | 615,928 |  |  |  | Reflects the deferred taxes generated as a result of revenue included as taxable income. For book purposes this amount was deferred in FERC account 254000 . Retail related |
|  | Stranded Costs | 147,735,394 | 147,735,394 |  |  |  | All Generation related |
|  | MISCELL RESERVE | 124,443 | 124,443 |  |  |  | Generation related, Environmental Reserve for BL England site, |
|  | PENSION PAYMENT RESERVE | $(36,973,296)$ |  |  |  | $(36,973,296)$ | Affects company personnel across all functions. |
|  | SERP | $(823,558)$ |  |  |  | $(823,558)$ | Affects company personnel across all functions. |
|  | SECTION 461(H) Prepaid | $(651,031)$ |  |  | (651,031) |  | Related to both T \& D plant |
|  | NUG BUYOUT | 7,588,588 | 7,588,588 |  |  |  | Generation related |
|  | AMORT of OPEB | 4,082,031 |  |  | 4,082,031 |  | OPEB, labor related and relates to all functions |
|  | BGS Deferred Related - Retail | 26,572,632 | 26,572,632 |  |  |  | Retail related |
|  | MISC DEFERRED DEBITS | 31,581 | 31,581 |  |  |  | Deferred Costs for Universal Service Fund, Retail related |
|  | NOL | 2,922,347 |  |  | 2,922,347 |  | Related to both T \& D plant |
|  | AMA | 1,936,946 |  |  | 1,936,946 |  | Related to both T \& D plant |
| 283 | Plant Related | (194,127,961) | (75,708,827) |  | $(118,419,134)$ |  |  |
|  | Reclass | $(3,811,947)$ | -3811947 |  |  |  | Related to generation |
| 283 | Subtotal - p277 (Form 1-F filer: see note 6, below) | (45,210,604) | 101,996,988 |  | (111,637,573) | ( $35,370,018$ ) |  |
| 283 | Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | (118,419,134) |  |  | (118,419,134) |  |  |
|  | Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed | 831,745 |  |  |  | 831,745 |  |
|  | Total | 72,376,785 | 101,796,988 | - | 6,781,561 | (36,201,763) |  |

Istructions for Account 283:

1. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column C1. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column C to Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns $C$ \& D are included in Column E
ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $C$ \& $D$ are included in Column
2. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates, therefore if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.
3. Re: Form 1-F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1-F, p.113.57.c

ADITC-255


11 Difference must be zero

## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 2-Taxes Other Than Income Worksheet

|  | Page 263 |  | Allocated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Taxes | Col (i) | Allocator | Amount |


| Plant Related | Gross Plant Allocator |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Real property (State, Municipal or Local) | $2,282,742$ |  |  |
| 2 Personal property | - |  |  |
| 3 City License | - |  |  |
| 4 State Excise | $2,282,742$ | $31.9691 \%$ | 729,772 |

Labor Related Wages \& Salary Allocator

| 5 Federal FICA \& Unemployment | $1,843,860$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 Unemployment | 75,842 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Labor Related | $1,919,702$ | $8.3630 \%$ | 160,544 |

Other Included Gross Plant Allocator

| 7 Miscellaneous | 11,019 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Other Included | 11,019 | $31.9691 \%$ |
| Total Included |  |  |
| Excluded |  |  |
| 8 State Franchise tax | 693,839 |  |
| 9 TEFA | $20,282,641$ |  |
| 10 Use \& Sales Tax | $1,226,567$ |  |
| 11 Total "Other" Taxes (included on p. 263) | $25,789,633$ |  |
| 12 Total "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" - acct 408.10 (p. 114.14) | $25,789,633$ |  |
| 13 Difference |  | - |

Criteria for Allocation:
A Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of plant including transmission plant will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they will not be included
B Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of only general or intangible plant will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail they will not be included
C Other taxes that are assessed based on labor will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator
D Other taxes except as provided for in A, B and C above, that are incurred and (1) are not fully recovered at retail or (2) are directly or indirectly related to transmission service will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator; provided, however, that

## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 3 - Revenue Credit Workpaper

## Account 454 - Rent from Electric Property

1 Rent from Electric Property - Transmission Related (Note 3)
782,127
2 Total Rent Revenues
(Sum Line 1)
782,127

## Account 456 - Other Electric Revenues (Note 1)

3 Schedule 1A
\$ 920,406
4 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the load is not included in the divisor (difference between NITS credits from PJM and PJM NITS charges paid by Transmission Owner) (Note 4)
5 Point to Point Service revenues for which the load is not included in the divisor received by Transmission Owner (Note 4)
6 PJM Transitional Revenue Neutrality (Note 1)
7 PJM Transitional Market Expansion (Note 1)
8 Professional Services (Note 3)
9 Revenues from Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges (Note 2)
10 Rent or Attachment Fees associated with Transmission Facilities (Note 3)

## Revenue Adjustment to determine Revenue Credit

14
Note 1: All revenues related to transmission that are received as a transmission owner (i.e., not received as a LSE), for which the cost of the service is recovered under this formula, except as specifically provided for elsewhere in this Attachment or elsewhere in the formula will be included as a revenue credit or included in the peak on line 173 of Appendix A.

15 Note 2: If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are included in the Rates, the associated revenues are included in the Rates. If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are not included in the Rates, the associated revenues are not included in the Rates.

16
Note 3: Ratemaking treatment for the following specified secondary uses of transmission assets: (1) right-of-way leases and leases for space on transmission facilities for telecommunications; (2) transmission tower licenses for wireless antennas; (3) right-of-way property leases for farming, grazing or nurseries; (4) licenses of intellectual property (including a portable oil degasification process and scheduling software); and (5) transmission maintenance and consulting services (including energized circuit maintenance, high-voltage substation maintenance, safety training, transformer oil testing, and circuit breaker testing) to other utilities and large customers (collectively, products). Company will retain $50 \%$ of net revenues consistent with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 90 FERC $\mathbb{\pi}$ 61,314 . Note: in order to use lines $17 \mathrm{a}-17 \mathrm{~g}$, the utility must track in separate subaccounts the revenues and costs associated with each secondary use (except for the cost of the associated income taxes).

17a Revenues included in lines 1-11 which are subject to 50/50 sharing. 814,604
17b Costs associated with revenues in line 17a 332,737
17c Net Revenues (17a-17b)
17d 50\% Share of Net Revenues (17c / 2)
17e Costs associated with revenues in line 17a that are included in FERC accounts recovered through the formula times the allocator used to functionalize the amounts in the FERC account to the transmission service at issue.
17f Net Revenue Credit $(17 d+17 e)$
17 g Line 17 f less line 17 a
18 Note 4: If the facilities associated with the revenues are not included in the formula, the revenue is shown here but not included in the total above and is explained in the Cost Support; for example revenues associated with distribution facilities. In addition, Revenues from Schedule 12 are not included in the total above to the extent they are credited under Schedule 12.

## 19 Amount offset in line 4 above

## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 4-Calculation of 100 Basis Point Increase in ROE



## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

Electric / Non-electric Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount $\quad$ Electric Portion $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-electric } \\ \text { Portion }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t$ Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21c | \$ | 39,453,724 | 39,453,724 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 11 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 12 | Accumulated Common Plant Depreciation - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | tin Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) | (Notes A \& B) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | umulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 rials and Supplies | (Notes A \& 1) | p266.h |  | 10,037,587 | 10,037,587 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp cated General \& Common Expenses | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c |  | 850,542 | 850,542 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 65 | Plus Transmission Lease Payments | (Note A) | p200.3c |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Common Plant O\&M | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | eciation Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1d\&e |  | 146,372 | 146,372 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 92 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only | (Note A) | p336.11.b |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 93 | Common Amortization - Electric Only | (Note A) | p356 or p336.11d |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Transmission / Non-transmission Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | Transmission Related | Non-transmission Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plant Held for Future Use (Including Land) Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  | 5,553,713 | 1,350,288 | 4,203,425 | "Transmission R/W - Carlls Corner" and "Future Conversion of Cumberland-Corcon $138 \mathrm{kV"}$ are transmission. |
| 73 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note C) | p323.160b | Enter | Enter | Enter |  |

## CWIP \& Expensed Lease Worksheet

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

## Plant Allocation Factors

Electric Plant in Service
Plant In Service
Transmission Plant In Service
Transmission Plant In Service
Common Plant (Electric Only)
Common Plant (Electric
Accumulated Depreciation
Accumulated Depreciation
Transmission Accumulated Depreciation

Form 1 Amount $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
CWIP In Form 1 <br>
Amount

$\quad$

Expensed Lease in <br>
Form 1 Amount
\end{tabular}

| Form 1 Amount | Amount | Form 1 Amount | Details |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,138,714,296$ | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |
| $658,126,150$ | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $190,199,742$ | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |

EPRI Dues Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount EPRI Dues Details |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocated General \& Common Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Less EPRI Dues | (Note D) | p352-353 | 34018 | 34018 | See Form 1 |

## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 5-Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | Transmission Related | Non-transmission | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $70 \begin{gathered}\text { Allocated General \& Common Expenses } \\ \text { Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account } 928 \\ \text { Directly Assigned A\&G }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (Note E) | p323.189b | 3,463,479 | 0 | 3,463,479 | Transmission related. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | p323.189b | 3,463,479 | 0 | 3,463,479 | Transmission related. |

Safety Related Advertising Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | Safety Related | Non-safety Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | p323.191b | 54,971 | . | 54,971 |  |

MultiState Workpaper


Education and Out Reach Cost Support


## Excluded Plant Cost Support

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions
Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities
49 Excluded Transmission Facilities (Note M) Attachment 5

## nstructions:

1 Remove all investment below 69 kV or generator step up transformers included in transmission plant in service that are not a result of the RTEP Process
2 If unable to determine the investment below 69 kV in a substation with investment of 69 kV and higher as well as below 69 kV , the following formula will be used:
A Total investment in substation
A Total investment in substation
B Identifiable investment in Transmission (provide workpapers) C Identifiable investment in Distribution (provide workpapers) D Amount to be excluded ( $\mathrm{Ax}(\mathrm{C} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}))$ )

Example
1,000,000
500,000
400,000

| $\substack{\text { Excluded } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Facilities }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 27,526,011 | Description of the Facilities |
| Enter S | General Description of the Facilities |
| Or | None |
| Enter S |  |
|  |  |

## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

Outstanding Network Credits Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Outstanding Network Credits Enter s <br> Enter | Description of the Credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vork Credits |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Outstanding Network Credits | (Note N) | From PJM | 0 | General Description of the Credits |
| 56 |  |  |  |  | None |
|  | Less Accumulated Depreciation Associated with Faciilities with Outstanding Network Credits | (Note N) | From PJM | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | None |
|  |  |  |  |  | Add more lines if necessary |

## Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves

Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves (exclude current year environmental site related reserves)

Directly Assignable to Transmission
Labor Related, General plant related or Common Plant related
Plant Related
Other
Total Transmission Related Reserves

| Total | Allocation | Transmission <br> Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Enter $\$$ | $100 \%$ | Amount |  |
|  | . | $\cdot$ |  |
|  | $8,695,443$ | $8.36 \%$ | 727,197 |
|  | $31,799,744$ | $31.97 \%$ | 546,590 |
|  | $0.00 \%$ | $\cdot$ |  |
| $10,405,187$ |  | $1,273,787$ |  |

Prepayments


| Extraordinary Property Loss |  |  | Amount | Number of years | Amortization | w/ interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hment A Line \#s, Description |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Less extraordinary property loss | Attachment 5 | \$ |  |  |  |
| 62 | Plus amortized extraordinary property loss | Attachment 5 |  |  | 5 \$ | \$ |

## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 5-Cost Support
Interest on Outstanding Network Credits Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  |  | Description of the Interest on the Credits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | enue Credits \& Interest on Network Credits Interest on Network Credits | (Note N) | PJM Data | 0 | General Description of the Credits |
|  |  |  |  | Enter \$ | None |
|  |  |  |  |  | Add more lines if necessary |

Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits paid to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 (Note R' Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions $\quad$ Amount $\quad$ Description \& PJM Documentation
Net Revenue Requiremen
Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits paid to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 (Note R)
450,000
Settelement agreement. $\$ 15 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{mo}$ Jan-Apr $18+\$ 37.5 / \mathrm{mo}$ Apr 19-Dec.

PJM Load Cost Support
Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions
Network Zonal Senment

Statements BG/BH (Present and Proposed Revenues)

| Customer | Billing Determinants Current Rate Proposed Rate | Current Revenues | Proposed Revenues | Change in Revenues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACE zone |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Atlantic City Electric Company



## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 6 -Estimate and Reconciliation Worksheet




10 May Year 3 Postresults of Step 9 on PJM weeb site

11 June Year $3 \begin{aligned} & \text { Results of Step } 9 \text { go int effect tor the Rate Year } 2 \text { (e.g., June } 1,2006 \text { - May } 31,2007 \text { ) } \\ & \$ 65,798,896\end{aligned}$

## Atlantic City Electric Company

Attachment 7 - Transmission Enhancement Charge Worksheet

| 1 | New Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Fixed Charge Rate (FCR) if not a CIAC |  |  |  |
| 3 | Formula Line |  |  |  |
| 4 | A | 160 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation | 11.1815\% |
| 5 | B | 167 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE without Depreciation | 11.8724\% |
| 6 | C |  | Line B less Line A | 0.6909\% |
| 7 | FCR if a CIAC |  |  |  |
| 8 | D | 161 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes | 2.1411\% |

9
10
11
"Yes" if a project under PJM
OATT Schedule 12, otherwise
12 "No"
13 Useful life of project
"Yes" if the customer has paid a
lump sum payment in the amount
of the investment on line 18,
14 Otherwise "No"
15 Input the allowed ROE Incentive
From line 4 above if "No" on line
14 and From line 8 above if "Yes"
16 on line 14
Line 6 times line 15 divided by
17 100 basis points
Columns A, B or C from
18 Attachment 6
19 Line 18 divided by line 13
From Columns H, I or J from
20 Attachment 6

The FCR resulting from Formula in a given year is used for that year only.
Therefore actual revenues collected in a year do not change based on cost data for subsequent years
Per FERC order in Docket No. ER08-10, the ROE is $11.30 \%$, which includes a 50 basis point RTO membership adder as authorized by FER $12.80 \%$, which includes a 150 basis-point tranmission incentive ROE adder as authorized by FERC to become effective June 1, 2008 and I

| Details |  | B0265 Mickelton |  |  |  | B0276 Monroe |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule 12 Life | (Yes or No) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| CIAC | (Yes or No) | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Increased ROE (Basis Points) |  | 150 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Base FCR |  | 11.1815\% |  |  |  | 11.1815\% |  |  |  |
| FCR for This Project |  | 12.2178\% |  |  |  | 11.1815\% |  |  |  |
| Investment |  | 4,854,660 | may be weighted average of small projects |  |  | 7,878,071 |  |  |  |
| Annual Depreciation Exp |  | 138,705 |  |  |  | 225,088 |  |  |  |
| Month In Service or Month for CWIP |  | 6.00 |  |  |  | 6.00 |  |  |  |
|  | Invest Yr | Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | Revenue | Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | Revenue |
| Base FCR | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W Increased ROE | 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base FCR | 2009 | 4,854,660 | 69,352 | 4,785,308 | 604,422 | 7,878,071 | 112,544 | 7,765,527 | 980,848 |
| W Increased ROE | 2009 | 4,854,660 | 69,352 | 4,785,308 | 654,014 | 7,878,071 | 112,544 | 7,765,527 | 980,848 |
| Base FCR | 2010 | 4,785,308 | 138,705 | 4,646,603 | 658,265 | 7,765,527 | 225,088 | 7,540,439 | 1,068,224 |
| W Increased ROE | 2010 | 4,785,308 | 138,705 | 4,646,603 | 706,419 | 7,765,527 | 225,088 | 7,540,439 | 1,068,224 |
| Base FCR | 2011 | 4,646,603 | 138,705 | 4,507,899 | 642,756 | 7,540,439 | 225,088 | 7,315,352 | 1,043,055 |
| W Increased ROE | 2011 | 4,646,603 | 138,705 | 4,507,899 | 689,473 | 7,540,439 | 225,088 | 7,315,352 | 1,043,055 |
| Base FCR | 2012 | 4,507,899 | 138,705 | 4,369,194 | 627,247 | 7,315,352 | 225,088 | 7,090,264 | 1,017,887 |
| W Increased ROE | 2012 | 4,507,899 | 138,705 | 4,369,194 | 672,526 | 7,315,352 | 225,088 | 7,090,264 | 1,017,887 |
| Base FCR | 2013 | 4,369,194 | 138,705 | 4,230,489 | 611,738 | 7,090,264 | 225,088 | 6,865,176 | 992,719 |
| W Increased ROE | 2013 | 4,369,194 | 138,705 | 4,230,489 | 655,579 | 7,090,264 | 225,088 | 6,865,176 | 992,719 |
| Base FCR | 2014 | 4,230,489 | 138,705 | 4,091,785 | 596,228 | 6,865,176 | 225,088 | 6,640,088 | 967,551 |
| W Increased ROE | 2014 | 4,230,489 | 138,705 | 4,091,785 | 638,633 | 6,865,176 | 225,088 | 6,640,088 | 967,551 |
| Base FCR | 2015 | 4,091,785 | 138,705 | 3,953,080 | 580,719 | 6,640,088 | 225,088 | 6,415,001 | 942,382 |
| W Increased ROE | 2015 | 4,091,785 | 138,705 | 3,953,080 | 621,686 | 6,640,088 | 225,088 | 6,415,001 | 942,382 |
| Base FCR | 2016 | 3,953,080 | 138,705 | 3,814,376 | 565,210 | 6,415,001 | 225,088 | 6,189,913 | 917,214 |
| W Increased ROE | 2016 | 3,953,080 | 138,705 | 3,814,376 | 604,739 | 6,415,001 | 225,088 | 6,189,913 | 917,214 |
| Base FCR | 2017 | 3,814,376 | 138,705 | 3,675,671 | 549,701 | 6,189,913 | 225,088 | 5,964,825 | 892,046 |
| W Increased ROE | 2017 | 3,814,376 | 138,705 | 3,675,671 | 587,792 | 6,189,913 | 225,088 | 5,964,825 | 892,046 |
| Base FCR | 2018 | 3,675,671 | 138,705 | 3,536,967 | 534,191 | 5,964,825 | 225,088 | 5,739,737 | 866,878 |
| W Increased ROE | 2018 | 3,675,671 | 138,705 | 3,536,967 | 570,846 | 5,964,825 | 225,088 | 5,739,737 | 866,878 |
| Base FCR | 2019 | 3,536,967 | 138,705 | 3,398,262 | 518,682 | 5,739,737 | 225,088 | 5,514,650 | 841,709 |
| W Increased ROE | 2019 | 3,536,967 | 138,705 | 3,398,262 | 553,899 | 5,739,737 | 225,088 | 5,514,650 | 841,709 |
| Base FCR | 2020 | 3,398,262 | 138,705 | 3,259,557 | 503,173 | 5,514,650 | 225,088 | 5,289,562 | 816,541 |
| W Increased ROE | 2020 | 3,398,262 | 138,705 | 3,259,557 | 536,952 | 5,514,650 | 225,088 | 5,289,562 | 816,541 |
| Base FCR | 2021 | 3,259,557 | 138,705 | 3,120,853 | 487,663 | 5,289,562 | 225,088 | 5,064,474 | 791,373 |
| W Increased ROE | 2021 | 3,259,557 | 138,705 | 3,120,853 | 520,006 | 5,289,562 | 225,088 | 5,064,474 | 791,373 |
| Base FCR | 2022 | 3,120,853 | 138,705 | 2,982,148 | 472,154 | 5,064,474 | 225,088 | 4,839,386 | 766,205 |
| W Increased ROE | 2022 | 3,120,853 | 138,705 | 2,982,148 | 503,059 | 5,064,474 | 225,088 | 4,839,386 | 766,205 |
| Base FCR | 2023 | 2,982,148 | 138,705 | 2,843,444 | 456,645 | 4,839,386 | 225,088 | 4,614,299 | 741,037 |
| W Increased ROE | 2023 | 2,982,148 | 138,705 | 2,843,444 | 486,112 | 4,839,386 | 225,088 | 4,614,299 | 741,037 |
| Base FCR | 2024 | 2,843,444 | 138,705 | 2,704,739 | 441,136 | 4,614,299 | 225,088 | 4,389,211 | 715,868 |
| W Increased ROE | 2024 | 2,843,444 | 138,705 | 2,704,739 | 469,165 | 4,614,299 | 225,088 | 4,389,211 | 715,868 |
| Base FCR | 2025 | 2,704,739 | 138,705 | 2,566,035 | 425,626 | 4,389,211 | 225,088 | 4,164,123 | 690,700 |
| W Increased ROE | 2025 | 2,704,739 | 138,705 | 2,566,035 | 452,219 | 4,389,211 | 225,088 | 4,164,123 | 690,700 |
| Base FCR | 2026 | 2,566,035 | 138,705 | 2,427,330 | 410,117 | 4,164,123 | 225,088 | 3,939,035 | 665,532 |
| W Increased ROE | 2026 | 2,566,035 | 138,705 | 2,427,330 | 435,272 | 4,164,123 | 225,088 | 3,939,035 | 665,532 |
| Base FCR | 2027 | 2,427,330 | 138,705 | 2,288,625 | 394,608 | 3,939,035 | 225,088 | 3,713,948 | 640,364 |
| W Increased ROE | 2027 |  | 138,705 | $(138,705)$ | 121,758 | 3,939,035 | 225,088 | 3,713,948 | 640,364 |
|  |  |  | .... | ... | ... | .... | $\ldots$ | .. |  |
|  |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . |  |

:C to become effecitve on December 1, 2007. Per FERC orders in Dockets No. ER08-686 and ER08-1423 the ROE for Vovember 1, 2008 respectively

| B0211 Union-Corson |  |  |  | B0210 Orchard-500kV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |
| 11.1815\% |  |  |  | 11.1815\% |  |  |  |
| 11.1815\% |  |  |  | 12.2178\% |  |  |  |
| 13,722,120 |  |  |  | 26,046,638 |  |  |  |
| 392,061 |  |  |  | 744,190 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 |  |  |  | 7.00 |  |  |  |
| Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | Revenue | Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | Revenue |
| 13,722,120 | 98,015 | 13,624,105 | 605,809 | 26,046,638 | 310,079 | 25,736,559 | 1,748,948 |
| 13,722,120 | 98,015 | 13,624,105 | 605,809 | 26,046,638 | 310,079 | 25,736,559 | 1,882,306 |
| 13,624,105 | 392,061 | 13,232,044 | 1,871,604 | 25,736,559 | 744,190 | 24,992,369 | 3,538,717 |
| 13,624,105 | 392,061 | 13,232,044 | 1,871,604 | 25,736,559 | 744,190 | 24,992,369 | 3,797,719 |
| 13,232,044 | 392,061 | 12,839,984 | 1,827,766 | 24,992,369 | 744,190 | 24,248,180 | 3,455,505 |
| 13,232,044 | 392,061 | 12,839,984 | 1,827,766 | 24,992,369 | 744,190 | 24,248,180 | 3,706,795 |
| 12,839,984 | 392,061 | 12,447,923 | 1,783,928 | 24,248,180 | 744,190 | 23,503,990 | 3,372,293 |
| 12,839,984 | 392,061 | 12,447,923 | 1,783,928 | 24,248,180 | 744,190 | 23,503,990 | 3,615,871 |
| 12,447,923 | 392,061 | 12,055,863 | 1,740,089 | 23,503,990 | 744,190 | 22,759,800 | 3,289,082 |
| 12,447,923 | 392,061 | 12,055,863 | 1,740,089 | 23,503,990 | 744,190 | 22,759,800 | 3,524,947 |
| 12,055,863 | 392,061 | 11,663,802 | 1,696,251 | 22,759,800 | 744,190 | 22,015,611 | 3,205,870 |
| 12,055,863 | 392,061 | 11,663,802 | 1,696,251 | 22,759,800 | 744,190 | 22,015,611 | 3,434,023 |
| 11,663,802 | 392,061 | 11,271,741 | 1,652,413 | 22,015,611 | 744,190 | 21,271,421 | 3,122,658 |
| 11,663,802 | 392,061 | 11,271,741 | 1,652,413 | 22,015,611 | 744,190 | 21,271,421 | 3,343,099 |
| 11,271,741 | 392,061 | 10,879,681 | 1,608,574 | 21,271,421 | 744,190 | 20,527,231 | 3,039,446 |
| 11,271,741 | 392,061 | 10,879,681 | 1,608,574 | 21,271,421 | 744,190 | 20,527,231 | 3,252,176 |
| 10,879,681 | 392,061 | 10,487,620 | 1,564,736 | 20,527,231 | 744,190 | 19,783,042 | 2,956,235 |
| 10,879,681 | 392,061 | 10,487,620 | 1,564,736 | 20,527,231 | 744,190 | 19,783,042 | 3,161,252 |
| 10,487,620 | 392,061 | 10,095,560 | 1,520,898 | 19,783,042 | 744,190 | 19,038,852 | 2,873,023 |
| 10,487,620 | 392,061 | 10,095,560 | 1,520,898 | 19,783,042 | 744,190 | 19,038,852 | 3,070,328 |
| 10,095,560 | 392,061 | 9,703,499 | 1,477,059 | 19,038,852 | 744,190 | 18,294,662 | 2,789,811 |
| 10,095,560 | 392,061 | 9,703,499 | 1,477,059 | 19,038,852 | 744,190 | 18,294,662 | 2,979,404 |
| 9,703,499 | 392,061 | 9,311,439 | 1,433,221 | 18,294,662 | 744,190 | 17,550,473 | 2,706,599 |
| 9,703,499 | 392,061 | 9,311,439 | 1,433,221 | 18,294,662 | 744,190 | 17,550,473 | 2,888,480 |
| 9,311,439 | 392,061 | 8,919,378 | 1,389,383 | 17,550,473 | 744,190 | 16,806,283 | 2,623,388 |
| 9,311,439 | 392,061 | 8,919,378 | 1,389,383 | 17,550,473 | 744,190 | 16,806,283 | 2,797,556 |
| 8,919,378 | 392,061 | 8,527,317 | 1,345,544 | 16,806,283 | 744,190 | 16,062,093 | 2,540,176 |
| 8,919,378 | 392,061 | 8,527,317 | 1,345,544 | 16,806,283 | 744,190 | 16,062,093 | 2,706,632 |
| 8,527,317 | 392,061 | 8,135,257 | 1,301,706 | 16,062,093 | 744,190 | 15,317,904 | 2,456,964 |
| 8,527,317 | 392,061 | 8,135,257 | 1,301,706 | 16,062,093 | 744,190 | 15,317,904 | 2,615,708 |
| 8,135,257 | 392,061 | 7,743,196 | 1,257,868 | 15,317,904 | 744,190 | 14,573,714 | 2,373,753 |
| 8,135,257 | 392,061 | 7,743,196 | 1,257,868 | 15,317,904 | 744,190 | 14,573,714 | 2,524,784 |
| 7,743,196 | 392,061 | 7,351,136 | 1,214,029 | 14,573,714 | 744,190 | 13,829,524 | 2,290,541 |
| 7,743,196 | 392,061 | 7,351,136 | 1,214,029 | 14,573,714 | 744,190 | 13,829,524 | 2,433,860 |
| 7,351,136 | 392,061 | 6,959,075 | 1,170,191 | 13,829,524 | 744,190 | 13,085,335 | 2,207,329 |
| 7,351,136 | 392,061 | 6,959,075 | 1,170,191 | 13,829,524 | 744,190 | 13,085,335 | 2,342,936 |
| 6,959,075 | 392,061 | 6,567,015 | 1,126,353 | 13,085,335 | 744,190 | 12,341,145 | 2,124,117 |
| 6,959,075 | 392,061 | 6,567,015 | 1,126,353 | 13,085,335 | 744,190 | 12,341,145 | 2,252,012 |
| 6,567,015 | 392,061 | 6,174,954 | 1,082,514 | 12,341,145 | 744,190 | 11,596,955 | 2,040,906 |
| 6,567,015 | 392,061 | 6,174,954 | 1,082,514 | 12,341,145 | 744,190 | 11,596,955 | 2,161,088 |
| $\ldots$ | .... |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| ... | ..... |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |

$r$ specific projects identified or to be indentified in Attachment 7 i:


## Atlantic City Electric Company

## Attachment 8 - Company Exhibit - Securitization Workpaper

[^19]
## ATTACHMENT H-9A

| Potomac Electric Power Company |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For | mula Rate -- Appendix A | Notes | FERC Form 1 Page \# or Instruction |  | 2008 |
| Shaded cells are input cells |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Transmission Wages Expense |  | p354.21b | \$ | 4,207,079 |
| 2 | Total Wages Expense |  | p354.28b | \$ | 53,083,661 |
| 3 | Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | p354.27b | \$ | 4,492,531 |
| 4 | Total |  | (Line 2-3) |  | 48,591,130 |
| 5 | Wages \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 1/4) |  | 8.6581\% |
| Plant Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Electric Plant in Service | (Note B) | p207.104g | \$ | 5,207,636,430 |
| 7 | Common Plant In Service - Electric |  | (Line 24) |  | 0 |
| 8 | Total Plant In Service |  | (Sum Lines 6 \& 7) |  | 5,207,636,430 |
| 9 | Accumulated Depreciation (Total Electric Plant) |  | p219.29c | \$ | 2,285,551,295 |
| 10 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21c | \$ | 79,117,838 |
| 11 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 |
| 12 | Accumulated Common Plant Depreciation - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 |
| 13 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Sum Lines 9 to 12) |  | 2,364,669,133 |
| 14 | Net Plant |  | (Line 8-13) |  | 2,842,967,297 |
| 15 | Transmission Gross Plant |  | (Line 29 - Line 28) |  | 771,697,485 |
| 16 | Gross Plant Allocator |  | (Line 15/8) |  | 14.8186\% |
| 17 | Transmission Net Plant |  | (Line 39 - Line 28) |  | 421,400,675 |
| 18 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 17/14) |  | 14.8226\% |


| Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Note B) | p207.58.g | \$ | 725,351,802 |
| 20 | For Reconciliation only - remove New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year |  | For Reconciliation Only | Attachment 6 - Enter Negative |  |  |
| 21 | New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year (weighted by months in service) |  |  | Attachment 6 |  | 15,380,924 |
| 22 | Total Transmission Plant In Service |  |  | (Line 19-20 + 21) |  | 740,732,726 |
| 23 | General \& Intangible |  |  | p205.5.g \& p207.99.g |  | 357,638,304 |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) |  | (Notes A \& B) | p356 |  | 0 |
| 25 | Total General \& Common |  |  | (Line 23 + 24) |  | 357,638,304 |
| 26 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.65812\% |
| 27 | General \& Common Plant Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 25 * 26) |  | 30,964,758 |
| 28 | Plant Held for Future Use (Including Land) |  | (Note C) | p214 |  | 0 |
| 29 | TOTAL Plant In Service |  |  | (Line 22+27+28) |  | 771,697,485 |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Note B) | p219.25.c |  | 329,956,613 |
| 31 | Accumulated General Depreciation |  |  | p219.28.c |  | 155,808,372 |
| 32 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization |  |  | (Line 10) |  | 79,117,838 |
| 33 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric |  |  | (Line 11) |  | 0 |
| 34 | Common Plant Accumulated Depreciation (Electric Only) |  |  | (Line 12) |  | 0 |
| 35 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  |  | (Sum Lines 31 to 34) |  | 234,926,210 |
| 36 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.65812\% |
| 37 | General \& Common Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 35 * 36) |  | 20,340,196 |
| 38 | TOTAL Accumulated Depreciation |  |  | (Line 30 + 37) |  | 350,296,809 |
| 39 | TOTAL Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  |  | (Line 29-38) |  | 421,400,675 |
| Adjustment To Rate Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | ADIT net of FASB 106 and 109 |  |  | Attachment 1 |  | -107,161,913 |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 | Enter Negative | (Notes A \& I) | p266.h |  | 0 |
| 42 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 18) |  | 14.82\% |
| 43 | Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes Allocated To Transmission |  |  | (Line 41 * 42) + Line 40 |  | -107,161,913 |
| 43a | Transmission Related CWIP (Current Year 12 Month weighted average balances) |  | (Note B) | p216.43.b as Shown on Attachment 6 |  | 24,097,545 |
| Transmission O\&M Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Total Balance Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves |  | Enter Negative | Attachment 5 |  | -3,555,989 |
| Prepayments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Prepayments |  | (Note A) | Attachment 5 |  | 26,570,669 |
| 46 | Total Prepayments Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 45) |  | 26,570,669 |
| Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp |  | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c |  | 2,874,523 |
| 48 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  | (Line 5) |  | 8.66\% |
| 49 | Total Transmission Allocated |  |  | (Line 47* 48) |  | 248,880 |
| 50 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |  |  | p227.8c |  | 3,926,742 |
| 51 | Total Materials \& Supplies Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line $49+50$ ) |  | 4,175,622 |
| Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense |  |  | (Line 85) |  | 32,013,042 |
| 53 | 1/8th Rule |  |  | +1/8 |  | 12.5\% |
| 54 | Total Cash Working Capital Allocated to Transmission |  |  | (Line 52 * 53) |  | 4,001,630 |
| Network Credits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 56 | Less Accumulated Depreciation Associated with Facilities with Outstanding Network Credits |  | (Note N) | From PJM |  | 0 |
| 57 | Net Outstanding Credits |  |  | (Line 55-56) |  | 0 |
| 58 | TOTAL Adjustment to Rate Base |  |  | (Line $43+43 \mathrm{a}+44+46+51+54-57)$ |  | -51,872,436 |
| 59 | Rate Base |  |  | (Line 39 + 58) |  | 369,528,239 |


| Transmission |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission O\&M |  | p321.112.b | 23,755,048 |
| Less extraordinary property loss |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| Plus amortized extraordinary property loss |  | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| Less Account 565 |  | p321.96.b | 0 |
| Plus Schedule 12 Charges billed to Transmission Owner and booked to Account 565 | (Note O) | PJM Data | 0 |
| Plus Transmission Lease Payments | (Note A) | p200.3.c | 0 |
| Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 60-63+64+65) | 23,755,048 |
| Allocated General \& Common Expenses |  |  |  |
| Common Plant O\&M | (Note A) | p356 | 0 |
| Total A\&G |  | p323.197.b | 96,622,624 |
| Less Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185b | 817,168 |
| Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note E) | p323.189b | 1,630,238 |
| Less General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 |  | p323.191b | 101,657 |
| Less DE Enviro \& Low Income and MD Universal Funds |  | p335.b | 0 |
| Less EPRI Dues | (Note D) | p352-353 | 93,955 |
| General \& Common Expenses |  | (Lines $67+68$ ) - Sum (69 to 73) | 93,979,606 |
| Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) | 8.6581\% |
| General \& Common Expenses Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 74*75) | 8,136,868 |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |
| Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | p323.189b | 0 |
| General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note K) | p323.191b | 0 |
| Subtotal - Transmission Related |  | (Line 77 + 78) | 0 |
| Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185b | 817,168 |
| General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | p323.191b | 0 |
| Total |  | (Line $80+81$ ) | 817,168 |
| Net Plant Allocation Factor |  | (Line 18) | 14.82\% |
| A\&G Directly Assigned to Transmission |  | (Line 82 * 83) | 121,125 |
| Total Transmission O\&M |  | (Line 66+76 + 79 + 84) | 32,013,042 |


| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | Transmission Depreciation Expense |  | p336.7b\&c | 15,543,180 |
| 87 | General Depreciation |  | p336.10b\&c | 13,769,680 |
| 88 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1d\&e | 7,282,131 |
| 89 | Total |  | (Line 87 + 88) | 21,051,811 |
| 90 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) | 8.6581\% |
| 91 | General Depreciation Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 89 * 90) | 1,822,691 |
| 92 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only | (Note A) | p336.11.b | 0 |
| 93 | Common Amortization - Electric Only | (Note A) | p356 or p336.11d | 0 |
| 94 | Total |  | (Line 92+93) | 0 |
| 95 | Wage \& Salary Allocation Factor |  | (Line 5) | 8.6581\% |
| 96 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 94*95) | 0 |
| 97 | Total Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Line $86+91+96)$ | 17,365,871 |
| Taxes Other than Income |  |  |  |  |
| 98 | Taxes Other than Income |  | Attachment 2 | 7,015,262 |
| 99 | Total Taxes Other than Income |  | (Line 98) | $\underline{7,015,262}$ |



| Long Term Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | Long Term Interest |  |  | p117.62c through 67c |  | 80,019,744 |
| 101 | Less LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds |  | (Note P) | Attachment 8 |  | 0 |
| 102 | Long Term Interest |  |  | "(Line 100 - line 101)" |  | 80,019,744 |
| 103 | Preferred Dividends |  | enter positive | p118.29c |  | - |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | Proprietary Capital |  |  | p112.16c | \$ | 1,235,731,612 |
| 105 | Less Preferred Stock |  | enter negative | (Line 114) |  | 0 |
| 106 | Less Account 216.1 |  | enter negative | p112.12c |  | -1,646,367 |
| 107 | Common Stock |  |  | (Sum Lines 104 to 106) |  | 1,234,085,245 |
| Capitalization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Long Term Debt |  |  | p112.17c through 21c |  | 1,504,300,000 |
| 109 | Less Loss on Reacquired Debt |  | enter negative | p111.81c |  | -38,887,461 |
| 110 | Plus Gain on Reacquired Debt |  | enter positive | p113.61c |  | 0 |
| 111 | Less ADIT associated with Gain or Loss |  | enter negative | Attachment 1 |  | 368,747 |
| 112 | Less LTD on Securitization Bonds | (Note P) | enter negative | Attachment 8 |  | 0 |
| 113 | Total Long Term Debt |  |  | (Sum Lines 108 to 112) |  | 1,465,781,286 |
| 114 | Preferred Stock |  |  | p112.3c |  | 0 |
| 115 | Common Stock |  |  | (Line 107) |  | 1,234,085,245 |
| 116 | Total Capitalization |  |  | (Sum Lines 113 to 115) |  | 2,699,866,531 |
| 117 | Debt \% | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 113 / 116) |  | 54\% |
| 118 | Preferred \% | Preferred Stock |  | (Line 114 / 116) |  | 0\% |
| 119 | Common \% | Common Stock |  | (Line 115 / 116) |  | 46\% |
| 120 | Debt Cost | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 102 / 113) |  | 0.0546 |
| 121 | Preferred Cost | Preferred Stock |  | (Line 103 / 114) |  | 0.0000 |
| 122 | Common Cost | Common Stock | (Note J) | Fixed |  | 0.1130 |
| 123 | Weighted Cost of Debt | Total Long Term Debt (WCLTD) |  | (Line 117 * 120) |  | 0.0296 |
| 124 | Weighted Cost of Preferred | Preferred Stock |  | (Line 118* 121) |  | 0.0000 |
| 125 | Weighted Cost of Common | Common Stock |  | (Line 119 * 122) |  | 0.0517 |
| 126 | Total Return ( R ) |  |  | (Sum Lines 123 to 125) |  | 0.0813 |
| 127 | Investment Return = Rate Base * Rate of Return |  |  | (Line 59 * 126) |  | $30,038,845$ |


| ates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate |  |  | 35.00\% |
| 129 | SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite | (Note I) |  | 8.23\% |
| 130 | p ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) |  | Per State Tax Code | 0.00\% |
| 131 | $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT})$ * (1-FIT)]/(1-SIT * FIT * p $)$ ) |  |  | 40.35\% |
| 132 | T/ (1-T) |  |  | 67.63\% |
|  | ITC Adjustment | (Note I) |  |  |
| 133 | Amortized Investment Tax Credit | enter negative | p266.8f | -2,034,384 |
| 134 | T/(1-T) |  | (Line 132) | 67.63\% |
| 135 | Net Plant Allocation Factor |  | (Line 18) | 14.8226\% |
| 136 | ITC Adjustment Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 133 * 1 + 134) * 135) | -505,500 |
| 137 | Income Tax Component = CIT=(T/1-T) * Investment Return * (1-(WCLTD/R)) = |  | [Line 132 * 127 * (1-(123 / 126))] | 12,909,227 |
| 138 | Total Income Taxes |  | (Line $136+137)$ | 12,403,727 |
| REVENUE REQUIREMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 39) | 421,400,675 |
| 140 | Adjustment to Rate Base |  | (Line 58) | -51,872,436 |
| 141 | Rate Base |  | (Line 59) | 369,528,239 |
| 142 | O\&M |  | (Line 85) | 32,013,042 |
| 143 | Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Line 97) | 17,365,871 |
| 144 | Taxes Other than Income |  | (Line 99) | 7,015,262 |
| 145 | Investment Return |  | (Line 127) | 30,038,845 |
| 146 | Income Taxes |  | (Line 138) | 12,403,727 |
| 147 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Sum Lines 142 to 146) | 98,836,746 |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities |  |  |  |  |
| 148 | Transmission Plant In Service |  | (Line 19) | 725,351,802 |
| 149 | Excluded Transmission Facilities | (Note M) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 150 | Included Transmission Facilities |  | (Line 148-149) | 725,351,802 |
| 151 | Inclusion Ratio |  | (Line 150 / 148) | 100.00\% |
| 152 | Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 147) | 98,836,746 |
| 153 | Adjusted Gross Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 151 * 152) | 98,836,746 |
| Revenue Credits \& Interest on Network Credits |  |  |  |  |
| 154 | Revenue Credits |  | Attachment 3 | 5,708,546 |
| 155 | Interest on Network Credits | (Note N) | PJM Data | - |
| 156 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 153-154 + 155) | 93,128,200 |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) | 93,128,200 |
| 158 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) | 395,395,189 |
| 159 | Net Plant Carrying Charge |  | (Line $157 / 158$ ) | 23.5532\% |
| 160 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation |  | (Line 157-86) / 158 | 19.6221\% |
| 161 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes |  | (Line 157-86-127-138) / 158 | 8.8879\% |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge Calculation per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | Net Revenue Requirement Less Return and Taxes |  | (Line 156-145-146) | 50,685,628 |
| 163 | Increased Return and Taxes |  | Attachment 4 | 45,274,062 |
| 164 | Net Revenue Requirement per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line $162+163)$ | 95,959,691 |
| 165 | Net Transmission Plant |  | (Line 19-30) | 395,395,189 |
| 166 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  | (Line 164 / 165) | 24.2693\% |
| 167 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point in ROE without Depreciation |  | (Line 163-86) / 165 | 20.3383\% |
| 168 | Net Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 156) | 93,128,200 |
| 169 | True-up amount |  | Attachment 6 | $(4,679,645)$ |
| 170 | Plus any increased ROE calculated on Attachment 7 other than PJM Sch. 12 projects |  | Attachment 7 | 862,178 |
| 171 | Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 |  | Attachment 5 | - |
| 172 | Net Zonal Revenue Requirement |  | (Line 168-169 + 171) | 89,310,733 |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | 1 CP Peak | (Note L) | PJM Data | 6,751 |
| 174 | Rate (\$/MW-Year) |  | (Line 172 / 173) | 13,229 |
| 175 | Network Service Rate (\$/MW/Year) |  | (Line 174) | 3,229 |

Notes
A Electric portion only
B Exclude Construction Work in Progress and leases that are expensed as O\&M (rather than amortized). New Transmission plant
that is expected to be placed in service in the current calendar year weighted by number of months it is expected to be in-service. New Transmission plant expected
obe placed in service in the current calendar year that is not included in the PJM Regional Transmission Plan (RTEP) must be separately detailed on Attachment 5 ,
For the Reconciliation, new transmission plant that was actually placed in service weighted by the number of months it was actually in service
CWIP will be linked to Attachment 6 which shows detail support by project (incentive and non-incentive).
C Transmission Portion Only
D All EPRI Annual Membership Dues
E All Regulatory Commission Expenses
F Safety related advertising included in Account 930.1
G Regulatory Commission Expenses directly related to transmission service, RTO filings, or transmission siting itemized in Form 1 at 351 .h
I The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility includes taxes in more than one state, it must explain in
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility includes taxes in more than one stat
Attachment 5 the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that
elected to use amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduc
rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f)
multiplied by ( $1 / 1-\mathrm{T}$ ). A utility must not include tax credits as a reduction to rate base and as an amortization against taxable income
Per FERC order in Docket No. ER08-10, the ROE is $11.30 \%$, which includes a 50 basis-point RTO membership adder as authorized by FERC to become effective on December 1, 2007. Per FERC orders in Docket Nos. ER08-686 and ER081423 , the ROE for specific projects identified or to be indentified in Attachment 7 is $12.80 \%$, which includes a 150 basis-point transmission incentive ROE adder as authorized by FERC to become effective June 1,2008 and November 1,2008
J respectively.
K Education and outreach expenses relating to transmission, for example siting or billing
As provided for in Section 34.1 of the PJM OATT and the PJM established billing determinants will not be revised or updated in the annual rate reconciliations per settlement in ER05-515
M Amount of transmission plant excluded from rates per Attachment 5 .
N Outstanding Network Credits is the balance of Network Facilities Upgrades Credits due Transmission Customers who have made lump-sum payments (net of accumulated depreciation) towards the construction of Network Transmission Facilities consistent with Paragraph 657 of Order 2003-A.
Interest on the Network Credits as booked each year is added to the revenue requirement to make the Transmisison Owner whole on Line 155

- Payments made under Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT that are not directly assessed to load in the Zone under Schedule 12 are included in Transmission O\&M If they are booked to Acct 565, they are included in on line 64
P Securitization bonds may be included in the capital structure per settlement in ERO5-515
Q ACE capital structure is initially fixed at $50 \%$ common equity and $50 \%$ debt per settlement in ER05-515 subject to moratorium provisions in the settlement.
R Per the settlement in ER05-515, the facility credits of $\$ 15,000$ per month paid to Vineland will increase to $\$ 37,500$ per month (prorated for partial months) effective on the date FERC approves the settlement in ER05-515.


## Potomac Electric Power Company

|  | Potomac Electric Power Company |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attachment 1-Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Only } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Plant Related | Labor Related | Total ADIT |
| ADIT. 282 | 0 | (762,478,041) | 8,589,132 |  |
| ADIT-283 | 0 | (114,612,040) | (63,635,705) |  |
| ADIT-190 Subtotal | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{(698,745,866)}$ | ${ }_{(41,784,308)}^{13,262,25}$ |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  | 8.6581\% |  |
| Gross Plant Allocator ADIT |  | 14.8186\% |  |  |
| ADIT | 0 | (103,544,177) | ${ }^{(3,617,736)}$ | (107,161,913) |
| Note: ADIT associated with Gain or Loss on Reacquired Debt is included in Column A here and included in Cost of Debt on Appendix A, Line$(368,747)$Amount |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { ADIT- }-990 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Total }}{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { Gas, Prod } \\ \text { or other } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { On } \\ \text { Only } \\ \text { Transimsion } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Plant Related | $\underset{\substack{\text { Labor } \\ \text { Related }}}{\text { F }}$ | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel Supply Sale | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Defereded taxes related to the termination of Pepco's planned nuclear plant |
| Fuel Rights Sale | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Deferred taxes related to the termination of Pepco's planned nuclear plant |
| Enichment Contract Sale | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Deferred taxes related to the termination of Pepco's planned nuclear plant |
| Fuel Excise Tax Write-off | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Deferred taxes related Generation |
| Deferred Payments | 0 |  |  |  |  | For book purposes, deferred executive compensation and deferred payments are expensed when accrued. For tax purposes, they are deducted when paid. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| Deferred Compensation(sk) | 10,808,920 |  |  |  | 10,808,920 | purposes, they are deducted when paid. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| Additional Rental Income | 0 |  |  |  |  | Rental of General Plant and therefore allocated on labor. |
| D. C. Gross Receipls Tax | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Retail related |
| Control Center - Lease Payment | 86,194,377 |  |  | 86,194,377 |  | For book purposes, the rental payments on the control center are expensed during the current year. For tax purposes, control center is treated as if Pepco continues to own it. The rent expense is added back to book income, the assets are depreciated and interest expense is imputed and deducted. Sale/lease back of center used for control of T \& D. |
| Avg. Payment Plan | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | monthly payments based on this average. For tax purposes, payments are included in income upon receipt whereas for book purposes, income is based on the meters read basis. The debit to deferred tax arises |
| Customer Deposits | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Customer deposits are treated as deferred liabilities for book purposes; for tax purposes deposits held over two years are included in taxable income. Retail related |
| Normalization Adustment | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | This adjustment reflects the flowback to the customer for the difference resulting from taxes deferred at the $46 \%$ federal tax rate and the lower $34 \%$ corporate tax rate in accordance with the Tax Reform Act of 1986. Involves all plant and is not limited to retail. |
| Normalization-MD Case 8162 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | This adjustment reflects the flowback to the customer for the difference resulting from taxes deferred at the $46 \%$ federal tax rate and the lower $34 \%$ corporate tax rate in accordance with the Tax Reform Act of 1986. Involves all plant and is not limited to retail. |
| CIAC | 84,829,319 |  |  | 84,829,319 |  | Notice 87-51. if CIAC are not grossed up, the defered taxes must be included in rate base in order for the |
| Normalization - Unbilled Revenues | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Relates to all revenues |
| Unbilled Revenues(1989 \& TRA 1986) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Relates to all revenues |
| Unbilled Revenue Adj. DC Order \#10387 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Relates to all revenues |
| NPDES Permits (Net) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | The cost of discharge permits for the Company's generating stations are expensed currenty for book purposes and are required to be amortized over a 5 year period for tax purposes. Generation related |
| Cap. Construct Period Taxes | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Pursuant to IRC Section 189, these taxes are capitalized and amortized over ten years for tax purposes whereas for book purposes, they are deducted currently. Related to all plant. |
| Bad Debt Reserve Amott | 6,299,854 |  |  | 6,295,854 |  | Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986 , taxpayers were required to switch from the reserve method for bad debts to the specific write-off method. The amounts previously accumulated in a reserve were required to be included in taxable income over a four year period. The reserve method is used for book purposes. Related to all revenues. |
| Bad Debt Expense/Adjustment | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  | to the specific write-off method. The amounts previously accumulated in a reserve were required to be included in taxable income over a four year period. The reserve method is used for book purposes. Relatec to all revenues. |
| Excess Accrued Vacation Pay | 2,456,452 |  |  |  | 2,456,452 | For book purposes, accrued vacation pay is expensed during the current year. For tax purposes, only the portion of the vacation allowance actually taken or paid by March 15 th of the following year can be deducted currently. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| Connection Fees | (722,756) | (722,756) |  |  |  | Connection fees are considered taxable income by the Internal Revenue Service and their costs are capitalized and depreciated for tax purposes. For book purposes, connection fees are excluded from income and from the depreciable cost of the assets as a contribution in aid of construction. Retail related. |
| Service - Conn Fee Income | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Connection fees are considered taxable income by the Internal Revenue Service and their costs are capitalized and depreciated for tax purposes. For book purposes, connection fees are excluded from income and from the depreciable cost of the assets as a contribution in aid of construction. Retail related |
| Dep. - Conn Fee Income | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | capitalized and depreciated for tax purposes. For book purposes, connection fees are excluded from |
| Mine Closing Costs/Conemaugh Adj | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Generation related |
| Consl.Audit Adj. | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | This deferred tax balance erelate to prior Internal Revenue Sevice audits of the Company |
| FAS 109 - Deferred Taxes on ITC | 4,082,080 |  |  | 4.082,080 |  | Pursuant to the requirements of FAS 109, Pepco's accumulated deferred taxes must encompass all timing differences regardless of whether the difference is normalized or flowed-through. These balances primarily represent the deferred taxes on prior flow-through items, including the amount of the required gross-up necessary for full recovery of the prior flow-through amount. Related to all plant. |
| FAS 109 Regulatory Receivable/Liability | 5,147,314 |  |  | 5,147,314 |  | Pursuant to the requirements of FAS 109, Pepco's accumulated deferred taxes must encompass all timing differences regardless of whether the difference is normalized or flowed-through. These balances primarily represent the defered taxes on prior flow-through items, including the amount of the required gross-up necessary for full recovery of the prior flow-through amount. Related to all plant. necessary for full recovery of the prior flow-through amount. Related to all plant. |
| FAS 109 - Flowthrough tems | 0 |  |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  | Pursuant to the requirements of FAS 109, Pepco's accumulated deferred taxes must encompass all timing differences regardless of whether the difference is normalized or flowed-through. These balances primarily represent the deferred taxes on prior flow-through items, including the amount of the required gross-up necessary for full recovery of the prior flow-through amount. Related to all plant. |
| FAS 109 - Normalization | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Pursuant to the requirements of FAS 109, Pepco's accumulated deferred taxes must encompass all timing differences regardless of whether the difference is normalized or flowed-through. These balances primarily represent the deferred taxes on prior flow-through items, including the amount of the required gross-up necessary for full recovery of the prior flow-through amount. Related to all plant. |
| FAS 109 - Earrings Ettect | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | differences regardless of whether the difference is normalized of flowed.through. These balances primarily |
| Current Portion of Deferred Tax Liability | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | Represents the portion of the deferred taxes that have been identified as current. Related to al plant. |
| SMECO Contract Termination/Interest | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | For book purposes, the gain was recorded when the termination contract was entered into. For tax purposes, the gain is recognized when the terms of the contract are met. Generation related. |
| 94/95 Audit-Human Resource Initiatives/Gude Capacity Pymt | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | Relates to prior IRS audit adjustments. The tax amortization period is longer than the book $s$ which currently expensed these costs. Gude is generation related |
| Customer Sharing | (3,143,388) | (3,143,338) |  |  |  | expensed when the gain on the sale was recorded. For tax purposes, gain to be shared is deducted when paid. Generation related |
| Pension Curtailment | 4,311,753 | 4,311,753 |  |  |  | For book purposes, these costs were expensed when the gain on the divestiture sale were recorded. For tax purposes, the costs are deducted when paid. Related to sale of generation assets. |
| Transtion Costs | 1,287,846 | 1,287,846 |  |  |  | For book purposes, these costs were expensed when the gain on the divestiture sale were recorded. For tax purposes, the costs are deducted when paid. Generation related. |
| Severance Payments/Other | 0 |  |  |  |  | For book purposes, the costs are expensed when a formal plan is adopted and the employees to be severec have been identified. For tax purposes, the costs are deductible when they are paid to the severed individuals. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| Empowerment Zone Credit | 0 |  |  |  |  | PHI's consolidated return is in an NOL situation, therefore, Pepco's Empowerment Zone credit is carried forward until such time as PHI is in a taxable income position. Affects company personnel across all functions. |
| PG County Right of Way | 404,166 | 404,166 |  |  |  | Council. Since Maryland counties are prohibited from enacting any tax without the authority of the state |
| MD Adustment | 744,160 | 744,160 |  |  |  | This deferred tax balance relates to a Maryland refund that was received in 2007 relating to the sale of Pepco generation. |
| Mirant Settement | 26,296,840 | 26,296,840 |  |  |  | Represents a payment from Mirant to Pepco to settle some of the Company's claims. For book purposes the payment was accounted for on the balance sheet as a contingent liablilty. For tax purposes, since the funds were received, a portion of the payment was treated as currently taxable. |
| Accrued Retired Executive Compensation | (3.107) |  |  |  |  | PHI's consolidated return is in an NOL situation, therefore, Pepco's charitable contributions are carried forward until such time as PHI is in a taxable income position. For book purposes, the contributions are expensed when incurred. Related to all functions. |


| Contribuion Carry | 748,83 |  |  | 748,33 |  | PHI's consolidated return is in an NOL situation, therefore, Pepo's charitable contributions are carried解 <br> expensed when incurred. Related to all functions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leased Vencles |  | 29,178,671 |  |  | ${ }_{13,262,265}$ | lease amount needs to be added back |
|  | 9,299,394 |  | 0 | 9,229,394 |  |  |
| Toud | 220,785,150 | 29,178.671 |  | 178.344,215 | 13,262,265 |  |

[^20]6. Re: Form 1.F filer: Sum of subtotalas tor Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1F., p. 113.57.c
eferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet


```
1. ADIT Ttems related onyy to Non:Electric Operations
-ADIT Tems related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
3. ADT, ttems related to Plant and not in Colums C&D are include in Column E
5.Defered income taxes arise when items are.
6. Re: Form 1.F filer: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 288 should tie to Form No. 1F, p.111.57.,
```


## eferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet




```
Instruction for Account 283:
1. Alir Titm, related only to Non:Electic Operations
assigned to Column C
2. ADIT, tems related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column D
```



```
\, 4DIT, tems realede tolabor and notin Column
6. Re: Form 1.f flier: Sum of subtotals for Accounts 282 and 283 should tie to Form No. 1F., p.113.57.c
```

Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

ADITC-255

|  |  | em | Balance | Amortization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate Base Treatment |  |  |  |
| 2 | Balance to line 41 of Appendix $A$ | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Amortization |  |  |  |
| 4 | Amorization to line 133 of Appendix $A$ | Total | 12,491,863 | 2,034,384 |
| 5 | Total |  | 12.491.863 | 2.034 .384 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Total Form No. 1 (p 266 \& 267) | Form No. 1 balance | 12,491,863 | 2,034,384 |
|  | Difference /1 |  |  |  |

## Potomac Electric Power Company

## Attachment 2 - Taxes Other Than Income Worksheet

| Other Taxes | Page 263 | Allocated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Col (i) | Allocator | Amount |

Plant Related

Gross Plant Allocator

| 1 Transmission Personal Property Tax (directly assigned to Transmission) | $\$$ | $6,614,159$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 6,614,159$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a Other Personal Property Tax (excluded) | $\$$ | $24,163,039$ | $0 \%$ | $\$$ | - |
| 2 Capital Stock Tax |  |  | $14.8186 \%$ | $\$$ | - |
| 3 Gross Premium (insurance) Tax |  | $14.8186 \%$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| 4 PURTA |  | $14.8186 \%$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| 5 Corp License |  | $14.8186 \%$ | $\$$ | - |  |
| Total Plant Related |  |  |  |  |  |


| Labor Related | Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 Federal FICA \& Unemployment \& state unemployment | $4,632,674$ |  |  |
| Total Labor Related | $4,632,674$ | $8.6581 \%$ | 401,103 |
| Other Included | Gross Plant Allocator |  |  |
| 7 Miscellaneous | 0 |  |  |
| Total Other Included | 0 | $14.8186 \%$ | 0 |
| Total Included |  |  | $7,015,262$ |

## Currently Excluded

| 8 Franchise | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9 kWhTax - State Gross Receipt (Excise Tax) | $106,397,360$ |
| 10 Electric environmental surcharge | $2,143,816$ |
| 11 Universal service fee | $8,109,220$ |
| 12 Montgomery County Fuel | $89,500,539$ |
| 13 PSC assessment | $6,077,655$ |
| 14 Real property (State, Municipal or Local) | $7,532,069$ |
| 15 DC Right of Way | $20,262,132$ |
| 16 Use \& Sales Tax | $3,606,927$ |
| 17 FHUT | 17,512 |
| 18 DC Ballpark | 16,500 |
| 19 DC Reliable Energy Trust Fund | $13,560,500$ |
| 20 Misc. Other | 0 |
| 21 Total "Other" Taxes (included on p. 263) | $292,634,102$ |
| 22 Total "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" - acct 408.10 (p. 114.14) | $292,634,102$ |

23 Difference
Criteria for Allocation:
A Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of plant including transmission plant will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator. If the taxes are $100 \%$ recovered at retail they will not be included
B Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of only general or intangible plant will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator. If the taxes are $100 \%$ recovered at retail they will not be included
C Other taxes that are assessed based on labor will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator
D Other taxes except as provided for in A, B and C above, that are incurred and (1) are not fully recovered at retail or (2) are directly or indirectly related to transmission service will be allocated based on the Gross Plant Allocator; provided, however, that overheads shall be treated as in footnote B above
E Excludes prior period adjustments in the first year of the formula's operation and reconciliation for the first year

## Pepco

Allocation of Property taxes to Transmission Function

## Year Ended December 31, 2008

| Assessable Plant | Maryland |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Transmission | $\$ \quad 564,585,796$ |
| Distribution | $\$ 1,972,320,736$ |
| General | $\$ 151,126,860$ |
| Total T,D\&Genl | $\$ 2,688,033,392$ |

Plant ratios by Jurisdiction
Transmission Ratio 0.21003675

Distribution ratio 0.73374116
General Ratio
0.05622209
1.00000000

| Property Taxes | $\$$ | $30,777,198$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Transmission Property Tax | $\$$ | $6,464,343$ |
| Distribution Property tax | $\$$ | $22,582,497$ |
| General Property Tax | $\$$ | $1,730,358$ |
| Total check | $\$$ | $30,777,198$ |

## Allocation of General to Transmission

| General Property Tax | $\$$ | $1,730,358$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trans Labor Ratio |  | 0.086581213 |
| Trans General |  | 149,817 |


| Total Transmission Property Taxes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Transmission | $\$$ | $6,464,343$ |
| General | $\$$ | 149,817 |
| Total Transmission Property Taxes | $\$$ | $6,614,159$ |

## Potomac Electric Power Company

## Attachment 3 - Revenue Credit Workpaper

## Account 454 - Rent from Electric Property

1 Rent from Electric Property - Transmission Related (Note 3)

## Account 456 - Other Electric Revenues (Note 1)

3 Schedule 1A
4 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the load is not included in the divisor (difference between NITS credits from PJM and PJM NITS charges paid by Transmission Owner) (Note 4)
5 Point to Point Service revenues for which the load is not included in the divisor received by Transmission Owner (Note 4)
6 PJM Transitional Revenue Neutrality (Note 1)
7 PJM Transitional Market Expansion (Note 1)
8 Professional Services (Note 3)
9 Revenues from Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges (Note 2)
10 Rent or Attachment Fees associated with Transmission Facilities (Note 3)

11 Gross Revenue Credits
(Sum Lines 2-10)
12 Less line 17 g
13 Total Revenue Credits
\$ 610,672

## Revenue Adjustment to determine Revenue Credit

14
Note 1: All revenues related to transmission that are received as a transmission owner (i.e., not received as a LSE), for which the cost of the service is recovered under this formula, except as specifically provided for elsewhere in this Attachment or elsewhere in the formula will be included as a revenue credit or included in the peak on line 173 of Appendix $A$.

15 Note 2: If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are included in the Rates, the associated revenues are included in the Rates. If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are not included in the Rates, the associated revenues are not included in the Rates.

16 Note 3: Ratemaking treatment for the following specified secondary uses of transmission assets: (1) right-of-way leases and leases for space on transmission facilities for telecommunications; (2) transmission tower licenses for wireless antennas; (3) right-of-way property leases for farming, grazing or nurseries; (4) licenses of intellectual property (including a portable oil degasification process and scheduling software); and (5) transmission maintenance and consulting services (including energized circuit maintenance, high-voltage substation maintenance, safety training, transformer oil testing, and circuit breaker testing) to other utilities and large customers (collectively, products). Company will retain $50 \%$ of net revenues consistent with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 90 FERC ๆ 61,314. Note: in orde to use lines $17 \mathrm{a}-17 \mathrm{~g}$, the utility must track in separate subaccounts the revenues and costs associated with each secondary use (except for the cost of the associated income taxes).
17a Revenues included in lines 1-11 which are subject to 50/50 sharing. 8,910,666
17b Costs associated with revenues in line 17a 3,595,149
17c Net Revenues (17a-17b) 5,315,517
17d $50 \%$ Share of Net Revenues (17c / 2)
17e Costs associated with revenues in line 17a that are included in FERC accounts recovered through the formula times the allocator used to functionalize the amounts in the FERC account to the transmission service at issue.
$17 f$ Net Revenue Credit (17d + 17e)
17 g Line 17 f less line 17 a
18 Note 4: If the facilities associated with the revenues are not included in the formula, the revenue is shown here but not included in the total above and is explained in the Cost Support; for example revenues associated with distribution facilities. In addition, Revenues from Schedule 12 are not included in the total above to the extent they are credited under Schedule 12.

19 Amount offset in line 4 above

## Potomac Electric Power Company

## Attachment 4 - Calculation of 100 Basis Point Increase in ROE

Return and Taxes with 100 Basis Point increase in ROE

Long Term Interest

| 100 | Long Term Interest |  | p117.62c through 67c | 80,019,744 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Less LTD Interest on Securitization E(Note P) |  | Attachment 8 | 0 |
| 102 | Long Term Interest |  | "(Line 100 - line 101)" | 80,019,744 |
| 103 | Preferred Dividends | enter positive | p118.29c | 0 |
|  | Common Stock |  |  |  |
| 104 | Proprietary Capital |  | p112.16c | 1,235,731,612 |
| 105 | Less Preferred Stock | enter negative | (Line 114) | 0 |
| 106 | Less Account 216.1 | enter negative | p112.12c | -1,646,367 |
| 107 | Common Stock |  | (Sum Lines 104 to 106) | 1,234,085,245 |

Capitalization

| 108 | Long Term Debt |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 |  | er negative |  |  |
|  | Less Loss on Reacquired Debt |  | p111.81c | -38,887,461 |
| 110 | Plus Gain on Reacquired Debt | enter positive | p113.61c | 0 |
| 111 | Less ADIT associated with Gain or Loss | enter negative | Attachment 1 | 368,747 |
| 112 | Less LTD on Securitization Bonds | enter negative | Attachment 8 | 0 |
| 113 | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Sum Lines 108 to 112) | 1,465,781,286 |
| 114 | Preferred Stock |  | p112.3c | 0 |
| 115 | Common Stock |  | (Line 107) | 1,234,085,245 |
| 116 | Total Capitalization |  | (Sum Lines 113 to 115) | 2,699,866,531 |
| 117 | Debt \% | Total Long Term Debt | (Line 113 / 116) | 54\% |
| 118 | Preferred \% | Preferred Stock | (Line 114 / 116) | 0\% |
| 119 | Common \% | Common Stock | (Line 115 / 116) | 46\% |
| 120 | Debt Cost | Total Long Term Debt | (Line 102 / 113) | 0.0546 |
| 121 | Preferred Cost | Preferred Stock | (Line 103 / 114) | 0.0000 |
| 122 | Common Cost (Note J from Appendix A) | Common Stock | Appendix A \% plus 100 Basis Pts | 0.1230 |
| 123 | Weighted Cost of Debt | Total Long Term Debt (WCLTD) | (Line 117 * 120) | 0.0296 |
| 124 | Weighted Cost of Preferred | Preferred Stock | (Line 118*121) | 0.0000 |
| 125 | Weighted Cost of Common | Common Stock | (Line 119 * 122) | 0.0562 |
| 126 | Total Return (R) |  | (Sum Lines 123 to 125) | 0.0859 |
| 127 | Investment Return = Rate Base * Rate of Return |  | (Line 59 * 126) | 31,727,926 |

## Composite Income Taxes

| Income Tax Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate |  | 35.00\% |
| SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite |  | 8.23\% |
| $\mathrm{p}=$ percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes | Per State Tax Code | 0.00\% |
| T $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT}) *(1-\mathrm{FIT})] /(1-\mathrm{SIT} * \mathrm{FIT} * \mathrm{p})\}=$ |  | 40.35\% |
| T/ (1-T) |  | 67.63\% |
| ITC Adjustment |  |  |
| Amortized Investment Tax Credit enter negative | p266.8f | $(2,034,384)$ |
| T/(1-T) | (Line 132) | 68\% |
| Net Plant Allocation Factor | (Line 18) | 14.8226\% |
| ITC Adjustment Allocated to Transmission (Note I from Appendix A) | (Line 133 * (1 + 134) * 135) | -505,500 |

Total Income Taxes

## Potomac Electric Power Company

## Electric / Non-electric Cost Support

Attachment 5-Cost Support

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount Electric Portion $\begin{gathered}\text { Non-electric } \\ \text { Portion }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t$ Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Accumulated Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21c | \$ | 79,117,838 | 79,117,838 | 0 | Respondenti is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 11 | Accumulated Common Amortization - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 12 | Accumulated Common Plant Depreciation - Electric | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | tin Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) | (Notes A \& B) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | mulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Accumulated Investment Tax Credit Account No. 255 rials and Supplies | (Notes A \& l) | p266.h |  | 10,030,596 | 10,030,596 | 0 | Respondenti s Electric Utility only. |  |
| 47 | Undistributed Stores Exp cated General \& Common Expenses | (Note A) | p227.6c \& 16.c | \$ | 2,874,523 | 2,874,523 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Uility only. |  |
| 65 | Plus Transmission Lease Payments | (Note A) | p200.3.c |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Common Plant O\&M reciation Expense | (Note A) | p356 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 88 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1d\&e | \$ | 7,282,131 | 7,282,131 | 0 | Respondent is Electric Utility only. |  |
| 92 | Common Depreciation - Electric Only | (Note A) | p336.11.b |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 93 | Common Amortization - Electric Only | (Note A) | p356 or p336.11d |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |



| CWIP \& Expensed Lease Worksheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  | Form 1 Amount | CWIP In Form 1 Amount | Expensed Lease in Form 1 Amount | Details |
| 6 | Plant Allocation Factors |  |  | $\$ 5,207,636,430$ |  |  |  |
|  | Electric Plant in Service | (Note B) | p207.104g |  | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |
|  | Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Note B) | p207.58.9 | \$ 725,351,802 | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |
| 24 | Common Plant (Electric Only) | (Notes A \& B) | p356 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation | (Note B) | p219.25.c | 329,956,613 | 0 | 0 | See Form 1 |

## EPRI Dues Cost Support



## Potomac Electric Power Company

Attachment 5-Cost Support


Safety Related Advertising Cost Support


Education and Out Reach Cost Support


## Excluded Plant Cost Support

## Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions

 Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission FacilitiesExcluded Transmission Facilities
(Note M) Attachment 5

Excluded
Transmission
$\underset{\substack{\text { Transmission } \\ \text { Facilities }}}{ }$
Description of the Facilities

Instructions:
1 Remove all investment below 69 kV or generator step up transformers included in transmission plant in service that
are not a result of the RTEP Process
2 If unable to determine the investment below 69 kV in a substation with investment of 69 kV and higher as well as below 69 kV ,
the following formula will be used
Example
$1,000,000$
500,000
Total investment in substation
Identifiable investment in Distimsion (provide workpapers)
D Amount to be excluded ( $\mathrm{A} \times(\mathrm{C} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}))$
400,000
44,44

## Potomac Electric Power Company

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

## Transmission Related Account 242 Reserves



Prepayments

| Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 Prepayments |  |  |  | To Line 45 |
| 5 Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  | 8.658\% |  |
| Pension Liabilities, if any, in Account 242 |  |  | 8.658\% |  |
| Prepayments | \$ | 164,726,444 | 8.658\% | 14,262,215 |
| Prepaid Pensions if not included in Prepayments | \$ | 142,160,791 | 8.658\% | 12,308,454 |
|  |  | 306,887,235 | 8.66\% | 26,570,669 |



## Potomac Electric Power Company

Interest on Outstanding Network Credits Cost Support
Attachment 5-Cost Support
Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions Revenue Credits \& Interest on Network Credits Interest on Network Credits
(Note N)
PJM Data

| rest on Network <br> Credits | Description of the interest on the Credits |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 0 |
| Enter S | General Description of the Credits |

Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ER05-515 Attachment A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form 1 Page \#s and Instructions enue Requiremen
171 Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT and Facility Credits to Vineland per settlement in ERO5-515

PJM Load Cost Support


Statements BG/BH (Present and Proposed Revenues)

| Customer | Billing Determinants Current Rate Proposed Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pepco zone |  |
| Total |  |

Current Revenues $\quad$ Proposed Revenues $\quad$ Change in Revenues

## Attachment 5a-Allocations of Costs to Affiliate

|  | Delmarva Power |  | Atlantic City |  | Pepco |  | Non - Regulated |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Management | \$ | 6,088,669 | \$ | 4,685,228 | \$ | 13,055,598 | \$ | 11,843,832 | \$ | 35,673,327 |
| Security Services Administration |  | 448,463 |  | 281,895 |  | 1,103,634 |  | 215,476 |  | 2,049,468 |
| Purchasing, Storeroom \& Materials Mgt |  | 764,668 |  | 401,128 |  | 2,292,990 |  | 162,133 |  | 3,620,919 |
| Vehicle Resource Management |  | 823,131 |  | 510,583 |  | 667,782 |  | 23,980 |  | 2,025,476 |
| General Services |  | 2,499,014 |  | 1,185,490 |  | 1,992,218 |  | 833,669 |  | 6,510,391 |
| Building Services |  | 845,609 |  | 719,336 |  | 2,002,356 |  | 650,304 |  | 4,217,605 |
| Real Estate |  | 1,062,693 |  | 914,165 |  | 168,676 |  | 123,622 |  | 2,269,156 |
| Corporate Insurance Administration |  | 161,286 |  | 107,288 |  | 243,862 |  | 132,157 |  | 644,593 |
| Claims Administration |  | 554,166 |  | 522,344 |  | 1,258,298 |  | - |  | 2,334,808 |
| Regulatory Affairs |  | 3,557,440 |  | 2,525,542 |  | 5,206,817 |  | 51,787 |  | 11,341,586 |
| Accounts Payable Accounting Services |  | 480,561 |  | 369,796 |  | 415,968 |  | 175,455 |  | 1,441,780 |
| Payroll Services |  | 345,067 |  | 197,596 |  | 527,080 |  | 82,924 |  | 1,152,667 |
| Asset \& Project Accounting Services |  | 465,891 |  | 441,261 |  | 1,235,701 |  | 396,926 |  | 2,539,779 |
| Investor Relations |  | 163,900 |  | 137,954 |  | 391,953 |  | 232,342 |  | 926,149 |
| Shareholder Services |  | 239,252 |  | 200,704 |  | 573,491 |  | 340,459 |  | 1,353,906 |
| Financial Reporting |  | 714,616 |  | 611,787 |  | 1,710,178 |  | 1,032,682 |  | 4,069,263 |
| Sarbanes-Oxley Compliance |  | 170,005 |  | 155,738 |  | 406,322 |  | 240,877 |  | 972,942 |
| Investment Financial Management |  | 162,452 |  | 144,408 |  | 324,998 |  | 227,000 |  | 858,858 |
| Other Financial Services |  | 4,822,102 |  | 4,016,397 |  | 7,066,305 |  | 5,585,377 |  | 21,490,181 |
| Insurance Premiums \& Claims |  | 2,183,779 |  | 1,532,480 |  | 3,622,824 |  | 2,853,195 |  | 10,192,278 |
| Cost of Benefits |  | 9,645,396 |  | 5,280,286 |  | 14,835,121 |  | 4,851,358 |  | 34,612,161 |
| Executive Compensation Services |  | 1,304,179 |  | 1,102,347 |  | 3,098,578 |  | 1,836,230 |  | 7,341,334 |
| Other Human Resources Services |  | 6,003,234 |  | 3,552,335 |  | 7,295,156 |  | 4,221,881 |  | 21,072,606 |
| Legal Services |  | 3,295,848 |  | 2,149,716 |  | 4,685,334 |  | 1,193,530 |  | 11,324,428 |
| Audit Services |  | 901,281 |  | 937,556 |  | 1,344,601 |  | 725,695 |  | 3,909,133 |
| Special Billing |  | 596,177 |  | 523,426 |  | 1,032,596 |  | 23,547 |  | 2,175,746 |
| Other Customer Care |  | 32,330,273 |  | 33,228,289 |  | 9,939,300 |  | - |  | 75,497,862 |
| Marketing Services |  | 1,337,414 |  | 901,584 |  | 2,152,837 |  | 71,686 |  | 4,463,521 |
| Information Technology |  | 6,446,316 |  | 4,108,253 |  | 28,658,896 |  | 2,414,853 |  | 41,628,318 |
| PHI Corporate Contributions |  | 4,413 |  | 3,760 |  | 10,600 |  | 6,249 |  | 25,022 |
| Federal Government Affairs |  | 236,465 |  | 199,898 |  | 565,539 |  | 334,717 |  | 1,336,619 |
| Other Corporate Communications |  | 965,371 |  | 576,380 |  | 1,674,735 |  | 591,134 |  | 3,807,620 |
| Environmental Management Services |  | 1,356,946 |  | 891,749 |  | 2,094,110 |  | 594,133 |  | 4,936,938 |
| System Operations Shared |  | 2,441,554 |  | 1,611,650 |  | 5,351,445 |  | 186,866 |  | 9,591,515 |
| Electric Maintenance Meter Shop |  | 1,353,932 |  | 767,471 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,121,403 |
| Other Delivery Services |  | 23,228,812 |  | 16,373,165 |  | 29,935,926 |  | 40,567 |  | 69,578,470 |
| Power Procurement |  | 1,691,047 |  | 1,405,532 |  | 2,847,431 |  | - |  | 5,944,010 |
| Management \& Administration |  | 112,436 |  | 21,520 |  | - |  | 10,169,677 |  | 10,303,633 |
| Merchant Functions |  | 907,522 |  | - |  | - |  | 21,600,003 |  | 22,507,525 |
| Engineering Administration |  | 254,758 |  | 117,831 |  | - |  | 10,043,444 |  | 10,416,033 |
| Internal Consulting Services |  | 104,095 |  | 70,196 |  | 157,910 |  | - |  | 332,201 |
| IT Voice Support |  | - |  | - |  | 2,430 |  | - |  | 2,430 |
| Interns |  | 159,834 |  | 109,390 |  | 144,916 |  | 342 |  | 414,482 |
| Total |  | 121,230,067 | \$ | 93,593,454 | \$ | 160,094,512 | \$ | 84,110,109 | \$ | 459,028,142 |



## Potomac Electric Power Company

Attachment 6 - Estimate and Reconciliation Worksheet




10 May Year $3 \begin{aligned} & \text { Post results of Step } 9 \text { on PJM web site } \\ & \$ \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { 89,310,733 Post results of ftep } 3 \text { on PJM web site }\end{aligned}$

11 June Year $3 \begin{aligned} & \text { Results of Step } 9 \text { go int effect tor the Rate Year } 2 \text { (e.g., June } 1,2006 \text { - May } 31,2007 \text { ) } \\ & \$ \quad 89,310,733\end{aligned}$


# Potomac Electric Power Company <br> Attachment 8 - Company Exhibit - Securitization Workpaper 

Line \#Long Term InterestLess LTD Interest on Securitization BondsCapitalization
Less LTD on Securitization Bonds ..... 0
Calculation of the above Securitization Adjustments

ATTACHMENT H-8G

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

Formula Rate -- Appendix A

| Shaded cells are input cells |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocators |  |  |  |  |
| Wages \& Salary Allocation Factor |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Transmission Wages Expense |  | p354.21.b | 8,494,499 |
| 2 | Total Wages Expense |  | p354.28.b | 85,375,132 |
| 3 | Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | p354.27.b | 1,245,209 |
| 4 | Total Wages Less A\&G Wages Expense |  | (Line 2 - Line 3) | 84,129,923 |
| 5 | Wages \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 1/ Line 4) | 10.0969\% |
| Plant Allocation Factors |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Electric Plant in Service |  | p207.104.g | 5,177,571,776 |
| 7 | Accumulated Depreciation (Total Electric Plant) | (Note J) | p219.29.c | 2,001,055,053 |
| 8 | Accumulated Amortization | (Note A) | p200.21.c | 10,958,554 |
| 9 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 7 + 8) | 2,012,013,607 |
| 10 | Net Plant |  | (Line 6 - Line 9) | 3,165,558,169 |
| 11 | Transmission Gross Plant (excluding Land Held for Future Use) |  | (Line 25 - Line 24) | 1,212,087,036 |
| 12 | Gross Plant Allocator |  | (Line 11 / Line 6) | 23.4103\% |
| 13 | Transmission Net Plant (excluding Land Held for Future Use) |  | (Line 33 - Line 24) | 715,196,381 |
| 14 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 13 / Line 10) | 22.5931\% |


| Plant Calculations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant In Service |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Note B) | p207.58.g | 1,150,044,754 |
| 16 | For Reconciliation only - remove New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year | For Reconciliation Only | Attachment 6 |  |
| 17 | New Transmission Plant Additions for Current Calendar Year (weighted by months in service) | (Note B) | Attachment 6 | 12,644,590 |
| 18 | Total Transmission Plant |  | (Line 15 - Line 16 + Line 17) | 1,162,689,344 |
| 19 | General |  | p207.99.g | 470,510,793 |
| 20 | Intangible |  | p205.5.g | 18,726,302 |
| 21 | Total General and Intangible Plant |  | (Line 19 + Line 20) | 489,237,095 |
| 22 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 10.0969\% |
| 23 | Total General and Intangible Functionalized to Transmission |  | (Line 21 * Line 22) | 49,397,692 |
| 24 | Land Held for Future Use | (Note C) (Note P) | Attachment 5 | 29,746,261 |
| 25 | Total Plant In Rate Base |  | (Line 18 + Line 23 + Line 24) | 1,241,833,297 |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation | (Note J) | p219.25.c | 479,905,629 |
| 27 | Accumulated General Depreciation | (Note J) | p219.28.c | 157,261,949 |
| 28 | Accumulated Amortization |  | (Line 8) | 10,958,554 |
| 29 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Line 27-28) | 168,220,503 |
| 30 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 10.0969\% |
| 31 | Subtotal General and Intangible Accum. Depreciation Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 29 * Line 30) | 16,985,026 |
| 32 | Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | (Sum Lines 26 + 31) | 496,890,655 |
| 33 | Total Net Property, Plant \& Equipment |  | (Line 25 - Line 32) | 744,942,642 |


| Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | ADIT net of FASB 106 and 109 |  | Attachment 1 | -51,498,840 |
| CWIP for Incentive Transmission Projects |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | CWIP Balances for Current Rate Year | (Note H) | Attachment 6 | 16,036,541 |
| Prepayments |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Prepayments | (Note A) (Note O) | Attachment 5 | 445,061 |
| Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Undistributed Stores Expense | (Note A) | p227.16.c | 2,992,548 |
| 38 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 10.0969\% |
| 39 | Total Undistributed Stores Expense Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 37 * Line 38) | 302,154 |
| 40 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |  | p227.8.c | 12,832,384 |
| 41 | Total Materials \& Supplies Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line $39+$ Line 40) | 13,134,538 |
| Cash Working Capital |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | Operation \& Maintenance Expense |  | (Line 70) | 41,833,003 |
| 43 | 1/8th Rule |  | 1/8 | 12.5\% |
| 44 | Total Cash Working Capital Allocated to Transmission |  | (Line 42 * Line 43) | 5,229,125 |
| 45 | Total Adjustment to Rate Base |  | (Lines 34 + $35+36+41+44$ ) | -16,653,575 |
| 46 | Rate Base |  | (Line 33 + Line 45) | 728,289,067 |
| Operations \& Maintenance Expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transmission O\&M |  |  |  |
| 47 | Transmission O\&M |  | Attachment 5 | 173,864,677 |
| 48 | Less Account 565 |  | Attachment 5 | 146,916,352 |
| 49 | Plus Charges billed to Transmission Owner and booked to Account 565 | (Note N) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 50 | Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 47-48+49) | 26,948,325 |
| Allocated Administrative \& General Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | Total A\&G |  | 323.197b | 139,379,316 |
| 52 | Less: Administrative \& General Expenses on Securitization Bonds | (Note O) | Attachment 8 | 222,427 |
| 53 | Plus: Fixed PBOP expense | (Note J) | Attachment 5 | 10,028,618 |
| 54 | Less: Actual PBOP expense |  | Attachment 5 | 12,537,495 |
| 55 | Less Property Insurance Account 924 |  | p323.185.b | 2,116,743 |
| 56 | Less Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note E) | p323.189.b | 5,162,822 |
| 57 | Less General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 |  | p323.191.b | 0 |
| 58 | Less EPRI Dues | (Note D) | p352 \& 353 | 204,286 |
| 59 | Administrative \& General Expenses |  | Sum (Lines 51 + 53) - Line $52-$ Sum (Lines 54 to 58) | 129,164,161 |
| 60 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 10.0969\% |
| 61 | Administrative \& General Expenses Allocated to Transmissior |  | (Line 59 * Line 60) | 13,041,553 |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Regulatory Commission Exp Account 928 | (Note G) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 63 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note K) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 64 | Subtotal - Accounts 928 and 930.1-Transmission Related |  | (Line $62+$ Line 63) | 0 |
| 65 | Property Insurance Account 924 | (Note G) | Attachment 5 | 8,157,927 |
| 66 | General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 | (Note F) | Attachment 5 | 0 |
| 67 | Total Accounts 924 and 930.1-General |  | (Line 65 + Line 66) | 8,157,927 |
| 68 | Net Plant Allocator |  | (Line 14) | 22.5931\% |
| 69 | A\&G Directly Assigned to Transmissior |  | (Line 67 * Line 68) | 1,843,125 |
| 70 | Total Transmission O\&M |  | (Lines 50+61+64+69) | 41,833,003 |


| Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Transmission Depreciation Expense Including Amortization of Limited Term Plant | (Note J) | Attachment 5 | 19,775,963 |
| 72 | General Depreciation Expense Including Amortization of Limited Term Plant | (Note J) | Attachment 5 | 18,772,812 |
| 73 | Intangible Amortization | (Note A) | p336.1.d\&e | 2,735,558 |
| 74 | Total |  | (Line $72+$ Line 73) | 21,508,370 |
| 75 | Wage \& Salary Allocator |  | (Line 5) | 10.0969\% |
| 76 | General Depreciation \& Intangible Amortization Allocated to Transmissior |  | (Line 74* Line 75) | 2,171,675 |
| 77 | Total Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization |  | (Lines 71 + 76) | $\underline{\text { 21,947,638 }}$ |
| Taxes Other than Income Taxes |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | Taxes Other than Income Taxes |  | Attachment 2 | 2,335,550 |
| 79 | Total Taxes Other than Income Taxes |  | (Line 78) | $\underline{2,335,550}$ |
| Return I Capitalization Calculations |  |  |  |  |
|  | Long Term Interest |  |  |  |
| 80 | Long Term Interest |  | p117.62.c through 66.c | 100,602,830 |
| 81 | Less LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds | (Note O) | Attachment 8 | 13,186,553 |
| 82 | Long Term Interest |  | (Line 80 - Line 81) | 87,416,277 |
| 83 | Preferred Dividends | enter positive | p118.29.c | 18,069,981 |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Proprietary Capital |  | p112.16.c | 1,645,074,908 |
| 85 | Less Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Account 219 |  | p112.15.c | 25,630 |
| 86 | Less Preferred Stock |  | (Line 94) | 300,518,900 |
| 87 | Less Account 216.1 |  | p112.12.c | 6,000,130 |
| 88 | Common Stock |  | (Line 84-85-86-87) | 1,338,530,248 |
| Capitalization |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | Long Term Debt |  | p112.18.c, 19.c \& 21.c | 1,769,625,000 |
| 90 | Less Loss on Reacquired Debt |  | p111.81.c | 26,228,614 |
| 91 | Plus Gain on Reacquired Debt |  | p113.61.c | 0 |
| 92 | Less LTD on Securitization Bonds | (Note O) | Attachment 8 | 0 |
| 93 | Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 89-90 + 91-92) | 1,743,396,386 |
| 94 | Preferred Stock |  | p112.3.c | 300,518,900 |
| 95 | Common Stock |  | (Line 88) | 1,338,530,248 |
| 96 | Total Capitalization |  | (Sum Lines 93 to 95) | 3,382,445,534 |
| 97 | Debt \% Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 93 / Line 96) | 51.5\% |
| 98 | Preferred \% Preferred Stock |  | (Line 94 / Line 96) | 8.9\% |
| 99 | Common \% Common Stock |  | (Line 95 / Line 96) | 39.6\% |
| 100 | Debt Cost Total Long Term Debt |  | (Line 82 / Line 93) | 0.0501 |
| 101 | Preferred Cost Preferred Stock |  | (Line 83 / Line 94) | 0.0601 |
| 102 | Common Cost Common Stock | (Note J) | Fixed | 0.1164 |
| 103 | Weighted Cost of Debt Total Long Term Debt (WCLTD) |  | (Line 97 * Line 100) | 0.0258 |
| 104 | Weighted Cost of Preferred Preferred Stock |  | (Line 98 * Line 101) | 0.0053 |
| 105 | Weighted Cost of Common Common Stock |  | (Line 99 * Line 102) | 0.0461 |
| 106 | Rate of Return on Rate Base ( ROR ) |  | (Sum Lines 103 to 105) | 0.0772 |
| 107 | Investment Return = Rate Base * Rate of Return |  | (Line 46 * Line 106) | 56,259,741 |


| Income Tax Rates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | FIT=Federal Income Tax Rate (Note I) |  |  | 35.00\% |
| 109 | SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite |  |  | 9.99\% |
| 110 | p (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) | Per State Tax Code |  | 0.00\% |
| 111 | T $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT}) *(1-\mathrm{FIT})] /(1-\mathrm{SIT}$ * FIT * p $)$ = |  |  | 41.49\% |
| 112 | $\mathrm{T} /(1-\mathrm{T}) \quad$ ( |  |  | 70.92\% |
| ITC Adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | Amortized Investment Tax Credit - Transmission Related | Attachment 5 |  | -656,727 |
| 114 | ITC Adjust. Allocated to Trans. - Grossed Up ITC Adjustment $\times 1$ / (1-T) | Line 113 * (1/ (1-Line 111)) |  | -1,122,486 |
| 115 | Income Tax Component = (T/1-T) * Investment Return * 1 -(WCLTD/ROR) $)=$ | [Line 112 * Line 107 * (1- (Line 103 / Line 106))] |  | 26,551,302 |
| 116 | Total Income Taxes | (Line 114 + Line 115) |  | 25,428,817 |
| Revenue Requirement |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | Net Property, Plant \& Equipment | (Line 33) |  | 744,942,642 |
| 118 | Total Adjustment to Rate Base | (Line 45) |  | -16,653,575 |
| 119 | Rate Base | (Line 46) |  | 728,289,067 |
| 120 | Total Transmission O\&M | (Line 70) |  | 41,833,003 |
| 121 | Total Transmission Depreciation \& Amortization | (Line 77) |  | 21,947,638 |
| 122 | Taxes Other than Income | (Line 79) |  | 2,335,550 |
| 123 | Investment Return | (Line 107) |  | 56,259,741 |
| 124 | Income Taxes | (Line 116) |  | 25,428,817 |
| 125 | Gross Revenue Requirement | (Sum Lines 120 to 124) |  | 147,804,749 |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | Transmission Plant In Service | (Line 15) |  | 1,150,044,754 |
| 127 | Excluded Transmission Facilities (Note M) | Attachment 5 |  | 0 |
| 128 | Included Transmission Facilities | (Line 126 - Line 127) |  | 1,150,044,754 |
| 129 | Inclusion Ratio | (Line 128 / Line 126) |  | 100.00\% |
| 130 | Gross Revenue Requirement | (Line 125) |  | 147,804,749 |
| 131 | Adjusted Gross Revenue Requirement | (Line 129 * Line 130) |  | 147,804,749 |
| Revenue Credits |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | Revenue Credits | Attachment 3 |  | 12,532,972 |
| 133 | Net Revenue Requirement | (Line 131 - Line 132) |  | 135,271,777 |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | Gross Revenue Requirement | (Line 130) |  | 147,804,749 |
| 135 | Net Transmission Plant | (Line 18 - Line 26 + Line 35) |  | 698,820,256 |
| 136 | Net Plant Carrying Charge | (Line 134 / Line 135) |  | 21.1506\% |
| 137 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation | (Line 134 - Line 71) / Line 135 |  | 18.3207\% |
| 138 | Net Plant Carrying Charge without Depreciation, Return, nor Income Taxes | (Line 134-Line 71 - Line 107-Line 116) / Line 135 |  | 6.6312\% |
| Net Plant Carrying Charge Calculation per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | Gross Revenue Requirement Less Return and Taxes | (Line 130 - Line 123 - Line 124) |  | 66,116,191 |
| 140 | Increased Return and Taxes | Attachment 4 |  | 86,614,588 |
| 141 | Net Revenue Requirement per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE | (Line 139 + Line 140) |  | 152,730,779 |
| 142 | Net Transmission Plant | (Line 18 - Line 26 + Line 35) |  | 698,820,256 |
| 143 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point increase in ROE | (Line 141 / Line 142) |  | 21.8555\% |
| 144 | Net Plant Carrying Charge per 100 Basis Point in ROE without Depreciation | (Line 141 - Line 71) / Line 142 |  | 19.0256\% |
| 145 | Net Revenue Requirement | (Line 133) |  | 135,271,777 |
| 146 | True-up amount | Attachment 6 |  | $(11,751,003)$ |
| 147 | Facility Credits under Section 30.9 of the PJM OATT | Attachment 5 |  | - |
| 148 | Net Zonal Revenue Requirement | (Line $145+146+147)$ |  | 123,520,774 |
| Network Zonal Service Rate |  |  |  |  |
| 149 | 1 CP Peak (Note L) | PJM Data |  | 7,509.5 |
| 150 | Rate (\$/MW-Year) | (Line 148 / 149) | \$ | 16,449 |
| 151 | Network Service Rate (\$/MW/Year) | (Line 150) | \$ | 16,449 |

## Notes

A Electric portion only
B Line 16, for the Reconciliation, includes New Transmission Plant that actually was placed in service weighted by the number of months it actually was in service Line 17 includes New Transmission Plant to be placed in service in the current calendar year
C Includes Transmission portion only.
D Includes all EPRI Annual Membership Dues
E Includes all Regulatory Commission Expenses
F Includes Safety-related advertising included in Account 930.1
G Includes Regulatory Commission Expenses directly related to transmission service, RTO filings, or transmission siting itemized in Form 1 at page 351.h. Property Insurance excludes prior period adjustment in the first year of the formula's operation and reconciliation for the first year.
H CWIP can be included only if authorized by the Commission.
I The currently effective income tax rate where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$ the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes.
The calculation of the Reconciliation revenue requirement according to Step 7 of Attachment 6 ("Estimate and Reconciliation Worksheet") shall reflect the actual tax rates in effect for the Rate Year being reconciled ("Test Year"). When statutory marginal tax rates change during such Test Year, the effective tax rated used in the formula shall be weighted by the number of days each such rate was in effect. For example, a $35 \%$ rate in effect for 120 days superseded by a $40 \%$ rate in effect for the remainder of the year will be calculated as: $((.3500 \times 120)+(.4000 \times 245)) / 365=.3836$.
J ROE will be as follows: (i.) $11.60 \%$ for the period November 1, 2008 through May 31, 2009; (ii.) $11.64 \%$ for the period June 1, 2009 through May 31, 2010; (iii.) $11.68 \%$ on June 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011 and thereafter. No change in ROE will be made absent a filing at FERC. PBOP expense is fixed until changed as the result of a filing at FERC.
Depreciation rates shown in Attachment 9 are fixed until changed as the result of a filing at FERC.
Upon request, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation will provide workpapers at the annual update to reconcile formula depreciation expense and depreciation accruals to Form No. 1 amounts.
As set forth in Attachment 5, added to the depreciation expense will be actual removal costs (net of salvage) amortized over five years.
Within five years from the effective date of the Settlement filed in Docket No. ER08-1457, PPL Electric shall make a filing to update its depreciation rates and to continue treatment of the actual removal costs contained in the Formula Rate. In such filing, PPL Electric shall bear the burden of proof under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act to demonstrate that its depreciation rates and its treatment of the actual removal costs in the Formula Rate are just and reasonable. Notwithstanding this requirement, PPL Electric may, at any time prior to the expiration of such five-year period from the effective date of the Settlement, make a Section 205 filing to update its depreciation rates, but shall not be required to demonstrate that its treatment of the actual removal costs in the Formula Rate is just and reasonable.
K Education and outreach expenses related to transmission (e.g., siting or billing).
L As provided for in Section 34.1 of the PJM OATT, the PJM established billing determinants will not be revised or updated in the annual rate reconciliations.
M Amount of transmission plant excluded from rates per Attachment 5 .
N Includes only charges incurred for system integration, such as those under the EHV Agreement, and transmission costs paid to others that benefit transmission customers.
O Amounts associated with transition bonds issued to securitize the recovery of retail stranded costs are removed from account balances, pursuant to an Order entered by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission on May 21, 1999 at Docket No. R-00994637, in accordance with Pennsylvania's Electric Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.
P Any gain from the sale of land included in Land Held for Future Use in the Formula Rate received during the Rate Year shall be used to reduce the ATRR in the Rate Year. The Formula Rate shall not include any losses on sales of such land.

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
Attachment 1 - Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet

|  | Transmission Related | Plant Related | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor } \\ & \text { Related } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { ADIT } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADIT- 282 | $(51,535,760)$ | 0 | $(39,429,789)$ |  | From Acct. 282 total, below |
| ADIT-283 | , | $(10,883,169)$ | 114,050 |  | From Acct. 283 total, below |
| ADIT-190 | 5,975,482 | 0 | 4,852,416 |  | From Acct. 190 total, below |
| Subtotal | $(45,560,278)$ | $(10,883,169)$ | $(34,463,323)$ |  | Sum lines 1 through 3 |
| Wages \& Salary Allocator |  |  | 10.0969\% |  |  |
| Net Plant Allocator |  | 22.5931\% |  |  |  |
| ADIT | (45,560,278) | $(2,458,841)$ | $(3,479,721)$ | (51,498,840) | Sum Cols. D, E, F; Enter as negative Appendix A, line 42. |

In filling out this attachment, a full and complete description of each item and justification for the allocation to Columns B-F and each separate ADIT item will be liste dissimilar items with amounts exceeding $\$ 100,000$ will be listed separately

| A ADIT-190 | B Total | c <br> Gas, Prod, Dist Or Other Related | D $\substack{\text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related }}$ | E <br> Plant Related |  | G <br> Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Deferred Investment Tax Credits (Non-Transmission) | 2,988,155 | 2,988,155 |  |  |  | Basis difference between book plant and tax plant basis related to investment tax credits on distributiol property |
| Accumulated Deferred Investment Tax Credits (Transmission) | 1,112,729 |  | 1,112,729 |  |  | Basis difference between book plant and tax plant basis related to investment tax credits on transmissioı property |
| Regulatory Liability - Income Taxes Related to ITC (Non-TX) | 2,119,231 | 2,119,231 |  |  |  | Liability recorded for regulatory purposes related to accumulated deferred investment tax credit book/ta) basis difference on distribution property |
| Regulatory Liability - Income Taxes Related to ITC (TX) | 789,161 |  | 789,161 |  |  | Liability recorded for regulatory purposes related to accumulated deferred investment tax credit book/ta) basis difference on transmission property basis difference on transmission property |
| Contributions in Aid of Construction (Non-Tx) | 73,295,911 | 73,295,911 |  |  |  | Distribution related income that is taxable for tax return purposes, but recorded as a reduction to plant fo book purposes. |
| Contributions in Aid of Construction (Tx-related) | 5,975,482 |  | 5,975,482 |  |  | Transmission related income that is taxable for tax return purposes, but recorded as a reduction to plan for book purposes. |
| Pensions and Post-Retirement | 116,483,311 | 116,483,311 |  |  |  | Expense and equity(FAS158) adjustments for book purposes not deductible for tax purposes |
| Bad Debts | 9,023,531 | 9,023,531 |  |  |  | Retail related book expense not deductible for tax return purposes |
| Vacation Pay | 4,465,920 |  |  |  | 4,465,920 | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes - labor related to all function |
| Taxes Other Than Income Taxes | 7,250,149 | 7,250,149 |  |  |  | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes - retail related gross receipts and sales \& use taxes |
| RAR Adjustments | (5,751,470) | (5,751,470) |  |  |  | Distribution related IRS audit adjustments |
| Workers Compensation | 347,758 |  |  |  | 347,758 | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes - labor related to all function |
| Obsolete Inventory | 60,113 | 60,113 |  |  |  | Distribution related book expense not deductible for tax return purpose |
| Rate Refund | 1,031,891 | 1,031,891 |  |  |  | Retail related book expense not deductible for tax return purpose: |
| Deferred Intercompany Transactions | $(905,952)$ | $(905,952)$ |  |  |  | Retail related income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable income - related to receivable factoring |
| Deferred Compensation | 38,738 |  |  |  | 38,738 | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes - labor related to all function |
| Restructuring Consumer Expense | 245,978 | 245,978 |  |  |  | Retail related book expense not deductible for tax return purpose: |
| Environmental Liability | 880,342 | 880,342 |  |  |  | Distribution related book expense for manufactured gas plants not deductible for tax return purpose |
| Post Employment Liabilities | 3,748,337 | 3,748,337 |  |  |  | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes |
| Deferred Revenue | 32,023,390 | 32,023,390 |  |  |  | Retail related income that is taxable for tax return purposes and deferred for book purposes |
| Company Car Elimination Bonus | $(102,904)$ | $(102,904)$ |  |  |  | Distribution related expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purposes |
| Prepaid Insurance | $(1,100,819)$ | $(1,100,819)$ |  |  |  | Distribution related expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purposes |
| Book Contingencies | 816,592 | 816,592 |  |  |  | Distribution related book expense not deductible for tax return purposes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p234 | 254,835,574 | 242,105,786 | 7,877,372 | 0 | 4,852,416 |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removes | 7,009,276 | 5,107,386 | 1,901,890 |  |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removes | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 247,826,298 | 236,998,400 | 5,975,482 | 0 | 4,852,416 |  |

[^21]PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

| Attachment 1 - Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) Worksheet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADIT-282 A | B | c <br> Gas, Prod, Dist Or Other Related | D | E | F | G |
|  | Total |  | Transmission Related | Plant Related | $\begin{gathered} \text { Labor } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Justification |
| Account 282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACRS/MACRS Property (Non-Transmission) | $(402,675,418)$ | $(402,675,418)$ |  |  |  | Deductions for distribution related tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation at federal rat |
| ACRS/MACRS Property (Transmission) | (54,509,528) |  | (54,509,528) |  |  | Deductions for transmission related tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation at federal rat |
| ACRS/MACRS Property (General Plant) | (45,901,439) |  |  |  | (45,901,439) | Deductions for general plant related tax depreciation in excess of book depreciation at federal rat |
| FAS109 regulatory assets/liabilities related to plant | $(152,736,753)$ | $(152,736,753)$ |  |  |  | Asset recorded for regulatory purposes to adjust plant related deferred taxes to current federal and state rates. |
| Basis adjustments between book and tax plant (Non-Tx) | (50,422,278) | (50,422,278) |  |  |  | Basis difference between distribution related book plant and tax plant basis at federal \& state rate |
| Basis adjustments between book and tax plant (Tx- - related)) | 2,973,768 |  | 2,973,768 |  |  | Basis difference between transmission related book plant and tax plant basis at federal \& state rate |
| Basis adjustments between book and tax plant (General Plant) | 6,471,650 |  |  |  | 6,471,650 | Basis difference between book plant and tax plant basis at federal \& state rate: |
| RAR adjustments related to plant | 5,181,907 | 5,181,907 |  |  |  | IRS audit adjustments related to distribution plan |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p275 | (691,618,091) | (600,652,542) | (51,535,760) | 0 | $(39,429,789)$ |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | (152,736,753) | (152,736,753) |  |  |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | (538,881,338) | $(447,915,789)$ | (51,535,760) | 0 | $(39,429,789)$ |  |
| Instructions for Account 282: <br> 1. ADIT items related only to Non-Electric Operations (e.g., Gas, Water, Sewer) or Production are directly assigned to Column C <br> 2. ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column I <br> 3. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C \& D are included in Column I <br> 4. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $C \& D$ are included in Column <br> 5. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates, therefore if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

| ADIT-283 A | B Total | C <br> Gas, Prod, Dist Or Other Related | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Plant } \\ \text { Related } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Labor Related | G Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account 283 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restructuring write-off - CTC | (148,433,964) | $(148,433,964)$ |  |  |  | Retail related income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable incomı |
| Reacquired debt costs | $(10,883,169)$ |  |  | $(10,883,169)$ |  | Plant related expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purpose: |
| FAS 109 regulatory assets/liabilities | $(108,501,441)$ | $(108,501,441)$ |  |  |  | Asset recorded for regulatory purposes related to book and tax basis plant and non-plant difference |
| Pension and post-retirement | 3,761,176 | 3,761,176 |  |  |  | Expense and equity(FAS158) adjustments for book purposes not deductible for tax purpose: |
| Ice storms | $(4,447,496)$ | $(4,447,496)$ |  |  |  | Distribution related expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purpose: |
| Deferred intercompany transactions | $(4,224,493)$ | $(4,224,493)$ |  |  |  | Income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable income - intercompany sale of distributiot property |
| RAR Adjustments | (6,822,079) | (6,822,079) |  |  |  | Distribution related IRS audit adjustments |
| Deferred intercompany gain -trademark sale | $(1,101,961)$ | $(1,101,961)$ |  |  |  | Income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable incomı |
| Clearing accounts | 0 |  |  |  |  | Expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purposes |
| Severance pay | 114,050 |  |  |  | 114,050 | Book expense not deductible for tax return purposes - labor related to all function |
| Receivables Factoring | $(3,591,601)$ | (3,591,601) |  |  |  | Retail related income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable incomı |
| TSC over/undercollections | $(7,877)$ | $(7,877)$ |  |  |  | Retail related book expense not deductible for tax return purpose: |
| Interest on TSC over/undercollections | 35,396 | 35,396 |  |  |  | Retail related income recorded for book purposes not includable in taxable incomı |
| Unrealized gains/losses | (18,176) | $(18,176)$ |  |  |  | Equity adjustment for book purposes not includable in taxable incomı |
| Rate case expenses | (578,668) | (578,668) |  |  |  | Retail related expense deferred for book purposes and deducted for tax purpose: |
| FAS158 Regulatory Asset | (79,545,234) | (79,545,234) |  |  |  | Asset recorded for regulatory purposes for FAS 158 pension and post-retirement cost: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal - p277 | (364,245,537) | (353,476,418) | 0 | $(10,883,169)$ | 114,050 |  |
| Less FASB 109 Above if not separately removed | (108,501,441) | (108,501,441) |  |  |  |  |
| Less FASB 106 Above if not separately removed | 3,761,176 | 3,761,176 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | (259,505,272) | $(248,736,153)$ | 0 | $(10,883,169)$ | 114,050 |  |

```
Instructions for Account 283: 
ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column!
ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C&D are included in Column
5. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates, therefore if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in
the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.
```


## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 2 - Taxes Other Than Income Worksheet

|  | Page 263 Allocated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Other Taxes | Col (i) Allocator |

## Plant Related

```
Real Property (State, Municipal or Local)
PURTA
4
Total Plant Related
Labor Related
Federal FICA
Federal Unemployment
State Unemployment
Total Labor Related
```


## Other Included

```
PA Capital Stock Tax
PA Capital Stock Tax on Securitization Bonds (Source: Attachment 8)
Total Other Included
Total Included (Lines \(8+14+19\) )
```

6
7
8

Allocator

## Currently Excluded

Gross Receipts
197,973,591
Sales and Use
(2,140,126)

## Subtotal, Excluded

Total, Included and Excluded (Line 20 + Line 28)
Total Other Taxes from p114.14.c less Tax on Securitization Bonds
Net Plant Allocator

## Criteria for Allocation:

A Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of plant, including transmission plant, will be allocated based on the Net Plant Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail, they shall not be included.
B Other taxes that are incurred through ownership of only general or intangible plant will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator. If the taxes are 100\% recovered at retail, they shall not be included.
C Other taxes that are assessed based on labor will be allocated based on the Wages and Salary Allocator.
D Other taxes, except as provided for in A, B and C above, which are incurred and (1) are not fully recovered at retail or (2) are directly or indirectly related to transmission service, will be allocated based on the Net Plant Allocator; provided, however, that overheads shall be treated, as described in footnote B above.
E Excludes prior period adjustments in the first year of the formula's operation and reconciliation for the first year.

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 3 - Revenue Credit Worksheet

## Account 454 - Rent from Electric Property

1 Rent from Electric Property - Transmission Related
1,098,000

## Account 456 - Other Electric Revenues (Note 1)

2 Transmission for Others (Note 3)
3 Schedule 12 Revenues (Note 3)
4 Schedule 1A
5 Net revenues associated with Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for which the load is not included in the divisor (Note 3)
6 Point-to-Point Service revenues for which the load is not included in the divisor received by Transmission Owner (e.g. Schedule 8)

7 Professional Services provided to others
8 Facilities Charges including Interconnection Agreements (Note 2)
9 Gross Revenue Credits
(Sum Lines 1-10)
10 Amount offset from Note 3 below
11 Note 1: All revenues related to transmission that are received as a transmission owner (i.e., not received as a LSE), for which the cost of the service is recovered under this formula, except as specifically provided for elsewhere in this Attachment or elsewhere in the formula, will be included as a revenue credit or included in the peak on line 150 of Appendix A.

12 Note 2: If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are included in the Rates, the associated revenues are included in the Rates. If the costs associated with the Directly Assigned Transmission Facility Charges are not included in the Rates, the associated revenues are not included in the Rates.

13 Note 3: If the facilities associated with the revenues are not included in the formula, the revenue is shown here, but not included in the total above and explained in the Cost Support, e.g., revenues associated with distribution facilities. In addition, Revenues from Schedule 12 are not included in the total above to the extent they are credited directly by PJM to zonal customers.

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 4 - Calculation of 100 Basis Point Increase in ROE

## Appendix A Line or Source Reference



## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 5-Cost Support

| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  | Form No. 1 Amount | Transmission Related | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Enter Negative Details |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113 Amortized Investment Tax Credit | Company Records | $-2,185,697$ | -656,727 | -1,528,970 |  |  |
| Transmission / Non-transmission Cost Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instru |  | Form No. 1 Amount | Transmission Related Major Items | Transmission Related Minor Items | Non- transmission Related | Details |
| $\begin{array}{ll}24 \text { Land Held for Future Use } \\ & \text { (Note C } \\ \text { (Note P) }\end{array}$ | p.214.d- p214.6.d \& Company Records Company Records | 32,683,075 | $\begin{gathered} 25,608,328 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 25,608,328 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,137,933 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 4,137,933 \end{gathered}$ | 2,936,814 | Removal of land held for future use (if any) that is included in CWIP balance Gains from the sale of Land Held for Future Use Balance for Appendix A |


| Allocated Administrative \& General Expenses |  |  | Total | Prior Period Adjustment | Adjusted Total | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Allocated Administrative \& General Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Fixed PBOP expens | FERC Authorized | 10,028,618 |  |  |  |
| 54 | Actual PBOP expense | Company Records | 12,537,495 |  |  | Current year actual PBOP expense |
| 65 | Property Insurance Account 924 | p323.185.b | 2,116,743 | 6,041,184 | 8,157,927 | Annual Premium associated with storm insurance excluding recoveries related to prior periods. (See FM 1 note to page 320 line 185) |


| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions | Form No. 1AmountTransmission <br> Related$\quad$Non- <br> transmission <br> Related |  |  | Details |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directly Assigned A\&G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Safety Related Advertising Cost Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Form No. } 1 \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ | Safety Related | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non-safety } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Details |  |
| Directly Assigned A\&G    <br> General Advertising Exp Account 930.1 (Note F)  p323.191.b | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |
| Multistate Workpaper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions | State 1 | State 2 | State 3 | State 4 | State 5 |  | Details |
| Income Tax Rates <br> 109 SIT=State Income Tax Rate or Composite | $\begin{gathered} \text { PA } \\ 9.99 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

| Excluded Plant Cost Support Attachment 5-Cost Support |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions | Excluded Transmission Facilities | Description of the Facilities |
| Adjustment to Remove Revenue Requirements Associated with Excluded Transmission Facilities <br> 127 Excluded Transmission Facilities (Note M) <br> Instructions: <br> 1 Remove all investment below 69 kV or generator step-up transformers included in transmission plant in service that are not a result of the RTEP process <br> 2 If unable to determine the investment below 69 kV in a substation with investment of 69 kV and higher, as well as below 69 kV the following formula will be used: <br> Example <br> A Total investment in substation <br> B Identifiable investment in Transmission (provide workpapers), <br> C Identifiable investment in Distribution (provide workpapers', <br> D Amount to be excluded ( $\mathrm{A} \times(\mathrm{C} /(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})$ )) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enter \$ } \\ 0 \\ \text { Or } \\ \text { Enter \$ } \end{gathered}$ | General Description of the Facilities <br> None <br> Add more lines if necessary |



| Appendix A Line \#s, Descriptions, Notes, Form No. 1 Page \#s and Instructions |  |  | Total | Adjustments | Transmission Related | Details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | Transmission O\&M | p.321.112.b | 178,070,434 | 4,205,757 | 173,864,677 |  |
| 48 | Less Account 565 | p.321.96.b | 146,916,352 | 0 | 146,916,352 | None |



Nate







|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {wequ }}^{\text {wequan }}$ | $\begin{gathered} (H) \\ \text { Other Plant In Service } \\ \text { Amount (A×G) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (N) } \\ \text { Other Plant In Service } \\ (H / 12) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Touar }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{304387}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cme }}$ | cose |  | $\underset{115}{12}$ | ${ }^{\text {ascasar }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {12075 }}$ | cose |  | ${ }^{2888} 700$ |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{8.855}$ |  |  |  |
|  | cex |  |  | ${ }_{17}^{1732011}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 2axs }}}^{\text {20, }}$ |  | ${ }_{25}^{105}$ | cise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7,}^{8.5}$ |  |  | 02088 |  |  |  |  | s, 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {din }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{60}$ | ${ }^{\text {sem }}$ | ${ }^{2785858}$ |  |  | ${ }_{65}^{65}$ |  | ${ }^{4357}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3062 \\ 738}}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | ${ }_{\substack{38 \\ 680}}$ | $\underset{\substack{15025 \\ 4527}}{\text { cis }}$ |  |  |  |
| Ang | and |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{45}^{55}$ | S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {coid }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cole }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{8 \times 7885}$ |  | ${ }_{25}^{35}$ | 20, |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {chasema }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Now }}^{\substack{\text { Now } \\ \text { Oom }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0,5}^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{33550 \\ \text { ance }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tout |  | ${ }^{8227}$ | ${ }_{5 s, 50}$ | ${ }^{35082821}$ | ${ }^{3} 30973$ |  |  | u7fsomaz | \%6tas | 413512 | 229871.51 |  |  | 12320.72 | ${ }^{2385}$ | 34.59 |  | ${ }_{2} 44278$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{12300,52}$ | 5885 | 34.59 | ${ }^{27,78,4,43}$ |  |  |  |

$\underset{s}{5}$






## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 8 - Company Exhibit - Securitization Worksheet

| Line \# |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepayments |  |  |  |
| 36 | Less Prepayments on Securitization Bonds | 0 | (See FM 1, note to page 110, line 57) |
| Administrative and General Expenses |  |  |  |
| 52 | Less Administrative and General Expenses on Securitization Bonds | 222,427 | (See FM 1, note to page 114, line 4) |
| Taxes Other Than Income |  |  |  |
| 78 | Less Taxes Other Than Income on Securitization Bonds | 14,376 | (See FM 1, note to page 114, line 14) |
| Long Term Interest |  |  |  |
| 81 | Less LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds | 13,186,553 | (See FM 1, note to page 114, lines $62+63$ ) |
| Capitalization |  |  |  |
| 92 | Less LTD on Securitization Bonds | 0 | (See FM 1, note to page 112, line 18) |
| Calculation of the above Securitization Adjustments |  |  |  |
|  | The amounts above are associated with transition bonds issued to securitiz stranded costs, pursuant to an Order entered by the Pennsylvania Public May 21, 1999 at Docket No. R-00994637, in accordance with Pennsylvan Customer Choice and Competition Act. | of retail ion on neration |  |

## PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

## Attachment 9 - Depreciation Rates

| Account Number | Plant Type | Applied <br> Deprec. <br> Rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transmission |  |
| 350.4 | Land Rights | 2.30 |
| 352 | Structures and Improvements | 2.95 |
| 353 | Station Equipment | 3.02 |
| 354 | Towers and Fixtures | 2.41 |
| 354.2 | Towers and Fixtures - Clearing Land and Rights of Way | 2.21 |
| 355 | Poles and Fixtures | 2.84 |
| 355.2 | Poles and Fixtures - Clearing Land and Rights of Way | 2.17 |
| 356 | Overhead Conductors and Devices | 2.87 |
| 357 | Underground Conduit | 3.76 |
| 358 | Underground Conductors and Devices | 4.90 |
| 359 | Roads and Trails | 2.03 |
| General |  |  |
| 389.4 | Land Rights | 3.12 |
| 390.2 | Structures and Improvements - Buildings | 1.92 |
| 390.4 | Structures and Improvements - Air Conditioning | 4.82 |
| 391.2 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Furniture | 5.00 |
| 391.4 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Mechanical Equipment | 6.67 |
| 391.6 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Computer Equipment - General | 20.00 |
| 391.8 | Office Furniture and Equipment - Computer Equipment - Power Mgt System | 14.28 |
| 392.1 | Transportation Equipment - 5 Years | 31.58 |
| 392.2 | Transportation Equipment - 8 Years | 25.75 |
| 392.3 | Transportation Equipment - 10 Years | 17.77 |
| 392.4 | Transportation Equipment - Trailers | 7.20 |
| 392.5 | Transportation Equipment - 15 Years | 9.67 |
| 392.6 | Transportation Equipment - 20 Years | 7.18 |
| 393 | Store Equipment | 4.00 |
| 394 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment - Distribution Line Crews | 5.00 |
| 394.2 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment - Tools | 5.00 |
| 394.4 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment - Construction Department | 5.00 |
| 394.6 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment - Other | 5.00 |
| 394.8 | Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment - Garage Tools Support | 5.00 |
| 395 | Laboratory Equipment | 5.00 |
| 396 | Power Operated Equipment | 6.67 |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | 6.67 |
| 398 | Miscellaneous Equipment | 5.00 |
| Intangible |  |  |
| 303.2 | Intangible Computer Software | 20.00 |
| 303.4 | Other Amortized Property | 6.67 |

## Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate

Utilizing Historic Cost Data for 2008 and Projected Net Plant at Year-End 2009

| OHIO POWER COMPANY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | REVENUE REQUIREMENT (w/o incentives) | ( $\ln 137$ ) | Allocator |  | Transmission Amount |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | \$166,366,835 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | REVENUE CREDITS | (Note A) (Worksheet E) | DA | 1.00000 | \$ | 4,864,700 |
| 3 | REVENUE REQUIREMENT For All OPCo Facilities | ( $\ln 1$ less $\ln 2)$ |  |  | \$ | 161,502,135 |
| MEMO: The Carrying Charge Calculations on lines 5 to $\mathbf{1 1}$ below is used in calculating project revenue requirements billed on PJM Schedule 12. The total non-incentive revenue requirements for these projects shown on line 4 is included in the total on line 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Revenue Requirement for PJM RTEP Regional Fac | (w/o incentives) (Works | DA | 1.00000 | \$ | 894,796 |
| 5 | NET PLANT CARRYING CHARGE W/O AFFILIATED LEASE PAYMENTS \& T.E.A. ADJUSTMENT ADDBACK (w/o incentives) (Note B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Annual Rate | ( ( $\ln 1-\ln 106-\ln$ 107)/ |  |  |  | 25.01\% |
| 7 | Monthly Rate | ( $\ln 6 / 12)$ |  |  |  | 2.08\% |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Annual Rate | ( ( $\ln 1-\ln 106-\ln 107$ - |  |  |  | 21.18\% |
| 10 | NET PLANT CARRYING CHARGE ON LINE 8, W/O INCOME TAXES, RETURN (Note B' |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Annual Rate | ( ( $\ln 1-\ln 106-\ln 107-$ |  |  |  | 9.38\% |
| 12 | ADDITIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT for projec | incentive ROE's (Note B) |  |  |  | - |

14 Total Load Dispatch \& Scheduling (Account 561) Line 86 Below
REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR SCHEDULE 1A CHARGES

Less: Load Disptach - Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Services (321.88.b)
16 Less: Load Disptach - Reliability, Planning \& Standards Development Services (321.92.b)
17 Total 561 Internally Developed Costs
(Line 14 - Line 15 - Line 16)

9,339,810

Utilizing Historic Cost Data for 2008 and Projected Net Plant at Year-End 2009
OHIO POWER COMPANY


# AEP East Companies <br> Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate <br> Utilizing Historic Cost Data for 2008 and Projected Net Plant at Year-End 2009 <br> OHIO POWER COMPANY 

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EXPENSE, TAXES, RETURN \& REVENUE REQUIREMENTS CALCULATION | Data Sources (See "General Notes") | TO Total | Allocator |  | Total Transmission |
| Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | Production | 321.80.b | 1,926,704,494 |  |  |  |
| 81 | Distribution | 322.156.b | 69,348,959 |  |  |  |
| 82 | Customer Related Expense | 322 \& 323.164,171,178.b | 60,036,228 |  |  |  |
| 83 | Regional Marketing Expenses | 322.131.b | 3,356,418 |  |  |  |
| 84 | Transmission | 321.112.b | 61,361,256 |  |  |  |
| 85 | TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES | (sum Ins 80 to 84) | 2,120,807,355 |  |  |  |
| 86 | Less: Total Account 561 | (Note G) 321.84-92.b | 9,339,810 |  |  |  |
| 87 | Less: Account 565 | (Note H) 321.96.b | 15,629,134 |  |  |  |
| 88 | Less: Regulatory Deferrals \& Amortizations | (Note J) (Worksheet F, In 4.C) | 11,074,148 |  |  |  |
| 89 | Total O\&M Allocable to Transmission | (Ins 84-86-87-88) | 25,318,164 | TP | 0.96415 | 24,410,524 |
| 90 | Administrative and General | 323.197.b (Note K) | 95,686,301 |  |  |  |
| 91 | Less: Acct. 924, Property Insurance | 323.185.b | 3,339,677 |  |  |  |
| 92 | Acct. 928, Reg. Com. Exp. | 323.189.b | 284,922 |  |  |  |
| 93 | Acct. 930.1, Gen. Advert. Exp. | 323.191.b | 727,015 |  |  |  |
| 94 | Acct. 930.2, Misc. Gen. Exp. | 323.192.b | 1,383,524 |  |  |  |
| 95 | Balance of A \& G | ( $\ln 90$ - sum $\ln 91$ to $\ln 94)$ | 89,951,163 | W/S | 0.07400 | 6,656,169 |
| 96 | Plus: Acct. 924, Property Insurance | ( ln 91 ) | 3,339,677 | GP(h) | 0.13407 | 447,742 |
| 97 | Acct. 928 - Transmission Specific | Worksheet F In 16.(E) (Note L) | - | TP | 0.96415 |  |
| 98 | Acct. 928 - Transmission Allocated | Worksheet F In 16.(F) (Note L) | - | GP(h) | 0.13407 | - |
| 99 | Acct 930.1 - Only safety related ads -Direct | Worksheet F In 32.(E) (Note L) | - | DA | 1.00000 |  |
| 100 | Acct 930.1 - Only safety related ads - Allocated. | Worksheet F in 32.(F) (Note L) | - | GP(h) | 0.13407 | - |
| 101 | Acct 930.2 - Misc Gen. Exp. - Trans | Worksheet F in 38.(E) (Note L) | 166,637 | DA | 1.00000 | 166,637 |
| 102 | Acct 930.2 - Misc Gen. Exp. - Allocated | Worksheet F ln 38.(F) (Note L) | 972,973 | W/S | 0.07400 | 71,998 |
| 103 | Less: PBOP Expense In Acct. 926 Adjustment | Worksheet F In 12.(C) (Note L) | $(522,124)$ | W/S | 0.07400 | $(38,636)$ |
| 104 | A \& G Subtotal | (sum Ins 95 to 102 less $\ln$ 103) | 94,952,574 |  |  | 7,381,181 |
| 105 | O \& M EXPENSE SUBTOTAL | ( In $89+\ln 104)$ | 120,270,738 |  |  | 31,791,705 |
| 106 | Plus: TEA Settlement in Account 565 | Company Records (Note M) | 13,293,709 | DA | 1.00000 | 13,293,709 |
| 107 | Plus: Transmission Lease Payments To Affiliates in | cct 565 (Company Records) (Note M) | 1,120,888 | DA | 1.00000 | 1,120,888 |
| 108 | TOTAL O \& M EXPENSE | $(\ln 105+\ln 106+\ln 107)$ | 134,685,335 |  |  | 46,206,302 |
| 109 | DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | Production | 336.2-6.f | 142,380,623 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 111 | Distribution | 336.8.f | 56,454,550 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 112 | Transmission | 336.7.f | 24,142,570 | TP | 0.96415 | 23,277,074 |
| 113 | Plus: Transmission Plant-in-Service Additions (Worksheet I) |  | 342,467 | TP | 0.96415 | 330,190 |
| 114 | General | 336.10.f | 4,199,639 | W/S | 0.07400 | 310,763 |
| 115 | Intangible | 336.1.f | 16,026,437 | W/S | 0.07400 | 1,185,918 |
| 116 | TOTAL DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION | (sum Ins 110 to 115) | 243,546,286 |  |  | 25,103,944 |
| 117 | TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME | (Note N) |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | Labor Related |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 | Payroll | Worksheet H In 19 (D) | 9,613,905 | W/S | 0.07400 | 711,406 |
| 120 | Plant Related |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | Property | Worksheet H In 19 (C) | 80,373,183 | DA |  | 22,107,492 |
| 122 | Gross Receipts/Sales \& Use | Worksheet H In 19 (F) | 97,657,081 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 123 | Other | Worksheet H In 19 (E) | 4,246,305 | GP(h) | 0.13407 | 569,292 |
| 124 | TOTAL OTHER TAXES | (sum Ins 119 to 123) | 191,890,474 |  |  | 23,388,190 |
| 125 | INCOME TAXES | (Note O) |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | $\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT})$ * (1-FIT) $/(1-$ SIT * FIT * p$) \mathrm{\}}$ : |  | 36.71\% |  |  |  |
| 127 | EIT=(T/(1-T)) * (1-(WCLTD/WACC) ) = |  | 39.44\% |  |  |  |
| 128 | where WCLTD=(ln 160) and WACC $=(\ln 163)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | and FIT, SIT \& p are as given in Note O. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | GRCF=1 $/(1-\mathrm{T})=($ from $\ln 126)$ |  | 1.5800 |  |  |  |
| 131 | Amortized Investment Tax Credit (enter negative) | (FF1 p.114, In 19.c) | $(439,885)$ |  |  |  |
| 132 | Income Tax Calculation | $(\ln 127 * \ln 135)$ | 149,582,282 |  |  | 20,294,414 |
| 133 | ITC adjustment | $(\ln 130 * \ln 131)$ | $(695,025)$ | $N P(h)$ | 0.11872 | $(82,516)$ |
| 134 | TOTAL INCOME TAXES | (sum Ins 132 to 133) | 148,887,257 |  |  | 20,211,897 |
| 135 | RETURN ON RATE BASE (Rate Base*WACC) | $(\ln 79 * \ln 163)$ | 379,265,993 |  |  | 51,456,502 |
| 136 | INTEREST ON IPP CONTRIBUTION FOR CONST. (Note E) (Worksheet D, In 2. (B)' |  | - | DA | 1.00000 | - |
| 137 | TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT |  | 1,098,275,345 |  |  | 166,366,835 |

AEP East Companies
Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate
Utilizing Historic Cost Data for 2008 and Projected Net Plant at Year-End 2009
OHIO POWER COMPANY

## SUPPORTING CALCULATIONS

| In |
| :---: |
| No. |
| 138 |
| 139 |
| 140 |
| 141 |
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OHIO POWER COMPANY
Letter
Notes
General Notes: a) References to data from Worksheets are indicated as: Worksheet X, Line\#.Column.X

A Revenue credits include:
a) revenues for grandfathered PTP contracts included in the load divisor
b) revenues for associated business projects provided by employees whose labor and overhead costs are in the transmission cost of service. c) rental revenues earned on assets included in the rate base.

See Worksheet E for details.
B The annual and monthly net plant carrying charges on page 1 are used to compute the revenue requirement for RTEP sponsored upgrades or those projects receiving approved incentive-ROE's.

C Plant balances in this study are projected as of December 31, 2009. Other ratebase amounts are as of December 31, 2008.
D The total-company balances shown for Accounts 281, 282, 283, 190 only reflect ADIT that relates to utility operations. The balance of Account 255 is reduced by prior flon throughs and is completely excluded if the utility chose to utilize amortization of tax credits against FIT expense. An exception to this is pre-1971 ITC balances, which art required to be taken as an offset to rate base. Account 281 is not allocated. In compliance with FERC Rulemaking RM02-7-000, Asset Retirement Obligation deferrals have been removed from ratebase. Transmission Allocations are shown on WS B.

E Cash Working Capital assigned to transmission is one-eighth of O\&M allocated to transmission, excluding AEP transmission equalization transfers, as shown on line 105 .
F Consistent with Paragraph 657 of Order 2003-A, the amount on line 78 is equal to the balance of IPP System Upgrade Credits owed to transmission customers that made contributions toward the construction of System upgrades, and includes accrued interest and unreturned balance of contributions. The annual interest expense is included on line 136 .

G Removes the expense booked to transmission accounts included in the development of OATT ancillary services rates, including all of Account No. 561.
H Removes cost of transmission service provided by others. To the extent such service is incurred to provide the PJM service at issue, e.g. transmission equalization agreement, such cost is added back after cash working capital is computed from line 124.

I Per Note H above, this line is an adjustment to addback the activity in account 565 related to the PJM service at issue in this filing. The amount identified in column is used to remove the impact of this adjustment from the FCR rate calculated on line 11.

J Removes the impact of regulatory deferrals or their amortization applicable only for state regulatory purposes.
K General Plant and Administrative \& General expenses may be functionalized based on allocators other then the W/S allocator. Full documentation must be provided.
L Includes Regulatory Commission expenses itemized in FERC Form-1 at page 351, column H. Worksheet F allocates these expense items. FERC Assessment Fees and Annual Charges shall not be allocated to transmission. Only safety-related and educational advertising costs in Account 930.1 are included in the TCOS.

M Addback of activity recorded in 565 that represents inter-company sales or purchases of transmission capacity necessary to meet each AEP company's transmission load relative to their available transmission capacity.

N Includes only FICA, unemployment, highway, property and other assessments charged in the current year. Gross receipts tax and taxes related to income are excluded.
O The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility is taxed in more than one state it must attach $\varepsilon$
work paper showing the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that
elected to utilize amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduce
rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f)
(In 131) multiplied by (1/1-T). If the applicable tax rates are zero enter 0.

| Inputs Required: | FIT $=$ | $35.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | SIT $=$ | $2.63 \%$ | (State Income Tax Rate or Composite SIT. Worksheet G)) |
|  | $\mathrm{p}=$ | $0.00 \%$ | (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) |

P Removes plant excluded from the OATT because it does not meet the PJM's definition of Transmission Facilities or is otherwise ineligible to be recovered under the OATT
Q Removes transmission plant (e.g. step-up transformers) included in the development of OATT ancillary service rates and not already removed for reasons indicated in Note P.
R Includes functional wages \& salaries billed by AEP Service Corporation for support of the operating company.
S Long Term Debt cost rate = long-term interest (ln 151) / long term debt (ln 160). Preferred Stock cost rate = preferred dividends (In 152) / preferred outstanding (In 161) Common Stock cost rate $($ ROE $)=12.1 \%$, the rate accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER08-XXX. It includes an additional 50 basis points for remaining a member of the PJM RTO

T This note only applies to Indiana Michigan Power Company
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|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RATE BASE CALCULATION | Data Sources <br> (See "General Notes") | TO Total | Allocator |  | Total Transmission |
| Line |  |  | NOTE C |  |  |  |
| No. | GROSS PLANT IN SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 181 | Production | (Worksheet A In 1.C) | 5,315,606,412 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 182 | Less: Production ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 2.C) | $(32,761,806)$ | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 183 | Transmission | (Worksheet A In 3.C \& In 141) | 1,109,431,387 | DA |  | 1,069,658,956 |
| 184 | Less: Transmission ARO (Enter Negative) (Worksheet A In 4.C)Plus: Transmission Plant-in-Service Additions (Worksheet I) |  | $(3,120)$ | TP | 0.96415 | $(3,008)$ |
| 185 |  |  | N/A | NA | 0.00000 | N/A |
| 186 | Plus: Additional Trans Plant on Transferred Assets (Worksheet I) |  | N/A | NA | 0.00000 | N/A |
| 187 | Distribution | (Worksheet A In 5.C) | 1,472,465,990 | NA | 0.00000 |  |
| 188 | Less: Distribution ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 6.C) | - | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 189 | General Plant | (Worksheet A In 7.C) | 155,506,043 | W/S | 0.07400 | 11,507,071 |
| 190 | Less: General Plant ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 8.C) | $(165,163)$ | W/S | 0.07400 | $(12,222)$ |
| 191 | Intangible Plant | (Worksheet A In 9.C) | 98,530,477 | W/S | 0.07400 | 7,291,017 |
| 192 | TOTAL GROSS PLANT | (sum Ins 181 to 191) | 8,118,610,220 | GP(h)= | 0.134068 | 1,088,441,814 |
|  |  |  |  | GTD= | 0.41429 |  |
| 193 | ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 194 | Production | (Worksheet A In 12.C) | 1,851,240,526 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 195 | Less: Production ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 13.C) | $(13,436,520)$ | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 196 | Transmission | (Worksheet $\mathrm{A} \ln$ 14.C \& 28.C) | 477,721,183 | TP1= | 0.96750 | 462,197,014 |
| 197 | Less: Transmission ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 15.C) | $(2,287)$ | TP1= | 0.96750 | $(2,213)$ |
| 198 | Plus: Transmission Plant-in-Service Additions (Worksheet I) |  | N/A | DA | 1.00000 | N/A |
| 199 | Plus: Additional Projected Deprec on Transferred Assets (Worksheet I) |  | N/A | DA | 1.00000 | N/A |
| 200 | Plus: Additional Transmission Depreciation for 2009 (In 275) |  | N/A | TP1 | 0.96750 | N/A |
| 201 | Plus: Additional General \& Intangible Depreciation for $2009(\ln 274+\ln 275)$ |  | N/A | W/S | 0.07400 | N/A |
| 202 | Plus: Additional Accum Deprec on Transferred Assets (Worksheet I) |  | N/A | DA | 1.00000 | N/A |
| 203 | Distribution | (Worksheet A In 16.C) | 477,617,000 | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 204 | Less: Distribution ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 17.C) | - - | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 205 | General Plant | (Worksheet A In 18.C) | 52,090,758 | W/S | 0.07400 | 3,854,590 |
| 206 | Less: General Plant ARO (Enter Negative) | (Worksheet A In 19.C) | $(82,226)$ | W/S | 0.07400 | $(6,085)$ |
| 207 | Intangible Plant | (Worksheet A In 20.C) | 82,497,302 | W/S | 0.07400 | 6,104,601 |
| 208 | TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION | (sum Ins 194 to 207) | 2,927,645,736 |  |  | 472,147,908 |
| 209 | NET PLANT IN SERVICE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | Production | $(\ln 181+\ln 182-\ln 194-\ln 195)$ | 3,445,040,600 |  |  | - |
| 211 | Transmission | $(\ln 183+\ln 184-\ln 196-\ln 197)$ | 631,709,371 |  |  | 607,461,147 |
| 212 | Plus: Transmission Plant-in-Service Additions (ln $185-\ln 198)$ |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| 213 | Plus: Additional Trans Plant on Transferred Assets (ln 186-In 199) |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| 214 | Plus: Additional Transmission Depreciation for 2009 (-In 200) |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| 215 | Plus: Additional General \& Intangible Depreciation for 2009 (-In 201) |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| 216 | Plus: Additional Accum Deprec on Transferred Assets (Worksheet I) (-In 202) |  | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| 217 | Distribution | $(\ln 187+\ln 188-\ln 203-\ln 204)$ | 994,848,990 |  |  | - |
| 218 | General Plant | $(\ln 189+\ln 190-\ln 205-\ln 206)$ | 103,332,348 |  |  | 7,646,344 |
| 219 | Intangible Plant | ( $\ln 191-\ln 207)$ | 16,033,175 |  |  | 1,186,416 |
| 220 | TOTAL NET PLANT IN SERVICE | (sum Ins 210 to 219) | 5,190,964,484 | $N P(h)=$ | 0.118724 | 616,293,907 |
| 221 | DEFERRED TAX ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE | (Note D) |  |  |  |  |
| 222 | Account No. 281.1 (enter negative) | (Worksheet B, In 2 \& In 5.C) | $(192,655,821)$ | NA |  | - |
| 223 | Account No. 282.1 (enter negative) | (Worksheet B, $\ln 7$ \& $\ln 10 . C)$ | $(687,189,585)$ | DA |  | $(83,411,276)$ |
| 224 | Account No. 283.1 (enter negative) | (Worksheet B, $\ln 12$ \& $\ln 15 . C)$ | $(190,254,388)$ | DA |  | $(16,046,373)$ |
| 225 | Account No. 190.1 | (Worksheet B, $\ln 17$ \& $\ln 20 . C)$ | 218,198,858 | DA |  | 16,611,829 |
| 226 | Account No. 255 (enter negative) | (Worksheet B, ln 24 \& $\ln 25 . C)$ | $(2,083,912)$ | DA |  | $(1,237,047)$ |
| 227 | TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS | (sum Ins 222 to 226) | $(853,984,848)$ |  |  | (84,082,867) |
| 228 | PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE | (Worksheet A In 29.C \& ln 30.C) | 2,667,975 | DA |  | 2,205,322 |
| 229 | CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | (Worksheet A In 31.C) | - | TP | 0.96415 | - |
| 230 | REGULATORY ASSETS | (Worksheet A In 36. (C)) | - | DA |  | - |
| 231 | WORKING CAPITAL | (Note E) |  |  |  |  |
| 232 | Cash Working Capital | (1/8 * $\ln 268)$ | 15,033,842 |  |  | 3,973,963 |
| 233 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies | (Worksheet C, In 2.(D)) | 917,697 | TP | 0.96415 | 884,798 |
| 234 | A\&G Materials \& Supplies | (Worksheet C, In 3.(D)) | 689,216 | W/S | 0.07400 | 51,000 |
| 235 | Stores Expense | (Worksheet C, In 4.(D)) | - | GP(h) | 0.13407 | - |
| 236 | Prepayments (Account 165) - Labor Allocated | (Worksheet C, In 6.G) | 157,695,433 | W/S | 0.07400 | 11,669,081 |
| 237 | Prepayments (Account 165) - Gross Plant | (Worksheet C, In 6.F) | 3,080,363 | GP(h) | 0.13407 | 412,977 |
| 238 | Prepayments (Account 165) - Transmission Only | (Worksheet C, In 6.E) | - | DA | 1.00000 | - |
| 239 | Prepayments (Account 165) - Unallocable | (Worksheet C, In 6.D) | $(150,732,801)$ | NA | 0.00000 | - |
| 240 | TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL | (sum Ins 232 to 239) | 26,683,750 |  |  | 16,991,819 |
| 241 | IPP CONTRIBUTIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION | (Note F) (Worksheet D, $\ln 7 .(\mathrm{B})$ ) | $(2,464,505)$ | DA | 1.00000 | $(2,464,505)$ |
| 242 | RATE BASE (sum Ins 220, 227, 228, 240, 241) |  | 4,363,866,856 |  |  | 548,943,675 |
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EXPENSE, TAXES, RETURN \& REVENUE
REQUIREMENTS CALCULATION

[^22]OPER
Prod
Dist
Cus
Reg
Tran
TOTAL
Le
Le
Letal
Tota
OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE EXPENSE
Production
Distribution
Customer Related Expense
Regional Marketing Expenses
Transmission
TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES
Less: Total Account 561
Less: Account 565
Less: Regulatory Deferrals \& Amortization
Total O\&M Allocable to Transmission
Administrative and General
Less: Acct. 924, Property Insurance
Acct. 928, Reg. Com. Exp.
Acct. 930.1, Gen. Advert. Exp.
Acct. 930.2, Misc. Gen. Exp
Balance of A \& G
Plus: Acct. 924, Property Insurance
Acct. 928 - Transmission Specific
Acct. 928 - Transmission Allocated
Acct 930.1 - Only safety related ads -Direct
Acct 930.1 - Only safety related ads - Allocated
Acct 930.2 - Misc Gen. Exp. - Trans
Acct 930.2 - Misc Gen. Exp. - Allocatec
ess: PBOP Expense In Acct. 926 Adjustment
Less: PBOP Exp
A \& G Subtotal
O \& M EXPENSE SUBTOTAL
TAL
Account 565
Plus: Transmission Lease Payments To Affiliates in Acct 565 (Company Records) (Note M)
TOTAL O \& M EXPENSE
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE
Production
Distribution
Transmission
Transmission
Plus: Transmission Plant-in-Service Additions (Workshe
General (Worksheet I)
Intangible 336.10.f
TOTAL DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME
Labor Related
Payroll Worksheet H In 19 (D)
Plant Related
Property
Gross Receipts/Sales \& Use
Gross
OTAL OTHER TAXES
INCOME TAXES
$\mathrm{T}=1-\{[(1-\mathrm{SIT})$ * $(1-\mathrm{FIT})] /(1-$ SIT * FIT * p $)\}$ :
EIT=(T/(1-T)) * (1-(WCLTD/WACC)) =
where WCLTD $=(\ln 323)$ and $W A C C=(\ln 326)$
and FIT, SIT \& $p$ are as given in Note $O$
GRCF=1 $/(1-T)=($ from $\ln 289)$
Amortized Investment Tax Credit (enter negative)
Income Tax Calculation (In 290* $\ln 298)$
ITC adjustment (In 293* $\ln 294)$
TOTAL INCOME TAXES (sum Ins 295 to 296)
RETURN ON RATE BASE (Rate Base*WACC)
INTEREST ON IPP CONTRIBUTION FOR CONST. (Note E) (Worksheet D, In 2.(B),
TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT
(sum Ins 271, 279, 287, 297, 298, 299)
(2)

| Data Sources |
| :---: |
| (See "General Notes") |

(3)
321.80.b
322.156.b
322 \& 323.164,171,178.b
322.131.b
321.112.b
(sum Ins 243 to 247)
(Note G) 321.84-92.b
(Note H) 321.96.b
(Note J) (Worksheet F, In 4.C)
(Ins 247-249-250-251)


Allocator

| $1,926,704,494$ |
| ---: |
| $69,348,959$ |
| $60,036,228$ |
| $3,356,418$ |
| $61,361,256$ |
| $2,120,807,355$ |
| $9,339,810$ |
| $15,629,134$ |
| $11,074,148$ |
| $25,318,164$ |


| 323.197.b (Note K) | $95,686,301$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 323.185.b | $3,339,677$ |
| 323.189.b | 284,922 |
| 323.191.b | 727,015 |
| 323.192.b | $1,383,524$ |
| (ln 253 - sum $\ln 254$ to $\ln 257)$ | $89,951,163$ |
| (ln 254) | $3,339,677$ |

(In 254)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
95,686,301 \\
3,339,677 \\
284,922 \\
727,015 \\
1,383,524 \\
\hline 89,951,163 \\
3,339,677
\end{array}
$$ Worksheet F In 16.(F) (Note L) Worksheet F In 32.(E) (Note L) Worksheet F In 32.(F) (Note L) Worksheet F $\ln$ 38.(E) (Note L) Worksheet F In 38.(F) (Note L) Worksheet F In 38.(F) (Note L)

Worksheet F In 12.(C) (Note L) Worksheet F In 12.(C) (Note L)
(sum Ins 258 to 265 less $\ln 266$ )
(In $252+\ln 267$ )
Company Records (Note M)
ct 565 (Company Records) (Note M;
$(\ln 268+\ln 269+\ln 270)$
336.2-6.f
336.8.f
336.7.f
336.10.f
336.1.f
(sum Ins 273 to 278)
(Note N)
Worksheet H In 19 (D)
Worksheet H In 19 (C)
Worksheet H In 19 (F)
Worksheet H In 19 (E)
(sum Ins 282 to 286)

| $9,613,905$ |
| ---: |
|  |
| $80,373,183$ |
| $9,657,081$ |
| $4,246,305$ |
| $191,890,474$ |
|  |
| $36.71 \%$ |
| $39.44 \%$ |
|  |
| 1.5800 |
| $(439,885)$ |


| $148,546,540$ |
| ---: |
| $(695,025)$ |
| $147,851,515$ |
| $376,639,867$ |


|  | $18,686,107$ <br> NP(h) <br>  <br>  <br> $\quad$$182,503,591$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $47,378,639$ |

DA
1.00000
(5)

Total Transmission

24,410,524

| W/S | 0.07400 | $6,656,169$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| GP(h) | 0.13407 | 447,742 |
| TP | 0.96415 | - |
| GP(h) | 0.13407 | - |
| DA | 1.00000 | - |
| GP(h) | 0.13407 | - |
| DA | 1.00000 | 166,637 |
| W/S | 0.07400 | 71,998 |
| W/S | 0.07400 | $(38,636)$ |
|  |  | $7,381,181$ |
|  |  | $31,791,705$ |
|  |  | $13,293,709$ |
| DA | 1.00000 | $46,120,888$ |
| DA | 1.00000 |  |


| NA | 0.00000 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| NA | 0.00000 | - |
| TP | 0.96415 | $23,277,074$ |
|  |  | N/A |
| W/S | 0.07400 | 310,763 |
| W/S | 0.07400 | $1,185,918$ |
|  |  | $24,773,754$ |


| W/S | 0.07400 | 711,406 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $22,107,492$ |
| DA |  | - |
| NA | 0.00000 | 569,292 |
| GP(h) | 0.13407 | $23,388,190$ |

(Note O)

| $39.44 \%$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| (FF1 p.114, $\ln 19 . c)$ | 1.5800 |
|  | $(439,885)$ |

376,639,867

# Formula Rate - Historic <br> Page: 9 of 27 
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# AEP East Companies <br> Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate <br> Utilizing Historic Cost Data for 2008 with Year-End Rate Base Balances <br> OHIO POWER COMPANY 

Letter
Notes
General Notes: a) References to data from Worksheets are indicated as: Worksheet X, Line\#.Column. X

A Revenue credits include
a) revenues for grandfathered PTP contracts included in the load divisor
b) revenues for associated business projects provided by employees whose labor and overhead costs are in the transmission cost of service.
c) rental revenues earned on assets included in the rate base.

See Worksheet E for details.
B The annual and monthly net plant carrying charges on page 1 are used to compute the revenue requirement for RTEP sponsored upgrades or those projects receiving approved incentive-ROE's.

C Plant balances in this study are as of December 31, 2008.
D The total-company balances shown for Accounts 281, 282, 283, 190 only reflect ADIT that relates to utility operations. The balance of Account 255 is reduced by prior flon throughs and is completely excluded if the utility chose to utilize amortization of tax credits against FIT expense. An exception to this is pre-1971 ITC balances, which art required to be taken as an offset to rate base. Account 281 is not allocated. In compliance with FERC Rulemaking RM02-7-000, Asset Retirement Obligation deferrals have been removed from ratebase. Transmission Allocations are shown on WS B.

E Cash Working Capital assigned to transmission is one-eighth of O\&M allocated to transmission, excluding AEP transmission equalization transfers, as shown on line 268 .
F Consistent with Paragraph 657 of Order 2003-A, the amount on line 241 is equal to the balance of IPP System Upgrade Credits owed to transmission customers that made contributions toward the construction of System upgrades, and includes accrued interest and unreturned balance of contributions. The annual interest expense is included on line 299.

G Removes the expense booked to transmission accounts included in the development of OATT ancillary services rates, including all of Account No. 561.
H Removes cost of transmission service provided by others. To the extent such service is incurred to provide the PJM service at issue, e.g. transmission equalization agreement, such cost is added back after cash working capital is computed from line 287.

I Per Note H above, this line is an adjustment to addback the activity in account 565 related to the PJM service at issue in this filing. The amount identified in column is used to remove the impact of this adjustment from the FCR rate calculated on line 174.

J Removes the impact of regulatory deferrals or their amortization applicable only for state regulatory purposes.
K General Plant and Administrative \& General expenses may be functionalized based on allocators other then the W/S allocator. Full documentation must be provided.
$\mathrm{L} \quad$ Includes Regulatory Commission expenses itemized in FERC Form-1 at page 351, column H . Worksheet F allocates these expense items. FERC Assessment Fees and Annual Charges shall not be allocated to transmission. Only safety-related and educational advertising costs in Account 930.1 are included in the TCOS.

M Addback of activity recorded in 565 that represents inter-company sales or purchases of transmission capacity necessary to meet each AEP company's transmission load relative to their available transmission capacity.

N Includes only FICA, unemployment, highway, property and other assessments charged in the current year. Gross receipts tax and taxes related to income are excluded.
O The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility is taxed in more than one state it must attach a work paper showing the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that elected to utilize amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduce rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f) (In 294) multiplied by (1/1-T). If the applicable tax rates are zero enter 0 .

Inputs Required: FIT = 35.00\%
SIT= $\quad 2.63 \%$ (State Income Tax Rate or Composite SIT. Worksheet G))

P Removes plant excluded from the OATT because it does not meet the PJM's definition of Transmission Facilities or is otherwise ineligible to be recovered under the OATT
Q Removes transmission plant (e.g. step-up transformers) included in the development of OATT ancillary service rates and not already removed for reasons indicated in Note P.
R Includes functional wages \& salaries billed by AEP Service Corporation for support of the operating company.
S Long Term Debt cost rate = long-term interest (ln 314) / long term debt (ln 323). Preferred Stock cost rate = preferred dividends (ln 315) / preferred outstanding (In 324). Common Stock cost rate $(R O E)=12.1 \%$, the rate accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER08-XXX. It includes an additional 50 basis points for remaining a member of the PJM RTO

T This note only applies to Indiana Michigan Power Company

Page: 11 of 27
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# AEP East Companies <br> Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate <br> Utilizing Actual Cost Data for 2009 with Average Ratebase Balances 

## OHIO POWER COMPANY

General Notes: a) References to data from Worksheets are indicated as: Worksheet X, Line\#.Column.X

A Revenue credits include:
a) revenues for grandfathered PTP contracts included in the load divisor
b) revenues for associated business projects provided by employees whose labor and overhead costs are in the transmission cost of service.
c) rental revenues earned on assets included in the rate base.

See Worksheet E for details.
B The annual and monthly net plant carrying charges on page 1 are used to compute the revenue requirement for RTEP sponsored upgrades or those projects receiving approved incentive-ROE's.

C No true-up.
D The total-company balances shown for Accounts $281,282,283,190$ only reflect ADIT that relates to utility operations. The balance of Account 255 is reduced by prior flov throughs and is completely excluded if the utility chose to utilize amortization of tax credits against FIT expense. An exception to this is pre-1971 ITC balances, which art required to be taken as an offset to rate base. Account 281 is not allocated. In compliance with FERC Rulemaking RM02-7-000, Asset Retirement Obligation deferrals have been removed from ratebase. Transmission Allocations are shown on WS B.

E Cash Working Capital assigned to transmission is one-eighth of O\&M allocated to transmission, excluding AEP transmission equalization transfers, as shown on line 105.
F Consistent with Paragraph 657 of Order 2003-A, the amount on line 78 is equal to the balance of IPP System Upgrade Credits owed to transmission customers that made contributions toward the construction of System upgrades, and includes accrued interest and unreturned balance of contributions. The annual interest expense is included on line 136.

G Removes the expense booked to transmission accounts included in the development of OATT ancillary services rates, including all of Account No. 561.
H Removes cost of transmission service provided by others. To the extent such service is incurred to provide the PJM service at issue, e.g. transmission equalization agreement, such cost is added back after cash working capital is computed from line 124.

I Per Note H above, this line is an adjustment to addback the activity in account 565 related to the PJM service at issue in this filing. The amount identified in column is used to remove the impact of this adjustment from the FCR rate calculated on line 11.

J Removes the impact of regulatory deferrals or their amortization applicable only for state regulatory purposes.
K General Plant and Administrative \& General expenses may be functionalized based on allocators other then the W/S allocator. Full documentation must be provided.
L Includes Regulatory Commission expenses itemized in FERC Form-1 at page 351, column H. Worksheet F allocates these expense items. FERC Assessment Fees and Annual Charges shall not be allocated to transmission. Only safety-related and educational advertising costs in Account 930.1 are included in the TCOS.

M Addback of activity recorded in 565 that represents inter-company sales or purchases of transmission capacity necessary to meet each AEP company's transmission load relative to their available transmission capacity.

N Includes only FICA, unemployment, highway, property and other assessments charged in the current year. Gross receipts tax and taxes related to income are excluded.
O The currently effective income tax rate, where FIT is the Federal income tax rate; SIT is the State income tax rate, and $p=$
"the percentage of federal income tax deductible for state income taxes". If the utility is taxed in more than one state it must attach $\varepsilon$
work paper showing the name of each state and how the blended or composite SIT was developed. Furthermore, a utility that
elected to utilize amortization of tax credits against taxable income, rather than book tax credits to Account No. 255 and reduce
rate base, must reduce its income tax expense by the amount of the Amortized Investment Tax Credit (Form 1, 266.8.f)
(In 131) multiplied by (1/1-T). If the applicable tax rates are zero enter 0.

| Inputs Required: | FIT $=$ | $35.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | SIT $=$ | $2.63 \%$ | (State Income Tax Rate or Composite SIT. Worksheet G)) |
|  | $\mathrm{p}=$ | $0.00 \%$ | (percent of federal income tax deductible for state purposes) |

P Removes plant excluded from the OATT because it does not meet the PJM's definition of Transmission Facilities or is otherwise ineligible to be recovered under the OATT
Q Removes transmission plant (e.g. step-up transformers) included in the development of OATT ancillary service rates and not already removed for reasons indicated in Note $P$.
R Includes functional wages \& salaries billed by AEP Service Corporation for support of the operating company.
S Long Term Debt cost rate = long-term interest (ln 151) / long term debt (ln 160). Preferred Stock cost rate = preferred dividends (In 152) / preferred outstanding (In 161). Common Stock cost rate $($ ROE $)=12.1 \%$, the rate accepted by FERC in Docket No. ER08-XXX. It includes an additional 50 basis points for remaining a member of the PJM RTO

T This note only applies to Indiana Michigan Power Company.

## AEP East Companies

## Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances

Worksheet Supporting Plant Balances
OHIO POWER COMPANY

| Line | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: Functional ARO investment and accumulated depreciation balances shown below are included in the total functional balances shown here. Plant Investment Blalances

| 1 | Production Plant In Service | FF1, page 204/205, In 46, Col. (b)/(g) | 5,315,606,412 |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Production Asset Retirement Obligation (ARO) | FF1, page 204/205, Ins 15,24,34,44, Col. (b)/(g) | 32,761,806 |  | - |
| 3 | Transmission Plant In Service | FF1, page 206/207, In 58, Col. (b)/(g) | 1,109,431,387 |  | - |
| 4 | Transmission Asset Retirement Obligation | FF1, page 206/207, In 57, Col. (b)/(g) | 3,120 |  | - |
| 5 | Distribution Plant In Service | FF1, page 206/207, In 75, Col. (b)/(g) | 1,472,465,990 |  | - |
| 6 | Distribution Asset Retirement Obligation | FF1, page 206/207, In 74, Col. (b)/(g) | - |  | - |
| 7 | General Plant In Service | FF1, page 206/207, In 99, Col. (b)/(g) | 155,506,043 |  | - |
| 8 | General Asset Retirement Obligation | FF1, page 206/207, Ins 98, Col. (b)/(g) | 165,163 |  | - |
| 9 | Intangible Plant In Service | FF1, page 204/205, In 5, Col. (b)/(g) | 98,530,477 |  | - |
| 10 | Total Property Investment Balance | (Sum of Lines: 3, 1, 5, 7, 9) | 8,151,540,309 | - | - |
| 11 | Total ARO Balance (included in total on line 10) | (Sum of Lines: 4, 2, 6, 8) | 32,930,089 | - | - |

Accumulated Depreciation \& Amortization Balances

| 12 | Production Accumulated Depreciation |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Production ARO Accumulated Depreciation |
| 14 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation |
| 15 | Transmission ARO Accumulated Depreciation |
| 16 | Distribution Accumulated Depreciation |
| 17 | Distribution ARO Accumulated Depreciation |
| 18 | General Accumulated Depreciation |
| 19 | General ARO Accumulated Depreciation |
| 20 | Intangible Accumulated Amortization |
| 21 | Total Accumulated Depreciation or Amortization |
| 22 | Total ARO Balance (included in total on line 21) |

FF1, page 219, Ins 20-24, Col. (b)
Company Records
FF1, page 219, $\ln 25$, Col. (b)

| $1,851,240,526$ | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $13,436,520$ | - |
| $477,721,183$ | - |
| 2,287 | - |
| $477,617,000$ | - |
| $82,090,758$ | - |
| 82,226 | - |
| $82,497,302$ | - |
| $2,941,166,769$ | - |
|  | - |
| $13,521,033$ | - |

Generation Step-Up Units

| 23 | GSU Investment Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 | GSU Accumulated Depreciation |
| 25 | GSU Net Balance |

Company Records
Company Records

| $39,772,431$ | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| $15,524,169$ | - |
| $24,248,262$ | - |

Transmission Accumulated Depreciation Net of GSU Accumulated Depreciation

| 26 | Transmission Accumulated Depreciation | (Line 14 Above) | 477,721,183 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | Less: GSU Accumulated Depreciation | (Line 24 Above) | 15,524,169 | - |
| 28 | Subtotal of Transmission Net of GSU | (Line 26 - Line 27) | 462,197,014 | - |
| Plant Held For Future Use |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Plant Held For Future Use | FF1, page 214, In 47, Col. (d) | 2,667,975 |  |
| 30 | Transmission Plant Held For Future | Company Records | 2,205,322 |  |
| 31 | Construction Work In Progress | Company Records | - |  |
| Regulatory Assets Approved for Recovery In Ratebase |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Total Regulatory Deferrals Included in Rateb |  | - | - |

## AEP East Companies <br> Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances

 Worksheet Supporting ADIT and ITC Balances OHIO POWER COMPANY

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using
Worksheet Supporting Working Capital Rate Base Adjustments OHIO POWER COMPANY
(A)
(B)
(c)
(D)
(E)
(F)
(G)
(H)
(I)

| $\frac{\text { Line }}{\text { Number }}$ <br> 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Transmission Materials \& Supplies |
| 3 | General Materials \& Supplies |
| 4 | Stores Expense (Undistributed) |

Materials \& Supplies
Source $\quad \frac{\text { Balance Q December }}{31,2008}$

| Balance |
| :--- |
| For Update Use |

$\frac{\text { Average Balance for }}{\text { Rate Year } 2008}$
FF1, p. 227, In 8, Col. (c) $\quad$ 917,697 $\square$
FF1, p. 227, In 11, Col. (c) 689,216
Stores Expense (Undistributed)
Prepayment Balance Summary

| Prepayment Balance Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  | Average of YE Balance | Excludable Balances | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Transmission Plant Related | $\begin{gathered}\text { Transmission } \\ \text { Labor } \\ \text { Related }\end{gathered}$ | Total Included in Ratebase (E) $+(\mathrm{F})+(\mathrm{G})$ |  |
| ${ }_{7}$ |  | Totals as of December 31, 2008 | 10,042,995 | (150,732,801) | 0 | 3,080,363 | 157,695,433 | 160,775,796 |  |
| 8 |  | Average Balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepayments Account 165-Balance @ 12/31/2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Acc. No. | Description | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \text { YE Balance } \end{gathered}$ | Excludable Balances | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \text { Related } \end{gathered}$ | Transmission Plant Related | Transmission Labor Related | Total Included in Ratebase (E) + (F) + (G) |  |
| 10 | 1650001 | Prepaid Insurance | 3,080,363 | - |  | 3,080,363 |  | 3,080,363 | Plant Related Insurance Policies |
| 11 | 1650003 | Prepaid Rents | 46,896 | 46,896 |  |  |  |  | Prepaid Rents Generation |
| 12 | 1650004 | Prepaid Interest | 17,596 | 17,596 |  |  |  | - | Prepaid Interest-Generation |
| 13 | 1650005 | Prepaid Employee Benefits | 2,349 | - |  |  | 2,349 | 2,349 | Prepaid Employee Benefits |
| 14 | 1650006 | Other Prepayments | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1650009 | Prepaid Carry Cost-Factored AR | 202,657 | 202,657 |  |  |  |  | AR Factoring - Retail Only |
| 16 | 1650010 | Prepaid Pension Benefits | 157,693,084 |  |  |  | 157,693,084 | 157,693,084 | Prepaid Pension Expense |
| 17 | 165001206 | Prepaid Sales/Use Taxes | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 165001208 | Prepaid Sales/Use Taxes | 113,254 | 113,254 |  |  |  | - | Sales Use Tax |
| 19 | 1650013 | Gavin JMG ST Prepaid Exp - Aff | 5,336,553 | 5,336,553 |  |  |  |  | Generation |
| 20 | 1650014 | FAS 158 Qual Contra Asset | (157,693,084) | $(157,693,084)$ |  |  |  | - | FAS 158 Liability |
| 21 | 1650016 | FAS 112 ASSETS | 1,243,327 | 1,243,327 |  |  |  | - | FAS 112 Asset |
|  |  | Subtotal - Form 1, p 111.57.c | 10,042,995 | (150,732,801) | 0 | 3,080,363 | 157,695,433 | 160,775,796 |  |


| Prepayments Account 165-Balance For Update Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Acc. No. | Description | For Update Use YE Balance | Excludable Balalnces | $100 \%$ Transmission Related | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transmission } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Related } \end{aligned}$ | Transmission Labor Related | Total Included in Ratebase (E) + ( F$)+(\mathrm{G})$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Worksheet D
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## AEP East Companies

 Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 BalancesWorksheet Supporting IPP Credits
OHIO POWER COMPANY

| Line Number | (A) <br> Description | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (B) } \\ \underline{2008} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (C) <br> For Update Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Net Funds from IPP Customers 12/31/2007 (FORM 1, P269, line 12 (b)) | (2,464,505.00) |  |
| 2 | Interest Accrual | - |  |
| 3 | Revenue Credits to Generators |  |  |
| 4 | Other Adjustments |  |  |
| 5 | Accounting Adjustment | - |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Net Funds from IPP Customers 12/31/2008 (FORM 1, P269, line 12(f)) | (2,464,505.00) | - |
| 8 | Average Balance for Year as Indicated in Column ((ln $1+\ln 7) / 2$ ) | (2,464,505.00) | - |

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances
Worksheet Supporting Revenue Credits OHIO POWER COMPANY

| Line |  | Total | Non- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Description | Company | Transmission | Transmission |
| 1 | Account 450, Forfeited Discounts | 1,107,361 | 1,107,361 | - |
| 2 | Account 451,Miscellaneous Service Revenues | 2,732,594 | 2,727,467 | 5,127 |
| 3 | Account 454, Rent from Electric Property | 12,883,329 | 10,613,724 | 2,269,605 |
| 4 | Account 4560015, Associated Business Development | 1,230,543 | 1,078,188 | 152,355 |
| 5 | Account 456-Other Electric Revenues | 25,487,624 | 25,487,624 | - |
| 6 | Accounts 4470004 \& 5, Revenues from Grandfathered Transmission Contracts | 2,525,109 | 87,496 | 2,437,613 |
| 7 | Total Other Operating Revenues To Reduce Revenue Requirement | 45,966,560 | 41,101,860 | 4,864,700 |


| Line <br> Number | (A) Item No. | (B) Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { (C) } \\ \frac{2008}{\text { Expense }} \end{gathered}$ | (D) <br> $\xrightarrow{\frac{100 \%}{\text { Non-Transmission }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (E) } \\ \underline{100 \%} \\ \text { Transmission } \\ \hline \underline{\text { Specific }} \end{gathered}$ | (F) <br> Transmission <br> Allocated | (G) Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory Deferals \& Amortizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5660005 | Ohio E-TCR Rider UnderRecovery | 11,074,148 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | Total | 11,074,148 |  |  |  |  |
| Account 926 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 Base Year OPEB Expense (Note 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 9260021 | Postretirement Benefits - OPEB | 14,435,661 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 9260057 | Postret Ben Medicare Subsidy | $(5,245,264)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Net 2007 Base Year Expense | 9,190,397 |  |  |  |  |
| 82008 Current Year Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 9260021 | Postretirement Benefits - OPEB | 14,067,802 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 9260057 | Postret Ben Medicare Subsidy | $(5,399,529)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Net 2008 Expense | 8,668,273 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | Net Increase (Decrease) in OPEB Expense | $(522,124)$ | This Amount Is Alloca | ed on Wages \& | alaries |  |
| Note 1: Absent a 205 Filing with FERC, this base amount will not change in subsequent years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account 928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 9280000 | Regulatory Commission Exp | 19 | 19 | - | - | Misc Expenditures |
| 14 | 9280001 | Regulatory Commission Exp-Adm | 255,425 | 255,425 | - | - | Cost of Hearings |
| 15 | 9280002 | Regulatory Commission Exp-Case | 29,478 | 29,478 | - | - | Misc Expenditures |
| 16 |  | Total | 284,922 | 284,922 | - | - |  |
| Account 930.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 9301000 | General Advertising Expenses | 11,894 | 11,894 | - | - |  |
| 18 | 9301001 | Newspaper Advertising Space | 2,132 | 2,132 | - | - |  |
| 19 | 9301002 | Radio Station Advertising Time | - | - | - | - |  |
| 20 | 9301003 | TV Station Advertising Time | - | - | - | - |  |
| 21 | 9301005 | Radio \&TV Advertising Prod Exp | - | - | - | - |  |
| 22 | 9301006 | Spec Corporate Comm Info Proj | 6,141 | 6,141 | - | - |  |
| 23 | 9301007 | Special Adv Space \& Prod Exp | 62,065 | 62,065 | - | - |  |
| 24 | 9301008 | Direct Mail and Handouts | 3,663 | 3,663 | - | - |  |
| 25 | 9301009 | Fairs, Shows, and Exhibits | 5,150 | 5,150 | - | - |  |
| 26 | 9301010 | Publicity | 40,939 | 40,939 | - | - |  |
| 27 | 9301011 | Dedications, Tours, \& Openings | 62 | 62 | - | - |  |
| 28 | 9301012 | Public Opinion Surveys | 92,587 | 92,587 | - | - |  |
| 29 | 9301013 | Movies Slide Films \& Speeches | 111,368 | 111,368 | - | - |  |
| 30 | 9301014 | Video Communications | 890 | 890 | - | - |  |
| 31 | 9301015 | Other Corporate Comm Exp | 390,124 | 390,124 | - | - |  |
| 32 |  | Total | 727,015 | 727,015 | - | - |  |
| Account 930.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 9302000 | Misc General Expenses | 760,219 |  |  | 760,219 |  |
| 34 | 9302003 | Corporate \& Fiscal Expenses | 212,754 |  |  | 212,754 |  |
| 35 | 9302004 | Research, Develop\&Demonstr Exp | 34,727 | 34,727 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 9302005 | Nucl Fac Ins - Replce Engy Cst | - | - |  | - |  |
| 37 | 9302007 | Assoc Business Development Exp | 375,824 | 209,187 | 166,637 |  |  |
| 38 |  | Total | 1,383,524 | 243,914 | 166,637 | 972,973 |  |

# Worksheet G 
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AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances
Worksheet Supporting - Development of Composite State Income Tax Rate OHIO POWER COMPANY

| West Virginia Corporate Income Tax | $8.75 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Apportionment Factor | $13.35 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ |
| Effective State Tax Rate |  |  |
|  |  | $7.30 \%$ |
| Illinois Corporation Income Tax | $0.10 \%$ |  |
| Apportionment Factor |  | $0.01 \%$ |
| Effective State Tax Rate | $8.50 \%$ |  |
| State Income Tax Rate - Ohio | $20.00 \%$ |  |
| Phase-out Factor | $62.66 \%$ | $1.0652 \%$ |
| Apportionment Factor |  |  |
| $\quad$ Effective State Tax Rate | $6.04 \%$ |  |
| Michigan Business Income Tax | $0.88 \%$ | 0 |
| Apportionment Factor |  | $0.05 \%$ |
| Effective State Tax Rate | $0.46 \%$ |  |
| Ohio Municipal Net Income Tax | $73.22 \%$ | $0.3368 \%$ |
| Apportionment Factor |  | 0.0 |
| Effective State Tax Rate |  | $2.63 \%$ |
| Total Effective State Income Tax Rate |  |  |

Note 1
The Ohio State Income Tax is being phased-out over a 5 year period and is being replaced with a Commercial Activites Tax. The taxable portion of income is $20 \%$ in 2008.

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances Worksheet Supporting Taxes Other than Income OHIO POWER COMPANY

|  | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Account | Total Company | Property | Labor | Other | Non-Allocable |
| 1 | Revenue Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Gross Receipts Tax | 80,544,609 |  |  |  | 80,544,609 |
| 3 | Real Estate and Personal Property Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Real and Personal Property - Ohio | 70,166,548 | 70,166,548 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Real and Personal Property - West VA. | 10,191,239 | 10,191,239 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Real and Personal Property - Other | 15,396 | 15,396 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Payroll Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Federal Insurance Contribution (FICA ) | 9,276,230 |  | 9,276,230 |  |  |
| 9 | Federal Unemployment Tax | 108,758 |  | 108,758 |  |  |
| 10 | State Unemployment Insurance | 228,917 |  | 228,917 |  |  |
| 11 | Miscellaneous Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | State Public Service Commission Fees | 2,553,925 |  |  | 2,553,925 |  |
| 13 | State Franchise Taxes | 1,646,221 |  |  | 1,646,221 |  |
| 14 | State Lic/Registration Fee | 46,159 |  |  | 46,159 |  |
| 15 | Misc. State and Local Tax | - |  |  | - |  |
| 16 | Sales \& Use | 80,639 |  |  |  | 80,639 |
| 17 | Federal Excise Tax | 66,708 |  |  |  | 66,708 |
| 18 | State B \& O Taxes | 16,965,125 |  |  |  | 16,965,125 |
| 19 | Total Taxes by Allocable Basis <br> (Total Company Amount Ties to FFI p.114, Ln 14,(c)) | 191,890,475 | 80,373,183 | 9,613,905 | 4,246,305 | 97,657,081 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Functional Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Production | Transmsission | Distributions | General | Total |
| 20 | Functionalized Net Plant (Hist. TCOS, Lns 210 thru 220) OHIO JURISDICTION | 3,445,040,600 | 631,709,371 | 994,848,990 | 103,332,348 | 5,174,931,309 |
| 21 | Percentage of Plant in OHIO JURISDICTION | 49.42\% | 90.46\% | 99.96\% | 93.55\% |  |
| 22 | Net Plant in OHIO JURISDICTION (Ln 20 * Ln 21) | 1,702,539,065 | 571,444,297 | 994,451,050 | 96,667,412 | 3,365,101,823 |
| 23 | Less: Net Value Exempted Generation Plant | 559,494,300 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Taxable Property Basis (Ln 22 - Ln 23) | 1,143,044,765 | 571,444,297 | 994,451,050 | 96,667,412 | 2,805,607,523 |
| 25 | Relative Valuation Factor | 24\% | 85\% | 85\% | 24\% |  |
| 26 | Weighted Net Plant (Ln 24 * Ln 25) | 274,330,743 | 485,727,652 | 845,283,393 | 23,200,179 |  |
| 27 | General Plant Allocator (Ln 26 / (Total - General Plant)) | 17.09\% | 30.26\% | 52.65\% | -100.00\% |  |
| 28 | Functionalized General Plant (Ln 27 * General Plant) | 3,964,590 | 7,019,669 | 12,215,919 | $(23,200,179)$ | - |
| 29 | Weighted OHIO JURISDICTION Plant (Ln $26+28$ ) | 278,295,333 | $492,747,321$ | $857,499,312$ | (0) | 1,628,541,968 |
| 30 | Functional Percentage (Ln 29/Total Ln 29) | 17.09\% | $30.26 \%$ | $52.65 \%$ |  |  |
| 31 | Functionalized Payment in OHIO JURISDICTION | 11,990,494 | 21,230,266 | 36,945,788 |  | 70,166,548 |
|  | WEST VA. JURISDICTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Net Plant in WEST VA. JURISDICTION (Ln $20-\operatorname{Ln} 22)$ | 1,742,501,535 | 60,265,074 | 397,940 | 6,664,936 | 1,809,829,486 |
| 33 | Less: Net Value Exempted Generation Plant | 1,101,569,129 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Taxable Property Basis | 640,932,406 | 60,265,074 | 397,940 | 6,664,936 | 708,260,357 |
| 35 | Relative Valuation Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| 36 | Weighted Net Plant (Ln 34 * Ln 35) | 640,932,406 | 60,265,074 | 397,940 | 6,664,936 |  |
| 37 | General Plant Allocator (Ln 36 / (Total - General Plant) | 91.35\% | 8.59\% | 0.06\% | -100.00\% |  |
| 38 | Functionalized General Plant (Ln 38 * General Plant) | 6,088,657 | 572,499 | 3,780 | $(6,664,936)$ |  |
| 39 | Weighted WEST VA. JURISDICTION Plant (Ln $36+38$ ) | $647,021,063$ | 60,837,573 | 401,720 | 0 | 708,260,357 |
| 40 | Functional Percentage (Ln 39/Total Ln 39) | 91.35\% | 8.59\% | 0.06\% |  |  |
| 41 | Functionalized Payment in WEST VA. JURISDICTION | 9,310,060 | 875,399 | 5,780 |  | 10,191,239 |
| 42 | Total Other Jurisdictions: (Line 6 * Net Plant Allocator) |  | 1,828 |  |  | 15,396 |
| 43 | Total Functionalized Property Taxes (Sum Lns 31, 41, 42) | 21,300,554 | 22,107,492 | 36,951,569 |  | 80,373,183 |

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances Worksheet Supporting Transmission Plant in Service Additions OHIO POWER COMPANY

## I. Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate

| 1 | Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period (2008) (P.206, In 58,(b)): | $1,064,829,446$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Transmission Plant @ End of Historic Period (2008) (P.207, In 58,(g)): | $1,109,431,387$ |
| 3 |  | $2,174,260,833$ |
| 4 | Average Balance of Transmission Investment | $1,087,130,417$ |
| 5 | Annual Depreciation Expense, Historic TCOS, In 275 | $24,142,570$ |
| 6 | Composite Depreciation Rate | $2.22 \%$ |
| 7 | Round to 2\% to Reflect a Composite Life of 50 Years | $2.00 \%$ |

## II. Calculation of Property Placed in Service by Month and the Related Depreciation Expense

| 8 | Month in Service | Capitalized Balance |  | Composite Annual Depreciation Rate | Annual Depreciation |  | Monthly Depreciation |  | No. Months Depreciation | First Year Depreciation Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | January | \$ | 2,445,406 | 2.00\% | \$ | 48,908 | \$ | 4,076 | 11 | \$ | 44,836 |
| 10 | February | \$ | 2,617,491 | 2.00\% | \$ | 52,350 | \$ | 4,362 | 10 | \$ | 43,620 |
| 11 | March | \$ | 2,701,383 | 2.00\% | \$ | 54,028 | \$ | 4,502 | 9 | \$ | 40,518 |
| 12 | April | \$ | 2,905,707 | 2.00\% | \$ | 58,114 | \$ | 4,843 | 8 | \$ | 38,744 |
| 13 | May | \$ | 2,718,840 | 2.00\% | \$ | 54,377 | \$ | 4,531 | 7 | \$ | 31,717 |
| 14 | June | \$ | 4,782,809 | 2.00\% | \$ | 95,656 | \$ | 7,971 | 6 | \$ | 47,826 |
| 15 | July | \$ | 2,563,341 | 2.00\% | \$ | 51,267 | \$ | 4,272 | 5 | \$ | 21,360 |
| 16 | August | \$ | 2,565,279 | 2.00\% | \$ | 51,306 | \$ | 4,275 |  | \$ | 17,100 |
| 17 | September | \$ | 2,805,285 | 2.00\% | \$ | 56,106 | \$ | 4,675 | 3 | \$ | 14,025 |
| 18 | October | \$ | 5,801,055 | 2.00\% | \$ | 116,021 | \$ | 9,668 | 2 | \$ | 19,336 |
| 19 | November | \$ | 14,030,722 | 2.00\% | \$ | 280,614 | \$ | 23,385 | 1 | \$ | 23,385 |
| 20 | December | \$ | 29,200,906 | 2.00\% | \$ | 584,018 | \$ | 48,668 | 0 | \$ | - |
| 21 | Investment | \$ | 75,138,223 |  |  |  |  | Dep | ciation Expense | \$ | 342,467 |

## III. Plant Transferred

| 22 | \$ | - | $<==$ This input area is for original cost plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | \$ | - | $<==$ This input area is for accumulated depreciation that may be associated with capital |
| 24 (Ln 7 * Ln 22) | \$ | - | expenditures. It would have an impact if a company had assets transferred from a subsidiary. $<==$ This input area is for additional Depreciation Expense |

IV. List of Major Projects Expected to be In-Service in 2009


| 32 PJM Socialized/Beneficiary Allocated Regional Projects |  | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 33 |  | Subtotal |
| 34 | $\$ 0$ |  |

. Calculate Return and Income Taxes with basis point ROE increase for Projects Qualified for Regional Billing.
A. Determine ' R ' with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE for Identified Projects

| ROE w/o incentives ( P Project ROE Incentive | $\text { of } 27, \ln 325)$ | 12.10\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE with additional basis point incentive $12.10 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Determine R (cost of long term debt, cost of preferred stock and equity percentage is from |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Long Term Debt | 51.30\% | 5.38\% | ${ }^{2.762 \%}$ |
| Preferred Stock | 0.31\% | 4.40\% | 0.014\% |
| Common Stock | 48.39\% | 12.10\% | 5.855 |

B. Determine Return using 'R' with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects.

| SUMMARY OF ANNUAL PJM RTEP APPROVED REGIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTSRev RequireW IncentivesIncentive Amounts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORIC YEAR AS P | 2008 |  |  |  |  |
|  | in Prior Year \$ | - | : | \$ |  |
| Incremental Revenue Requirement |  |  | - |  |  |
| PROJECTED YEAR | 2009 | 894,796 | 894.796 | \$ |  |

Rate Base (Page 7 of 27, ln 242)
$R($ (fom A. above)

Return (Rate Base $\times$ R) $\quad 47,378,639$
C. Determine Income Taxes using Return with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Effective Tax Rate (Page 8 of 27, In 2900 ) | 39.44\% |
| Income Tax Calculation (Return $\times$ CIT) | 8,686,107 |
| ITC Adjustment | $(82,516)$ |

II. Calculate Net Plant Carrying Charge Rate (Fixed Charge Rate or FCR) with hypothetical basis point ROE increase.
A. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement less return and Income Taxes.

| Annu | 160,350,476 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lease Payments (Page 8 of 27, Lns 269 \& 270) | 14,414,597 |
| Eturn (Page 8 of 27, In 298) | 47,378,639 |
| Income Taxes (Page 8 of 27, in 297) | 18,603,591 |

Income Taxes (Page 8 of 27 , In 297)

Annual Revenue Requirement, Less T.E.A., Leases, Return Taxes | $14,44,5789$ |
| :--- |
| $8.38,639$ |
| $8.63,591$ |

B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE,

Annual Revenue Requirement, Less T.E.A., Leases, Return Taxes $\begin{array}{r}79,953,649 \\ 44,378,639 \\ 18,60391 \\ \hline 145,535,899 \\ \hline 22,27,7,74 \\ \hline 122,658,805\end{array}$ Income Taxes (trom I.C. above)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase Depreciation (Page 8 of 27 , In 275 )
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
c. Determine FCR with hypothetical basis point ROE increase.

Net Transmission Plant (Page 7 of 27, In 211) 607,461,147 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Annual Revenue Requarirment, with Basis Point ROE increase } & 145,9535,079 \\ \text { FCR with Basis Point increase in ROE }\end{array}$

Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Dep
Annuar Rev. Req, wi Rasis Point ROE increase, less
FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, Iess Deprecia
FCR less Depreciation (Page 6 of 27, In 172)
Incremental FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
$\begin{array}{r}\text { 122,658,805 } \\ 20.19 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$

Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate
Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period 0 (P.206, In 58 (b)):
Transmission Plant @ End of historic Period 0 (P.207, in 58 ,(g)):
Subtotal
Average Transmission Plant Balance for 2008
Annual Depreciation Rate (Page 8 of 27 , In 275 )
Composite Deppreciation Rate
Depreciable Life for Composite Depreciation Rate
Round to nearest whole year

| 1,064,829,446 |
| :---: |
| 1,109,431,387 |
| 2,174,260,833 |
| 1,087, ,13,417 |
| 24,142,570 |
| 2.22\% |
| 45.03 |
| 45 |

IV. Determine the Revenue Requirement, and Additional Revenue Requirement for facilities receiving incentives.

## A. Base Plan Facilities

Facilities receiving incentives accepted by FERC in Docket No
(e.g. ER05-925-000)

Project Description: 765 kV circuit breaker installations at Hanging Rock

| Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment | 5,455,688 | Current Year  <br> ROE increase accepted by FERC (Basis Points) $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> FCR w/o incentives, less depreciation $20.19 \%$ <br> FCR w/incentives approved for these facilities, less dep. $0.00 \%$ <br> Annual Depreciation Expense 121,238 |  |  |  |  | TRUE UP OF PROJECT REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR YEAR: PROJECT'S 2008 HISTORIC YEAR REV. REQ. PER THIS TCOS FILING LESS: PROJECT'S 2008 PROJECTED REV. REQ. PER PRIOR PERIOD TCOS |  |  |  |  |
| Sevice Year (yyy) | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Month (1-12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Useful life | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIAC (Yes or No) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BeginningBalance | Depreciation Expense | EndingBalance | BPU Rev. Req't. w/o Incentives | BPU Rev. Req't. with Incentives | Incentive Rev. | BPURev. | BPU Rev Req't True-up w/o Incentives | BPURev. | BPU Rev Req'tTrue-up | True-up ofIncentivewith Incentives ** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Req't.From Prior Year Template |  | Req't.From Prior |  |  |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  | wlo Incentives |  | with Incentives** |  |  |
| 2009 | 5,455,688 | 80,825 | 5,374,863 | 894,796 | 894,796 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2010 | 5,374,863 | 121,238 | 5,253,625 | 1,182,052 | 1,182,052 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2011 | 5,253,625 | 121,238 | 5,132,388 | 1,157,571 | 1,157,571 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2012 | 5,132,388 | ${ }^{121,238}$ | 5,011,150 | 1,133,091 | 1,133,091 | \$ . |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2013 | 5,011,150 | 121,238 | 4,889,913 | 1,108,611 | 1,108,611 | \$ - |  | \$ |  | \$ . | \$ |
| 2014 | 4,889,913 | 121,238 | 4,768,675 | 1,084,130 | 1,084,130 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ . | \$ |
| 2015 | 4,768,675 | 121,238 | 4,647,438 | 1,059,650 | 1,059,650 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2016 | 4,647,438 | 121,238 | 4,526,200 | 1,035,170 | 1,035,170 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2017 | 4,526,200 | 121,238 | 4,404,963 | 1,010,689 | 1,010,689 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2018 | $4,404,963$ <br> 4283725 | 121,238 | 4,283,725 | 986,209 | 986,209 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2019 | 4,283,725 | 121,238 | 4,162,488 | 961,729 | 961,729 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ | \$ - |
| 2020 2021 | $4,162,488$ $4,041,250$ | 121,238 121,238 1 | 4,041,250 | 9377,248 <br> 912768 <br> 18 | 9377,248 912768 | \$ : |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ |
| ${ }_{2022}^{2021}$ | $4,041,250$ $3,920,013$ | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | $3,920,013$ <br> $3,798,775$ | 912,768 <br> 888,888 | 912,768 <br> 888,288 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2023 | 3,798,775 | 121,238 | 3,677,538 | 863,807 | ${ }_{863,807}$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ : | \$ - |
| 2024 | 3,677,538 | 121,238 | 3,556,300 | 839,327 | 839,327 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2025 | 3,556,300 | 121,238 | 3,435,063 | 814,847 | 814,847 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2026 | 3,435,063 | 121,238 | 3,313,825 | 790,366 | 790,366 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2027 | 3,313,825 | 121,238 | 3,192,588 | 765,886 | 765,886 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2028 | $3,192,588$ <br> 3 <br> 3 | 121,238 121238 121 | $3,071,350$ 2,950,113 2, | 741,406 716.925 | 741,406 716.925 | \$ |  |  |  |  | \$ - |
| 2029 2030 | $3,071,350$ $2,950,113$ | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | $2,9850,113$ <br> $2,888,875$ | 716,925 <br> 692,445 | 716,925 692,445 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ : |
| 2031 | 2,888,875 | 121,238 | 2,707,638 | 667,965 | 667,965 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2032 | 2,707,638 | 121,238 | 2,586,400 | 643,485 | 643,485 | \$ . |  | \$ . |  | \$ . | \$ |
| ${ }_{2}^{2033}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2,586,400 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 121,238 121238 121 | 2,465,163 2,343925 $1,92,18$ | 619,004 <br> 594.524 | 619,004 594.524 |  |  |  |  | \$ : |  |
| 2034 2035 | 2,465,163 $2,343,925$ | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | $2,343,925$ $2,222,688$ | $\begin{array}{r}594,524 \\ 570,044 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}594,524 \\ 570,044 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$ |  | \$ : |  | \$ : | \$ : |
| 2036 | 2,222,688 | 121,238 | 2,101,450 | 545,563 | 545,563 | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2037 | 2,101,450 | 121,238 | 1,980,213 | 521,083 | 521,083 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2038 | 1,980,213 | 121,238 | 1,858,975 | ${ }_{4}^{496,603}$ | 4966.603 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ - |  |
| 2039 2040 | $1,858,975$ $1,737,738$ | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | $1,737,738$ <br> $1,616,500$ | 472,122 447,642 | 4747212 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2041 | 1,616,500 | 121,238 | 1,495,263 | 423,162 | 423,162 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2042 | 1,495,263 | 121,238 | 1,374,025 | 398,681 | 398,681 | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2044}^{2043}$ | $1,374,025$ <br> $1,252,788$ | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | $1,252,788$ $1,131,550$ 1 | $\begin{array}{r}374,201 \\ 349,721 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 374,201 <br> 349,721 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2045 | 1,131,550 | ${ }^{121,238}$ | 1,010,313 | 325,240 | 325,240 | - |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2046 2047 | $1,010,313$ <br> 889075 | 121,238 <br> 121238 <br> 1 | 889,075 767.838 | 300,760 276,280 | 300,760 276,280 | \$ : |  | \$ : |  | \$ : |  |
| 2048 | 889,075 <br> 767,838 | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | 767,838 646,600 | 276,280 251,799 | 276,280 251,799 | \$ |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2049 | 646,600 | 121,238 | 525,363 | 227,319 | 227,319 | \$ - |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2050 2051 | 525,363 404125 | 121,238 <br> 121,238 | 404,125 282888 28.1080 | 202,839 178,358 | 202,839 | \$ : |  | \$ : |  | \$ : | \$ |
| 2052 | 282,888 | 121,238 | 161,650 | 153,878 | 153,878 |  |  | \$ |  | \$ : | \$ : |
| 2053 | 161,650 | 121,238 | 40,413 | 129,398 | 129,398 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | s |
| 2054 | 40,413 | 40,413 | - | 40,413 | 40,413 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| 2055 2056 | - | : | $:$ | $\vdots$ | : | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2057 | - | - | - | - |  | \$ - |  | \$ |  | \$ - | \$ |
| 2059 | $\because$ | $:$ | $:$ |  |  |  |  | \$ : |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2060 | - | - | - |  |  | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | + |
| 2061 | - | - | - | - | - | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ |
| ${ }_{2062}^{2062}$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ : |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2064 | - | - | - | - | - | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2065 | - | - | - | - | - | \$ - |  | \$ - |  | 8 | \$ |
| 2066 2067 | $:$ | $:$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| 2068 | - | - | - |  | - | \$ |  | \$ - |  | \$ | \$ - |

[^23]AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances
Worksheet Supporting Cost of Debt

## OHIO POWER COMPANY

## Calculation of Interest Expense Based on Outstanding Debt at Year End

| (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issuance | Principle Amount | Interest Rate | Annual Expense | Notes |
| Long Term Debt (FF1.p. 256-257.h) |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Rate Prom. Notes Payable to Parent | 200,000,000 | 5.250\% | 10,500,000 |  |
| Reacquired Bonds: IPC 04/2022 | $(35,000,000)$ | 4.250\% | $(1,487,500)$ |  |
| Reacquired Bonds: IPC 06/2022 | $(50,000,000)$ | 3.700\% | $(1,850,000)$ |  |
| Reacquired Bonds: IPC 04/2022 | 35,000,000 | 4.250\% | 1,487,500 |  |
| Reacquired Bonds: IPC 06/2022 | 50,000,000 | 3.700\% | 1,850,000 |  |
| Air Quality Bonds 05/2026 | 50,000,000 | 5.150\% | 2,575,000 |  |
| Air Quality Bonds 06/2037 | 65,000,000 | 4.900\% | 3,185,000 |  |
| Air Quality Bonds 06/2041 | 79,450,000 | 7.125\% | 5,660,813 |  |
| WVEDA - Mitchell - 2007 Series A | 65,000,000 | 0.850\% | 552,500 |  |
| WVEDA - Kammer - 2007 Series B | 50,000,000 | 1.000\% | 500,000 |  |
| WVEDA - Sporn - 2007 Series C | 50,000,000 | 1.050\% | 525,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 02/2013 | 250,000,000 | 5.500\% | 13,750,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 02/2033 | 250,000,000 | 6.600\% | 16,500,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 01/2014 | 225,000,000 | 4.850\% | 10,912,500 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 07/2033 | 225,000,000 | 6.375\% | 14,343,750 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 11/2010 | 200,000,000 | 5.300\% | 10,600,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 06/2016 | 350,000,000 | 6.000\% | 21,000,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 04/2010 | 400,000,000 | 4.388\% | 17,552,000 |  |
| Unsecured Medium Term Notes due 09/2013 | 250,000,000 | 5.750\% | 14,375,000 |  |
| Issuance Discount, Premium, \& Expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Hedges \& Auction Fees | FF1.p. 256 \& 257.Lines Described as H | dges or Fees | $(472,882)$ |  |
| Amort of Debt Discount and Expenses | FF1.p. 117.63.c |  | 2,211,243 |  |
| Amor of Debt Premimums (Enter Negative) | FF1.p. 117.65.c |  | - |  |
| Reacquired Debt: |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of Loss | FF1.p. 117.64.c |  | 1,618,264 |  |
| Amortization of Gain | FF1.p. 117.66.c |  | - |  |
| Total Interest on Long Term Debt | 2,709,450,000 | 5.384\% | 145,888,188 |  |
| Preferred Stock (FF1.p. 250-251) | Preferred Shares Outstanding |  |  |  |
| 4.08\% Series - \$103 | 1,459,500 | 4.08\% | 59,548 |  |
| 4.20\% Series - \$103.20 | 2,282,400 | 4.20\% | 95,861 |  |
| 4.40\% Series - \$104 | 3,148,200 | 4.40\% | 138,521 |  |
| 4.50\% Series - \$110 | 9,737,300 | 4.50\% | 438,179 |  |
| Dividends on Preferred Stock | 16,627,400 | 4.403\% | 732,108 |  |

## Calculation of Average Debt Balance in Rate Year

| Long Term Debt @ December 31, 2008 | $2,709,450,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Long Term Debt @ December 31, 2009 | (FF1, p.257.33.h) |
| Average Balance During 2009 | $2,709,450,000$ |

## Calculation of Average Preferred Stock Balance in Rate Year

|  | Balance | Dividend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred Stock @ December 31, 2008 | 16,627,400 | (FF1 p 112, Ln 3.c) |
| Preferred Stock @ December 31, 2009 |  | (FF1 p 112, Ln 3.c) |
| Average Balance During 2009 | 16,627,400 | (FF1 p. 118. Ln 29.c) |

Attachment 5a
FERC Order on PPL Formula Rate
Attachment 5b
FERC Order on AEP-East Formula Rate

Attachment 5c
FERC Order on Formula Rate Modification for PHI Companies related to MAPP Project

# 125 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,121 <br> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION 

Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher, Chairman; Suedeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer, Philip D. Moeller, and Jon Wellinghoff.

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

# ORDER ACCEPTING AND SUSPENDING TARRIFF SHEETS SUBJECT TO REFUND AND SUBJECT TO CONDITION, AND ESTABLISHING HEARING AND SETTLEMENT JUDGE PROCEEDINGS 

(Issued October 29, 2008)

1. On August 28, 2008, as amended on August 29, 2008, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL) submitted, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), ${ }^{1}$ revised tariff sheets to PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.’s (PJM) Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) to substitute a formula rate for its stated rates for the provision of network and point-to-point transmission service. ${ }^{2}$ The formula rate incorporates a return on equity (ROE) of 12.84 percent, which includes a transmission rate incentive of 50 basis points for continued membership in PJM. The Commission accepts and suspends the revised tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 2008, subject to refund and condition, and the outcome of hearing and settlement judge procedures.

## I. Background

2. PPL is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PPL Corporation and owns transmission and distribution facilities within PJM serving eastern and central Pennsylvania, and provides transmission service in accordance with PJM's OATT. PPL and its predecessors have been members of PJM and its predecessor organizations since 1927. PPL's currentlyeffective stated rates have been in effect since 1998. ${ }^{3}$

[^24]3. On December 21, 2007 in Docket No. ER08-23-000, PPL, jointly with Public Service Electric and Gas Company, filed a petition for declaratory order pursuant to section 219 of the FPA ${ }^{4}$ and Order No. $679^{5}$ seeking rate incentives for a proposed 500kV transmission project, the Susquehanna-Roseland Line (Susquehanna Line). The Susquehanna Line is a baseline project under PJM's Regional Transmission Expansion Plan. ${ }^{6}$ It will span 130 miles across Pennsylvania to northern New Jersey and is expected to be completed by 2012. PPL's 84-mile portion of the Susquehanna Line is estimated to cost between $\$ 300$ and $\$ 350$ million.
4. In its petition for declaratory order, PPL requested the following Order No. 679 incentives: (1) a 50 -basis point ROE adder for all of its transmission facilities for continued membership in an RTO; (2) a 150-basis point ROE adder for the risks and challenges faced by the Susquehanna Line; (3) authority to include 100 percent of construction work in progress (CWIP) expenses in rate base; and (4) 100 percent recovery of prudently incurred construction costs in the event that the Susquehanna Line is abandoned as a result of factors beyond its control.
5. On April 22, 2008, the Commission granted the request for declaratory order and approved PPL's requested incentives for continued membership in PJM, CWIP, and abandonment costs. ${ }^{7}$ The Commission denied the request for a 150 -basis point ROE adder, finding that based on the risks associated with the Susquehanna Line, a 125-basis point adder was more appropriate. The Commission noted that the 125 -basis point adder would be bound by the upper end of the zone of reasonableness, which would be determined in a future section 205 filing. ${ }^{8}$

[^25]
## II. Proposal

6. On August 28, 2008, in Docket No. ER08-1457-000, PPL filed revised tariff sheets to implement a formula rate for transmission service based on its projected annual transmission revenue requirement (ATRR). On August 29, 2008, in Docket No. ER08-1457-001, PPL filed a substitute Exhibit No. 103 to it August 28 Filing. PPL proposes to use actual calendar year cost data from its FERC Form No. 1 to populate the formula rate spreadsheet or template. The formula rate includes inputs for ROE, forecasted plant additions, and CWIP for Commission-approved incentive projects. The ATRR produced by the formula is the sum of the return on rate base, operation and maintenance expense, depreciation expense, taxes other than income taxes, and income taxes less any applicable revenue credits. PPL proposes that the initial projected ATRR be in effect from November 1, 2008, through May 31, 2009. The initial ATRR will be based on actual costs as reflected in PPL's Form No. 1. Subsequent ATRRs will go into effect on June 1 of each succeeding year, based on the prior year actual costs and projected transmission capital additions for the rate year. The true-up mechanism reconciles projected costs with actual costs.
7. PPL proposes a base ROE of 12.34 percent as a stated value that is only subject to change pursuant to a filing under section 205 or 206 of the FPA. PPL states that this base ROE plus a 50-basis point adder for continued membership in PJM will result in an ROE for non-incentive projects that falls well within the zone of reasonableness. PPL further states that the 125 basis-point incentive for the Susquehanna Line will result in an ROE of 14.09 percent for that project, which it states is still within the zone of reasonableness.
8. To develop its proposed ROE, PPL states that it applied a discounted cash flow analysis to a sample of publicly-owned regulated electric utilities (or their holding companies) based on the Northeastern proxy group prescribed in $P A T H^{9}$ and the guidance provided by the Commission in SoCal Edison and Consumers Energy. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ PPL states that consistent with PEPCO and VEPCO, ${ }^{11}$ its sample did not include: (1) companies that do not pay common dividends; (2) companies for whom no I/B/E/S growth rate or Value Line data is available; (3) companies who are involved in merger activities; and (4) companies whose business is comprised mainly of natural gas operations.

[^26]9. PPL states that it did not include companies that do not have a Standard and Poor's or Moody's credit quality rating equivalent to, one notch above, or one notch below the ratings for PPL and companies that have unsustainably high growth rates. ${ }^{12}$ PPL states that consistent with the Commission's orders in PEPCO and VEPCO, it eliminated those utilities whose Standard and Poor's or Moody's credit ratings were more than one rating above or below its rating of A- (Standard and Poor's) and Baa1 (Moody's). ${ }^{13}$ The resulting proxy group included utilities with a Standard and Poor's credit rating between A to BBB+ (or Moody's equivalent), which consists of American Electric Power Company Inc., Consolidated Edison Inc., Dominion Resources Inc., DPL Inc., Exelon Corporation, FPL Group, Inc., Northeast Utilities and Public Service Enterprise Group Inc. Based on this proxy group, PPL states that the zone of reasonable returns for its cost of equity is 8.35 percent to 16.32 percent. PPL is proposing a baseline ROE of 12.34 percent, which is the midpoint of this range. ${ }^{14}$
10. In addition to filing revised tariff sheets which include the non-populated formula template and protocols, PPL submitted a spreadsheet which shows the inputs for the initial projected ATRR.

## III. Notice

11. Notice of PPL's filing was published in the Federal Register, 73 Fed. Reg. 52,348 (2008), with interventions and protests due on or before September 19, 2008. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission filed a notice of intervention. The Maryland Office of Peoples' Counsel, Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate, Office of the Ohio Consumer Counsel, New Jersey Division of Rate Control, West Virginia Consumer Advocate Division, D.C. Office of People’s Counsel (collectively, Consumer Advocates), American Municipal Power-Ohio, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc., PP\&L Industrial Customer Alliance, Citizen’s Electric Company, and Allegheny Power filed timely motions to intervene. Old Dominion Electric Cooperative filed an out-of-time motion to intervene.

[^27]12. American Municipal Power-Ohio, PP\&L Industrial Customer Alliance and Citizen's Electric Company (collectively, Joint Customers) and Consumer Advocates protested PPL's filing and requested that the proceeding be set for hearing. They contend that the inputs to the formula template, including the proposed ROE, are overstated, and therefore result in unjust and unreasonable rates. In addition, Joint Customers request that the filing be suspended for five months.
13. On October 6, 2008, PPL filed an answer to the protests of the Joint Customers.

## IV. Commission Determination

## A. Procedural Matters

14. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, ${ }^{15}$ the timely, unopposed motions to intervene serve to make the entities that filed them parties to this proceeding. Given the early stage of the proceeding, its interests, and the absence of undue prejudice or delay, we will grant the untimely motion to intervene of Old Dominion Electric Cooperative.
15. Rule 213(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 6}}$ prohibits an answer to a protest or an answer unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority. We will accept PPL's answer because it has provided information that assisted us in our decision-making process.

## B. Formula Rate

16. PPL's proposed formula rate for transmission service is based on actual calendar year data as reflected in Form No. 1 and projected plant additions. PPL proposes to trueup its projected costs in its Annual Update. PPL's proposed formula rate incorporates the Order No. 679 rate incentives it received for the Susquehanna Line. Specifically, it reflects an ROE of 14.09 percent and 100 percent recovery of CWIP expenses in rate base. PPL's proposal reflects an ROE of 12.84 percent for all other transmission facilities.
17. We will accept and suspend PPL's revised tariff sheets to become effective November 1, 2008, subject to refund and condition. We will make substantive findings on certain issues regarding PPL's proposed protocols and set all remaining issues for hearing and settlement judge proceedings.
[^28]
## 1. Protests

18. Consumer Advocates and Joint Customers argue that the ROE requested by PPL is unjust and unreasonable. Consumer Advocates argue that the base ROE of 12.34 percent appears to be excessive and when combined with the ROE incentive and the risk reducing formula rate may produce rates which are unjust and unreasonable. Joint Customers contend that PPL's proposed ROE is overstated, arguing that the transmission business is less risky than the generation business. Furthermore, Joint Customers contend that the conversion from stated rates to formula rates eliminates uncertainty regarding the collections of earnings. Joint Customers contend that the conversion prevents over- and under-recovery of transmission costs, thus reducing risk.
19. Joint Customers contend that PPL's zone of reasonableness is not appropriate and is excessive due to the inclusion of companies that have unsustainable growth rates and the use of duel credit rating criteria. Specifically, Joint Customers contend that PPL's proxy group is unreasonable due to the inclusion of Exelon and DPL which, it contends, have unsustainable growth rates of 13.62 percent and 11.72 percent, respectively. Joint Customers also contend that PPL's proposed 12.34 percent ROE is inflated due to the use of both Standard and Poor's and Moody’s credit rating criteria, and therefore the ratings for the proxy group span four rating notches. Joint Customers further contend that the use of four notches results in PPL being less risky than the proxy group average and inflates its requested ROE. Joint Customers also contend that PPL's use of the midpoint instead of the median is not consistent with Commission precedent. Joint Customers recommend a zone of reasonableness of from 8.35 percent to 12.07 percent with a median of 10.21 percent.
20. Joint Customers raise a concern with PPL's proposal to use the year-end balances of plant in service to calculate its annual update and true-up transmission cost-of-service. They contend that Commission regulations require the use of 13-month average plant balances. They note that replacing the beginning and end-of-year average for transmission and general plant accumulated depreciation (with 13-month plant balances) reduces PPL's proposed increase by approximately $\$ 1.3$ million or 10.6 percent of the requested increase.
21. Joint Customers are also concerned with several unexplained increase in costs. For example, Account No. 923- Outside Service Employed, as shown in PPL’s 2006 and 2007 Form No. 1, increased by $\$ 2.7$ million or 240 percent between 2006 and 2007. In addition, Account No. 924 - Property Insurance increased from 2006 by $\$ 7.5$ million or 77 percent over the previous year. Further, Joint Customers note that a comparison of the Form No. 1 for 2006 and 2007 shows an increase in costs for Account No. 926 Employee Pensions and Benefits from a credit of $\$ 4.5$ million in 2006 to a debit of $\$ 32.6$ million in 2007.
22. Joint Customers request that non-current liabilities that have already been funded through rates be treated as an offset to the transmission rate base. In addition, Joint Customers are concerned that accumulated deferred income tax costs, which reduce the transmission cost-of-service, are not allocated properly. Specifically, they are concerned with costs for pension and post retirement, revenue agent rulings, deferred inter-company gains, trademark sales, and receivables factoring.
23. Joint Customers request that Account No. 190 - Contribution in Aid of Construction, be examined to determine if the cost is properly allocated to the transmission cost-of-service. They are also concerned that PPL's formula template indicates that the interest rate will be calculated based on the interest rate for "March of the Current Year, ${ }^{17}$ may not be consistent with Commission regulations, which require a change in the interest rate with each calendar quarter.
24. Joint Customers request further information to determine the reasonableness of Account No. 165 - Prepayments which shows an increase of $\$ 13.4$ million, or 92 percent, over the previous year's balance sheet.
25. Joint Customers note that the sole support for PPL's amortized investment tax credits, land held for future use, and post-retirement benefits other than pension, as shown on Attachment 5 to the formula template, is "company records." They state that this information is not sufficient to determine if these components of the rate were properly developed.
26. Joint Customers state that PPL provides no explanations of the revenue credits or the method used to assign those credits between transmission and non-transmission functions. They note that the 2007 Form No. 1 total for two revenue credit accounts, Account No. 454 - Rents and Account No. 456 - Other Electric Revenues, was $\$ 38.4$ million. They further note that only $\$ 10.8$ million was included in Attachment 3 to the formula template as transmission-related revenue credits. Joint Customers request further analysis to determine if PPL properly allocated the transmission-related credits. Finally, Joint Customers state that PPL’s filing is unclear as to whether labor costs associated with PPL's merchant function operations are included in the determination of labor allocators.

## 2. Commission Determination

27. Our preliminary analysis indicates that PPL's proposed tariff sheets have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust and unreasonable and unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. We will therefore accept and
${ }^{17}$ PPL Exhibit No. PPL-103 at 14.
suspend PPL's revised tariff sheets to become effective November 1, 2008, subject to refund and condition. We also set the proposed formula rate for hearing and settlement judge procedures. In order to allow the parties to resolve their concerns, we will not limit the scope of the proceeding, except to the extent that the specific issues are addressed infra.
28. The Commission has encouraged public utilities to explore the benefits of filing transmission-related formula rates. ${ }^{18}$ Further, the Commission has found that the use of formula rates encourages the construction and timely placement into service of needed transmission infrastructure. ${ }^{19}$ In West Texas, ${ }^{20}$ The Commission explained that, when its preliminary examination indicates that the proposed rates may be unjust and unreasonable and substantially excessive, the Commission will impose a maximum, fivemonth suspension. In this proceeding, our preliminary analysis indicates that PPL's proposed formula rate raises issues of material fact that cannot be resolved based on the record before us. Accordingly, we will accept PPL's revised tariff sheets subject to condition, and suspend PPL's proposed transmission formula rate to become effective November 1, 2008, subject to refund, and to the outcome of hearing and settlement judge procedures. In balancing our previous finding that formula rates encourage timely investment in needed transmission infrastructure with our concern that the proposed rates may be unjust and unreasonable, we find that a minimum suspension period is appropriate.

## C. Annual Updates

## 1. Information Provided with Annual Update

## a. Proposal

29. PPL proposes detailed protocols for populating and updating the formula rate template. Under its proposed protocols, in May of each year, PPL will provide its Annual Update. The Annual Update will be used to develop the next rate year's ATRR by populating the formula rate template using data contained in its Form No. 1 for the prior calendar year, plus projected capital additions for the current year. The Annual Update

[^29]will also be used to true-up the previous rate year's ATRR. The true-up mechanism, which is a line item in the formula template, compares the estimated ATRR for the previous rate year with the actual costs for that year. The difference between the projected and actual costs, plus interest, will be added or subtracted from the next year's projected ATRR. PPL will post the populated formula template, cost support and exhibits on PJM's website. In addition, PPL will file the Annual Update with the Commission, for informational purposes only. PPL states that it has established protocols to provide a process for parties to challenge the formula rate calculations and cost support. It further states that any changes to the data used to populate the rate formula template will be reflected in the ATRR for the following year, with interest.

## b. Protest and Answer

30. Joint Customers contend that, as a general matter, PPL will post the numerical inputs with little explanatory material in the Annual Update. To facilitate a less adversarial process, Joint Customers request that the Commission direct PPL to provide more explanatory material, such as workpapers, adjustments not shown in the Form No. 1, and material changes, as part of its Annual Update. Joint Customers further contend that PPL's proposal limits the review and challenges of the Annual Update to the "accuracy of data" and "consistency" with the formula template and contains no protections to ensure that only prudent costs are passed through the formula. Joint Customers also contend that PPL's protocols do not address the specific rights and procedures which will apply to the true-up mechanism. Finally, Joint Customers request that the last sentence of section 3.b. be deleted from the revised tariff sheets because it is superfluous, ambiguous and overly broad. The sentence reads:

In addition, such information requests shall not solicit information concerning costs or allocations where the costs or allocation method have been determined by FERC or in the context of other Annual Updates, except that such information requests shall be permitted if they seek to determine if there has been a material change in circumstances.
31. In its answer, PPL states that Joint Customers misread its filing and that the information necessary to review the formula inputs is either available in Form No. 1, or posted as a supplement on the PJM website. Further, PPL states that the proposed protocols place no limits on either the substance or coordination of discovery. Finally, PPL explains that the above sentence only limits information requests on matters that have already been settled by the Commission or in response to previous Annual Updates.

## c. Commission Determination

32. The Commission finds that section 1.g. of PPL's proposed protocols provide the type of specific information requested by the Joint Customers with respect to the source of the data, supporting workpapers and explanations, and the accuracy and prudency of
costs. Therefore, the Commission finds that the Joint Customers' recommendations are not necessary. In addition, the Commission finds that the concerns of the Consumer Advocates are fully addressed.

## 2. Challenges to Annual Update

## a. Proposal

33. PPL's proposed protocols establish a process for review of inputs to the formula rate, and define time limits for raising preliminary and formal challenges to the application of the formula rate, including challenges related to material accounting changes, and resolution of challenges. ${ }^{21}$ Under PPL's proposed protocols, parties have an opportunity to challenge the calculations and cost support, including the prudence of the costs and the accuracy of the data. Specifically, parties will have 150 days from the date the calculations and cost support are published on PJM's website to review the data. If necessary, the parties may submit preliminary written challenges to PPL. Further, the protocols provide that during the review period, parties will have 120 days to serve "reasonable" information requests on PPL and PPL will make a good faith attempt to respond to such requests within 15 days. If a preliminary challenge is made, the protocols provide that parties will have a 21-day period to resolve the dispute regarding the formula inputs. However, if parties are unable to resolve the dispute, the protocols provide that they have an additional 21 days to file a complaint with the Commission pursuant to FPA section 206. Subsection 4(d) further provides:

Subject to judicial review of FERC orders, each annual update shall become final and no longer subject to challenge pursuant to these Annual Review Protocols or by any other means by FERC or any other entity on the later to occur of (i) passage of the twenty-one (21) day period (or extended period, if applicable) following the Review Period for making a Formal Challenge if no such challenge has been made and FERC has not initiated a proceeding to consider the Annual Update, or (ii) a final FERC order issued in response to a Formal Challenge or a proceeding initiated by FERC to consider the Annual Update.

[^30]
## b. Protest and Answer

34. Joint Customers contend that section 4(d) is directly contrary to the Commission's order in VEPCO, ${ }^{22}$ and requests that the Commission direct PPL to remove the provision from its protocols.
35. In its answer, PPL offers to submit a compliance filing to address this concern, in light of the Commission's findings in PSE\&G and $A E P .^{23}$ In the compliance filing, PPL states that it will amend section 4.e [sic] of its protocols to eliminate the cut-off date by which parties must file a complaint or the omission may institute a complaint pursuant to section 206 of the FPA.

## c. Commission Determination

36. As we stated in VEPCO, PSE\&G and AEP, the courts have recognized that FPA section 206 permits customers to challenge formula rates. ${ }^{24}$ The Commission's longstanding precedent is that, under formula rates, parties have the right to challenge the inputs to or the implementation of the formula at whatever time they discover errors in the inputs to or implementation of the formula. ${ }^{25}$ Indeed, customers may not uncover

[^31]errors in data or imprudent or otherwise inappropriate costs until well after the challenge period. ${ }^{26}$ Accordingly, we will require PPL to make a compliance filing within 30 days of the date of this order to revise the protocols so that they do not limit a customer's or the Commission's rights with respect to challenges to the inputs into the formula rate.

## D. Informational Filing

37. Section 1.b. of PPL's proposed protocols provides that PPL file its Annual Update, ${ }^{27}$ with supporting documentation, with the Commission on or before May 15 of each year. The provision states:

The submission of such information filing with FERC shall not be noticed nor require any action by the agency.
38. Although PPL states that its proposed formula is "virtually identical" to numerous formula rates approved by the Commission for other utilities in PJM, ${ }^{28}$ the Commission finds that the language is a deviation from the language approved as part of the formula rates for other utilities in PJM. In all of the formula rates contained in PJM's OATT, the tariff language specifies that the utility will make an information filing with the Commission and that the filing will not require Commission action. PPL's proposed language, without explanation, restricts the Commission's ability to notice the Annual Updates when they are filed. This Commission will not bind future Commissions from noticing an Annual Filing. Therefore, PPL is required to make a compliance filing within 30 days of the date of this order to delete the words "be noticed nor" from section 1.b. of its proposed protocols.

## E. Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures

39. Although we are setting issues relating to the formula rate inputs, including ROE, for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, we encourage the parties to make every effort to settle their disputes before hearing procedures are commenced. To aid the parties in their settlement efforts, we will hold the hearing in abeyance and direct that a settlement judge

[^32]be appointed, pursuant to Rule 603 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. ${ }^{29}$ If the parties desire, they may, by mutual agreement, request a specific judge as the settlement judge in the proceeding; otherwise, the Chief Judge will select a judge for this purpose. ${ }^{30}$ The settlement judge shall report to the Chief Judge and the Commission within 30 days of the date of the appointment of the settlement judge, concerning the status of settlement discussions. Based on this report, the Chief Judge shall provide the parties with additional time to continue their settlement discussions or provide for commencement of a hearing by assigning the case to a presiding judge.

## F. Waiver Requests

40. PPL requests waiver of the following sections of the Commission's regulations: section 35.13(d)(1)-(2) (requiring submission of Period I and Period II data for Statements AA through BL); ${ }^{31}$ section 35.13(d)(5) (requiring submission of workpapers related to Period I and Period II data); ${ }^{32}$ and section 35.13 (h) (requiring cost of service statements). ${ }^{33}$ In addition, PPL requests a limited waiver of the requirements under section (c)(7) of Schedule 12 of the PJM OATT in order to coordinate the timing of the annual filing under that section with the annual updates under the proposed formula rates. In Statement BM, PPL also requests waiver of section 35.25(c)(4) (forward looking Allocation ratios), ${ }^{34}$ and section $35.25(\mathrm{~g})$ (anticompetitive procedures). ${ }^{35}$
41. Joint Consumers contends that PPL should be required to file the Period I and Period II date required by section 35.13 of the Commission's regulations. Joint Consumers state that this information will assist the Commission and parties in the evaluation of overall system costs and in the allocation of costs to PPL's transmission

[^33]function. The Commission grants PPL the requested waivers. The waiver of the Period I and Period II filing requirements does not preclude parties from requesting additional information on cost inputs and supporting documentation as part of the hearing and settlement judge proceedings.

## The Commission orders:

(A) PPL's revised tariff sheets to the PJM OATT are accepted for filing, as discussed in the body of this order, and suspended for a nominal period to be effective November 1, 2008, subject to refund.
(B) PPL is ordered to file revised tariff sheets to PJM's OATT within 30 days of this order, as discussed in the body of this order.
(C) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act, and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 C.F.R., Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning PPL's proposed formula rate filing. However, the hearing shall be held in abeyance to provide time for settlement judge procedures, as discussed in Ordering Paragraphs ( E ) and ( F ) below.
(D) Pursuant to Rule 603 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.603 (2008), the Chief Administrative Law Judge is hereby directed to appoint a settlement judge in this proceeding within fifteen (15) days of the date of this order. Such settlement judge shall have all powers and duties enumerated in Rule 603 and shall convene a settlement conference as soon as practicable after the Chief Judge designates the settlement judge. If the parties decide to request a specific judge, they must make their request to the Chief Judge within five (5) days of the date of this order.
(E) Within thirty (30) days of the appointment of the settlement judge, the settlement judge shall file a report with the Commission and with the Chief Judge on the status of the settlement discussions. Based on this report, the Chief Judge shall provide the parties with additional time to continue their settlement discussions, if appropriate, or assign this case to a presiding judge for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, if appropriate. If settlement discussions continue, the settlement judge shall file a report at least every sixty (60) days thereafter, informing the Commission and the Chief Judge of the parties’ progress toward settlement.
(F) If settlement judge procedures fail, and a trial-type evidentiary hearing is to be held, a presiding judge, to be designated by the Chief Judge, shall, within fifteen (15) days of the date of the presiding judge's designation, convene a prehearing conference in
this proceeding in a hearing room of the Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426. Such conference shall be held for the purpose of establishing a procedural schedule. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates, and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

By the Commission.
(S E A L )

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary.

# Tariff Sheets Accepted and Suspended 

 Subject to Condition and Subject to Refund Effective November 1, 2008PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
FERC Electric Tariff
Sixth Revised Volume No. 1

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 26
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 245
Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 247
Third Revised Sheet No. 270E.08b
Second Revised Sheet No. 307
First Revised Sheet No. 308
Original Sheet No. 309AAA
Original Sheet No. 309BBB
Original Sheet No. 309CCC
Original Sheet No. 309DDD
Original Sheet No. 309EEE
Original Sheet No. 309FFF
Original Sheet No. 309GGG
Original Sheet No. 309HHH
Original Sheet No. 309III
Original Sheet No. 309JJJ
Original Sheet No. 309KKK
Original Sheet No. 309LLL
Original Sheet No. 309MMM
Original Sheet No. 309NNN
Original Sheet No. 309000
Original Sheet No. 309PPP
Original Sheet No. 309QQQ
Original Sheet No. 309RRR
Original Sheet No. 309SSS
Original Sheet No. 309TTT
Original Sheet No. 309UUU
Original Sheet No. 309VVV
Original Sheet No. 309WWW
Original Sheet No. 309XXX
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Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher, Chairman;
Suedeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer, Philip D. Moeller, and Jon Wellinghoff.

# ORDER ACCEPTING AND SUSPENDING FORMULA RATE SUBJECT TO REFUND AND ESTABLISHING HEARING AND SETTLEMENT JUDGE PROCEDURES 

(Issued September 30, 2008)

1. On July 31, 2008, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP) submitted, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), ${ }^{1}$ revised tariff sheets on behalf of its seven AEP East operating companies: Appalachian Power Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, Ohio Power Company, and Wheeling Power Company (collectively, AEP East Companies). The revised tariff sheets would increase transmission rates in AEP's zone by 12.15 percent in the initial year and would establish formula rates that would be automatically adjusted each year based on changes to AEP's costs as reported annually in the FERC Form No. 1, without contemporaneous requests for approval under section 205. We accept the revised tariff sheets for filing, suspend their effectiveness for five months, to be effective March 1, 2009, subject to refund and condition, and to the outcome of hearing and settlement judge procedures.

## I. Background

2. The Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) of the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) contains zonal rates and allows each transmission owning member to make filings to maintain a current revenue requirement. The annual transmission revenue requirement

[^34]for the AEP’s Zone in PJM is reflected in Attachment $\mathrm{H}-14$ of the PJM OATT. ${ }^{2}$ Each pricing zone's transmission revenue requirement forms the basis for deriving unit charges for Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) for load located within the pricing zone. On December 20, 2005, as amended on April 26, 2006, the Commission approved a settlement agreement that established the current stated transmission revenue requirements. ${ }^{3}$ AEP's existing zonal rate is fixed at $\$ 1,757.40 / \mathrm{MW}$-month and is based a projected 2005 transmission revenue requirement of $\$ 487.6$ million.

## II. Filing

3. AEP proposes tariff sheets that would revise Attachment $\mathrm{H}-14$ of the PJM OATT to provide for a forward looking formula rate, an annual true-up of that rate, and customer protocols governing such annual updates. The revised tariff sheets are in two parts: Attachment H-14A, the Formula Rate Implementation Protocols, and H-14B, the Formula Rate Template. The revised tariff sheets would convert AEP's existing transmission service rate to an annually updated cost-of-service formula rate. The proposed formula rate contains three cost-of-service provisions: (1) a historic cost-ofservice, (2) a projected cost-of-service, and (3) a true-up cost-of-service, including protocols for updating the formula rate. ${ }^{4}$ AEP proposes to recalculate the revenue requirement under the formula rate with historical data, using FERC Form No. 1 cost data as well as data from its accounting ledgers. For each subsequent year, the historical cost-of-service data is based on the prior year's expenses and plant in service. For the projected cost-of-service, AEP proposes to calculate adjustments to recognize transmission plant additions and associated depreciation for new plant that have gone into

[^35]service or are expected to go into service in the current calendar year in order to produce an estimate of the cost-of-service for that year. ${ }^{5}$ AEP notes that the only elements in its cost-of-service that are projected are those related to transmission plant in service additions and depreciation expense on new and existing plant in service. The true-up cost-of-service will use the prior year actual cost-of-service, and the difference between the collected cost-of-service and the true-up cost-of-service will be collected (or refunded) with the projected cost-of-service when AEP makes its annual update. Subject to true-up, the first year annual transmission revenue requirement for network service under the proposed formula is approximately $\$ 586.8$ million. ${ }^{6}$ AEP contends that its proposal for annual updates to its formula rate is similar to recently approved protocols in the PJM region. ${ }^{7}$
4. The return on equity is a stated rate, subject to change pursuant to section 205 or 206 of the FPA. AEP uses a proxy group of transmission owning utilities from PJM, the New York Independent System Operator, and New England RTO to determine central tendency. In calculating the return on equity, AEP proposes to apply the midpoint as opposed to the median of the proxy group, as most recently applied by the Commission for individual utilities. ${ }^{8}$ AEP believes that using a midpoint methodology is more appropriate for a utility of its size, serving customers in multiple RTOs, and because it raises capital as a single entity. AEP proposes a 12.1 percent return on equity, including

[^36]a proposed 50 basis point incentive adder for continued participation in PJM. ${ }^{9}$ AEP does not propose, at this time, incentive rate treatment except for the adder for its continued participation in PJM. However, in order to allow AEP to include certain rate treatments that it may seek and the Commission may authorize in the future, AEP's proposed formula rate includes a placeholder for recovery of Construction Work In Progress (CWIP), which may include 100 percent of CWIP, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ as may be allowed by the Commission. No CWIP balances have been included in rate base in the proposed formula rate proposal, and AEP does not anticipate requesting CWIP for short lead-time projects, but has provided for the possibility for projects that will require a multi-year construction period.
5. In addition, AEP proposes to use the annual beginning and ending rate base balances from FERC Form No. 1 instead of the 13-month average method to determine the true-up rate base to construct the true-up cost-of-service study. Because this information can be derived from annual FERC filings, AEP supports this methodology as administratively simple, verifiable and using readily available FERC Form No. 1 data rather than through monthly financial statements.
6. AEP explains that it has chosen to move from stated rates to a formula rate because, in addition to the Commission's encouragement, ${ }^{11}$ more current cost recovery will assist AEP and PJM in developing needed transmission infrastructure. AEP requests an effective date of October 1, 2008, and that its proposed rates be accepted for filing without an evidentiary hearing or with only a nominal suspension. ${ }^{12}$ AEP further requests that, if the Commission establishes a hearing, the Commission should specify the issues set for hearing and not permit parties to litigate formula rate provisions that the Commission has approved for other transmission owners.

[^37]
## III. Notice, Intervention, Comments, and Protests and Answer

7. Notice of AEP's filing was published in the Federal Register, 73 Fed. Reg. 46,621 (2008), with interventions and protests due on or before August 21, 2008, which was subsequently extended to August 29, 2008.
8. IMPA, American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., Wabash Valley Power Association, Blue Ridge Power Agency, Buckeye Power, Inc., AEP Intervenor Group, Dominion Resources Services, Inc., ${ }^{13}$ PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Steel Dynamics, Inc., FirstEnergy Companies, ${ }^{14}$ North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation, PHI Companies, ${ }^{15}$ Ameren Services Company, ${ }^{16}$ Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, PSEG Companies, Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc., Exelon Corporation, City of Dowagiac, Indiana and Michigan Municipal Distributors Association, Consumers Energy Company, Joint Intervenors, ${ }^{17}$ Office of the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia (VA Consumer Counsel), Maryland Office of People’s Counsel (Maryland OPC), and Craig Botetourt Electric Cooperative filed timely motions

[^38]to intervene. Protests were filed by the Joint Intervenors, ${ }^{18}$ VA Consumer Counsel, and Maryland OPC. ${ }^{19}$
9. The protestors assert numerous instances where AEP's protocols for updating the formula rate and challenging application of the formula rate are insufficient to ensure that AEP's rates are just and reasonable, are unreasonably restrictive on customers as to the scope of what can be challenged. Joint Intervenors also complain that AEP's revenue requirements are the results of seven separate companies, and its formula rate proposal is significantly more complex than that presented by the Commonwealth Edison and Duquesne formula rate proposals. ${ }^{20}$ Joint Intervenors argue that AEP's proposal needs clarification and supporting workpapers, pointing to the timing, format, and scope of information to be posted as part of AEP's annual update. Joint Intervenors contend that they, and other interested parties, have the obligation and right to a thorough investigation of sufficient information to fully understand the nature of the current transmission-related costs incurred by the AEP East Companies as well as how those costs are intended to be recovered in the proposed formula rate. Joint Intervenors contend that the annual update protocols should provide for a meeting of interested parties each year to discuss the annual update, rather than trying to pursue potential issues through successive rounds of interrogatories.
10. The protestors assert that AEP's protocols impose unlawful limits on a party's statutory rights pursuant to FPA section 206. Specifically, protestors complain that the proposal seeks to include a "Preliminary Challenge" as prerequisite to an "Interested Party" filing a complaint under FPA section 206 (referred to as a "Formal Challenge" in AEP's Formula Rate Implementation Protocols). ${ }^{21}$ Protestors complain that the definition of Interested Party is too narrowly limited. Further, protestors complain that the protocols establishing Preliminary Challenge procedures are inconsistent with FPA section 206. Protestors also contend that the protocol's provisions to modify the formula rate pursuant to either a Preliminary or Formal Challenge establish a standard that

[^39]exceeds the requirements of section 206 of the FPA. In addition, the protestors contend that the proposed protocols place unreasonable limits on prudence challenges, and that the protocols treatment of material accounting changes is unclear, confusing, and may be unreasonably restrictive.
11. The protestors contend that AEP's proposed total return on equity is likely to result in rates that are unjust and unreasonable. Joint Intervenors and Maryland OPC raise concern with the appropriateness, given the Commission's precedent, ${ }^{22}$ of AEP's proposal to use the midpoint rather than median of the proxy group data points for return on equity. In addition, protesters contend that AEP's proposed 11.6 percent return on equity, which is equal to the midpoint of the 7.8 percent and 15.5 percent range of the proxy group, is due to the competitive and unregulated portions of the proxy group companies' revenues that have contributed to the high growth rates, rather than the regulated transmission portion of these companies' revenues. ${ }^{23}$ Thus, Maryland OPC and Joint Intervenors contend that AEP's proxy group has not been sufficiently screened for risk and unsustainable growth rates. ${ }^{24}$ Maryland OPC argues that AEP's request is disputed by expert testimonial and factual evidence, and because expert testimony requires evaluation expert witnesses' credibility, the Commission should deny or reject AEP's request and set the case for evidentiary hearing.
12. As demonstrated within its protest, and supported with attached affidavits, Joint Intervenors contend that AEP's proposed $\$ 63.6$ million rate increase should be reduced by $\$ 48.1$ million or 75 percent, as follows:

Issue $\quad$ Reduction in Revenue Requirement

| 1. Return on Equity | $\$ 30,400,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Prepaid Pensions in Rate Base | $\$ 4,000,000$ |
| 3. Hedging cost in LTD rates | $\$ 6,700,000$ |

[^40]4. ADIT items unrelated to Transmission
5. 13-month Average Rate Base

Total Quantifiable Impacts
\$2,700,000
\$4,300,000
\$48,100,000
13. In addition, Joint Intervenors contend that other questionable areas where discovery could well yield further reductions are to include: (a) Cash Working Capital in Rate Base, (b) Property Taxes Allocations, (c) Revenue Credits, (d) Business Development Expense, and the Wages \& Salaries allocator.
14. Joint Intervenors request that the Commission reject AEP's request to accept its formula rate without a hearing or put its proposed rates into effect only after a nominal suspension period. Joint Intervenors request that the Commission follow its traditional suspension policy under West Texas, ${ }^{25}$ and suspend AEP's rates for the full five-month suspension period, and set this matter for an evidentiary hearing. However, given AEP's history of working cooperatively toward settlement and AEP's contemplation of such process as an alternative form of relief, Joint Intervenors request that the Commission direct the Chief Administrative Law Judge to appoint a settlement judge while the evidentiary hearing is being held in abeyance.
15. On September 15, 2008, AEP filed an answer to the protests. AEP contends that its protocols for review of its annual update are adequate, and consistent with or more extensive and customer friendly than the Commission has approved in other cases. ${ }^{26}$ Specifically, AEP argues that the protocols for annual updates places appropriate limits on inquiries and challenges that are related to the proper application of the formula, not to the just and reasonableness of the formula itself. AEP contends that the protestors incorrectly read the protocols as imposing limits on parties and the Commission, and that the protocols do not limit any party's FPA rights. ${ }^{27}$ AEP also contends that the protocols provide a reasonable process for ensuring that application of the formula rate, once determined by the Commission to be just and reasonable, is accurate.

[^41]16. In addition, AEP contends that the protestors seem to have confused the Formal Challenge within its protocols with a FPA section 206 complaint process. AEP argues that the protocols in its proposal contain no language prohibiting any party from raising any issue in a FPA section 206 complaint. AEP states that a complaint filed under the Formal Challenge procedures established by its protocols is filed pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. In this instance, AEP has the burden of proving that its annual update is consistent with the filed rate under a preliminary challenge. However, AEP contends that complainants would have that burden in complaints filed pursuant to FPA section 206.
17. AEP differentiates provisions related to material accounting changes from other provisions to determine the accuracy of its formula rate annual update. ${ }^{28}$ AEP contends that the central question surrounding the application of a material accounting change is not whether the change is consistent with the filed rate, rather whether the change renders the filed rate no longer just and reasonable. AEP contends that the question of whether a formula rate change proposed by an Interested Party in response to a material accounting change would change the original intent of the formula is relevant to the determination of the justness and reasonableness of such a proposed change. AEP contends that this is a reasonable attempt to limit unnecessary litigation over issues already addressed in the approval of the formula rate.
18. AEP objects to proposed language changes related to the burden of proof standards for challenges to the prudency of new expenditures. ${ }^{29}$ AEP contends that protestors proposed language has the potential to create additional issues for litigation, ${ }^{30}$ and that the language in the protocols is consistent with Commission precedent.
Additionally, AEP states that it did not intend to exclude any customer who is eligible to take service from the PJM OATT, and would be willing to change the definition of Interested Party. AEP also states that it did not intend to obligate parties to coordinate information requests.
19. AEP answers that its proposed average rate base calculation is appropriate. ${ }^{31}$ AEP also contends that its proposed return on equity is just and reasonable and supported by

[^42]its analysis, and that its proxy group selection is consistent with Commission precedent. ${ }^{32}$ AEP also disputes the cost-of-service issues raised by the protestors, agrees that some issues may warrant hearing or settlement procedures, and contends that many of these issues do not warrant a hearing for the Commission to address. ${ }^{33}$

## IV. Discussion

## A. Procedural Matters

20. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, ${ }^{34}$ the timely, unopposed motions to intervene serve to make the entities that filed them parties to this proceeding.
21. Rule 213(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, ${ }^{35}$ prohibits an answer to a protest or an answer unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority. We will accept AEP's answer because it has provided information that assisted us in our decision-making process.

## B. Substantive Determinations

22. We will accept, subject to a compliance filing as discussed below, and suspend AEP's proposed transmission cost of service formula rate for NITS service in PJM, to become effective March 1, 2009, subject to refund, and to the outcome of hearing and settlement judge procedures. In addition, we are granting the request for the 50 basis point adder for continued participation in an RTO. However, in conformity with $V E P C O,{ }^{36}$ we condition our acceptance on AEP's revising its proposed protocols to remove the restriction on the rights to challenge the underlying inputs into the formula rates and file complaints with the Commission and likewise the Commission's rights to act sua sponte under section 206.
[^43]
## 1. Acceptance and Suspension of the Formula Rate

23. The Commission has encouraged public utilities to explore the benefits of filing transmission-related formula rates. ${ }^{37}$ Further, the Commission has found that the use of formula rates encourages the construction and timely placement into service of needed transmission infrastructure. ${ }^{38}$
24. The protestors complain that AEP's proposed formula rates are unjust and unreasonable. The Maryland OPC requests that the Commission deny or reject AEP's formula rate proposal because it produces unreasonable results. Joint Intervenors and the Maryland OPC have protested various inputs to the formula rate and have requested clarification and supporting documentation for the reasonableness of many of the implementation protocols. The inputs to the formula rate are primarily from AEP companies' books and records. AEP proposes to true-up the plant estimates with actual data and provide interest on the differences.
25. We find that AEP's proposed formula rate raises issues of material fact that cannot be resolved based on the record before us, and are more appropriately addressed in the hearing ordered below. In order to allow the parties to fully investigate their concerns with the proposed formula rate inputs, we will not limit the scope of the issues included in the hearing ordered below, except to the extent that specific issues are addressed as discussed by this order.
26. Our preliminary analysis indicates that AEP's proposed revised tariff sheets have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. In West Texas, the Commission explained that, when our preliminary analysis indicates that proposed rates may be unjust and unreasonable and substantially excessive, the Commission will generally impose a maximum suspension (i.e., five months). ${ }^{39}$ In the instant proceeding, our preliminary analysis indicates that the proposed rates may be substantially excessive. Therefore, we

[^44]will accept AEP’s filing, suspend it for five months to be effective on March 1, 2009, subject to refund, and set it for hearing and settlement judge procedures.
27. AEP has proposed, as part of its formula rate, placeholders for the recovery of future incentives, should those incentives be authorized by the Commission. We direct AEP, in its formula template, to maintain a value of zero in all incentive placeholders. Should AEP seek authorization to recover incentives, AEP may file under section 205 of the FPA to replace the zero values in the placeholders with the approved amounts. ${ }^{40}$ Specifically with respect to CWIP that might be approved by the Commission, AEP will need to demonstrate in the relevant, future filing that it meets the applicable requirements.
28. In addition, AEP has included a placeholder for regulatory assets. We direct AEP, in its formula template, to maintain a value of zero for regulatory assets, which have not been approved. AEP may file pursuant to section 205 of the FPA to replace the zero value for such regulatory assets with appropriate amounts.
29. We also direct the parties at the hearing to ensure that the formula components, including the placeholders for future incentives, will work as intended and will reflect correctly incentives that may be authorized for specific projects. For example, the formula should be able to track incentives for individual projects, since all projects might not be approved for incentives or for the same incentives. ${ }^{41}$

## 2. Specific Finding On Incentive ROE

30. We will grant up to 50 basis points of incentive ROE for AEP's continued participation in PJM, subject to the conditions of this order and the zone of reasonable returns determined following the hearing ordered below. ${ }^{42}$ Our decision to grant AEP an incentive for participation in the PJM is consistent with the stated purpose of section 219

[^45]of the FPA ${ }^{43}$ - that the incentive applies to all utilities joining the transmission organization - and is intended to encourage AEP's continued involvement with PJM. ${ }^{44}$ Granting up to 50 basis points of incentive ROE does not remove any other issue pertaining to the ROE from consideration during the hearing and settlement judge procedures, including the appropriate proxy group and the screening criteria for the proxy group.

## 3. Specific Findings on Proposed Protocols

31. We address specific concerns regarding AEP's unilaterally-filed proposed protocols raised by the protests. While we support the use of review protocols for establishing a process for the orderly review of and challenges to the application of a formula rate during any annual update, the review protocols may not place limits on a party's ability to contest the inputs to a formula rate pursuant to a FPA section 206 complaint (or the Commission's rights to act sua sponte). ${ }^{45}$
32. The protocols define Interested Party as wholesale customers, affected utility regulatory commission or consumer advocate. Protestors contend that this limits participation, and AEP answers that this was not the intent of the protocols. The protocols may not limit participation allowed by the FPA. Accordingly, AEP needs to revise its protocols to expand the definition of the term Interested Party to include all parties having standing under section $206 .{ }^{46}$
33. The proposed protocols establish a process for review of inputs to the formula rate, and define time limits for raising Preliminary and Formal Challenges to the application of the formula rate, including challenges related to material accounting changes. ${ }^{47}$ Subsection 3(d) provides:
[^46]Subject to judicial review, each annual update shall become final and no longer subject to challenge pursuant to these Annual Review Protocols or by any other means by the FERC or any other entity on the later to occur of (i) passage of the twenty-one (21) day period (or extended period, if applicable) for making a Formal Challenge if no such challenge has been made and FERC has not initiated a proceeding to consider the Annual Update, or (ii) a final FERC order issued in response to a Formal Challenge or a proceeding initiated by FERC to consider the Annual Update. ${ }^{48}$
34. Although AEP claims that its protocols do not take away parties’ rights to challenge inputs into the formula, we read this provision as precluding such challenges after the 21 day period or an extended period. In approving any formula rate, the Commission approves the formula itself, the algebraic equation used to calculate the rates. It does not approve the inputs into the formula or the charges resulting from the application of the inputs to the algebraic equation. AEP has cited no authority permitting it to restrict the filing of a complaint under section 206 regarding the inputs used in the formula or the right of the Commission to institute a section 206 investigation. The courts have recognized that section 206 permits customers to challenge formula rates. ${ }^{49}$
35. The Commission's long-standing precedent is that, under formula rates, parties have the right to challenge the inputs to or the implementation of the formula at whatever time they discover errors in the inputs to or implementation of the formula. ${ }^{\mathbf{5 0}}$ Indeed,

[^47]customers may not uncover errors in data or imprudent or otherwise inappropriate costs until well after the challenge period. ${ }^{51}$
36. As we found in VEPCO, ${ }^{52}$ any challenge to the projected costs, True-Up Adjustment or Material Accounting Change would not require the complainant to bear the ultimate burden of proof. Rather, AEP continues to bear the ultimate burden of proof, i.e., to demonstrate the justness and reasonableness of the charges resulting from application of the formula rate, and it recognizes this burden in its proposed tariff sheets:

AEP shall bear the burden of proving that it has reasonably applied the terms of the Formula Rate, and the applicable procedures in these Formula Rate Implementation Protocols.... ${ }^{53}$
37. Accordingly, we will accept these provisions under the condition that AEP make a compliance filing within 30 days of the date of this order to revise the protocols so that they do not limit a customer's or the Commission's rights with respect to challenges to the inputs into the formula rate.

## C. Hearing and Settlement Judge Procedures

38. Joint Intervenors indicate that AEP has a history of working cooperatively toward settlement. Accordingly, while we are setting this matter for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, we encourage the parties to make every effort to settle their disputes before hearing procedures are commenced. To aid the parties in their settlement efforts, we will hold the hearing in abeyance and direct that a settlement judge be appointed, pursuant to Rule 603 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. ${ }^{54}$ If the parties desire,

Trading, Inc. v. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., 111 FERC If 61,062, at P 28 (2005); Quest Energy, L.L.C. v. The Detroit Edison Co., 106 FERC - 61,227, at P 21 (2004).
${ }^{51}$ See, e.g., Yankee Atomic Electric Co., 60 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,316, at 62,096-97 (1992) (allowing review of potentially imprudent costs charged to customers in prior-year formula rates).
${ }^{52}$ VEPCO, 123 FERC ब| 61,098 at P 47.
${ }^{53}$ OATT, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, First Revised Sheet No. 314C, Attachment H-14A, Section 3(c). AEP's proposed tariff provisions correctly find that any party challenging the formula rate itself would bear the burden of proof. Id., Section 2(e).

[^48]they may, by mutual agreement, request a specific judge as the settlement judge in the proceeding; otherwise, the Chief Judge will select a judge for this purpose. ${ }^{55}$ The settlement judge shall report to the Chief Judge and the Commission within 30 days of the date of the appointment of the settlement judge, concerning the status of settlement discussions. Based on this report, the Chief Judge shall provide the parties with additional time to continue their settlement discussions or provide for commencement of a hearing by assigning the case to a presiding judge.

## The Commission orders:

(A) AEP's proposed formula rate is hereby accepted for filing and suspended for five months, to become effective March 1, 2009, subject to refund and conditions, and to the outcome of the hearing and settlement judge procedures ordered below, as discussed in the body of this order.
(B) Within 30 days of the date of this order, AEP must make a compliance filing, as discussed in the body of this order.
(C) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act, and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 C.F.R., Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning AEP's proposed formula rate. However, the hearing shall be held in abeyance to provide time for settlement judge procedures, as discussed in Ordering Paragraphs (D) and (E) below.
(D) Pursuant to Rule 603 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.603 (2008), the Chief Administrative Law Judge is hereby directed to appoint a settlement judge in this proceeding within fifteen (15) days of the date of this order. Such settlement judge shall have all powers and duties enumerated in Rule 603 and shall convene a settlement conference as soon as practicable after the Chief Judge designates the settlement judge. If the parties decide to request a specific judge, they must make their request to the Chief Judge within five (5) days of the date of this order.

[^49](E) Within thirty (30) days of the appointment of the settlement judge, the settlement judge shall file a report with the Commission and with the Chief Judge on the status of the settlement discussions. Based on this report, the Chief Judge shall provide the parties with additional time to continue their settlement discussions, if appropriate, or assign this case to a presiding judge for a trial-type evidentiary hearing, if appropriate. If settlement discussions continue, the settlement judge shall file a report at least every sixty (60) days thereafter, informing the Commission and the Chief Judge of the parties’ progress toward settlement.
(F) If settlement judge procedures fail, and a trial-type evidentiary hearing is to be held, a presiding judge, to be designated by the Chief Judge, shall, within fifteen (15) days of the date of the presiding judge's designation, convene a prehearing conference in this proceeding in a hearing room of the Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426. Such conference shall be held for the purpose of establishing a procedural schedule. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates, and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

By the Commission.
(SEAL)

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary.
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Pepco Holdings, Inc.
Docket No. ER08-1423-000

## ORDER ON TRANSMISSION RATE INCENTIVES AND PROPOSED RATE FORMULA MODIFICATIONS

(Issued October 31, 2008)

1. On August 18, 2008, Pepco Holdings, Inc. (PHI), on behalf of its transmissionowning public utility affiliates, ${ }^{1}$ filed revised tariff sheets to the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) Open Access Transmission Tariff pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), ${ }^{2}$ Part 35 of the Commission's regulations, ${ }^{3}$ and Order Nos. 679 and $679-\mathrm{A}^{4}$ to implement certain transmission rate incentives for its Mid-Atlantic Power Pathway (MAPP) Project. The MAPP Project was identified in the PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Plan (RTEP) as a baseline project and has been approved by the PJM Board of Managers (PJM Board). PHI requests an effective date of November 1, 2008, for the tariff sheets submitted. For the reasons discussed below, we grant PHI's

[^50]request for transmission rate incentives for the MAPP Project, to be effective November 1, 2008.

## I. Background

## A. Description of the Company

2. Atlantic City Electric Company and Delmarva Power \& Light Company are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Conectiv which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PHI. Potomac Electric Power Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PHI. The PHI Companies provide electric transmission and distribution, and gas distribution services to several states along the Atlantic seaboard and are regulated by the Commission and various state commissions. ${ }^{5}$

## B. The MAPP Project

3. The MAPP Project is a $500 \mathrm{kV}, 230$-mile transmission line that begins at Virginia Electric and Power Company's Possum Point substation in Virginia, crosses southern Maryland (including an above-ground crossing of the Potomac and Patuxent Rivers), includes a 10-12 mile submarine crossing of the Chesapeake Bay, traverses the Delmarva Peninsula crosses the Delaware River, and ends in southern New Jersey. ${ }^{6}$
4. The MAPP Project was approved as a PJM RTEP baseline project with a projected construction cost of nearly $\$ 1.05$ billion, for which PHI is responsible to construct approximately $\$ 950$ million. PHI explains that line construction will be completed in segments, and as each segment is completed, it will be placed into service. PHI states that the full line is expected to be placed into service by $2013 .{ }^{7}$
5. In describing the reliability benefits, PHI explains that the prevailing flows of electricity in PJM are from west to east, and are restricted at three main points: the eastern interface, the central interface, and the western interface. These interfaces impose binding constraints on PJM's ability to import power to the eastern Mid-Atlantic and Baltimore/Washington/Northern Virginia load centers, often resulting in congestion charges and out-of-merit generation dispatch. ${ }^{8}$

[^51]6. The PJM 2007 RTEP includes four major backbone transmission lines: the Susquehanna-Roseland Line, the Amos - Beddington - Kemptown Line (the PATH Project), ${ }^{9}$ the 502 Junction-Loudoun 500kV Line (the TRAIL Project), ${ }^{10}$ and the MAPP Project. ${ }^{11}$ PJM made a determination as part of the 2007 RTEP that the MAPP Project is one of the major backbone transmission line solutions needed to resolve numerous NERC reliability criteria violations that would be encountered beginning in 2012. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2}}$
7. PHI states that PJM has made reliability findings that the MAPP Project will resolve 33 overloads on several interfaces in the Mid-Atlantic region, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}}$ and will bring congestion relief and reliability benefits to the Baltimore-Washington area despite the retirement of Benning and Buzzards Point Generating units. The MAPP Project will improve reactive performance equivalent to approximately 2,500 MVARs in Eastern PJM, ${ }^{14}$ and create a new west to east path across the PJM interface providing a conduit for energy from new generation in northern Virginia and Southern Maryland into the Baltimore-Washington area. ${ }^{15}$
8. PHI states that the MAPP Project will provide a second 500 kV transmission line supplying the Delmarva Peninsula, lessening the potential for blackouts and brownouts as a result of reliance on one transmission source into the peninsula. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{PHI}$ also provides

[^52]evidence that the MAPP Project will provide access to more than 1,300 MW of renewable wind generation in the western portion of PJM. ${ }^{17}$
9. In describing the economic benefits of the MAPP Project, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{PHI}$ demonstrates that if the MAPP Project were constructed solely as an AC line, it would provide $\$ 113$ million of annual savings to the Mid-Atlantic region, and $\$ 70$ million of annual savings to the entire PJM region. If the portion of the MAPP Project crossing the Chesapeake Bay is built as a 640 kV HVDC line, the annual savings across the Mid-Atlantic region would increase to $\$ 174$ million and $\$ 91$ million for the entire PJM region, with production costs dropping by $\$ 58$ million annually for the entire PJM region. ${ }^{19}$

## C. Technology Statement

10. Order No. 679 requires an applicant to provide a technology statement that describes any advanced technology the project will use. PHI provided a technology statement that proposes several different types of advanced transmission technologies mentioned in section 1223 of EPAct 2005. The proposed technologies include: advanced HVDC technology, underwater AC cable, phase angle regulators, switchable shunt reactors, advanced conductor materials, microprocessor-based relays, digital fault recorders, fiber optic protection and communication links, substation-wide area networks, integrated substation automation and equipment and line monitoring. ${ }^{20}$
11. PHI states that they are awaiting a decision from PJM on whether to proceed with a 500 kV AC cable or a 640 kV Voltage Source Converter HVDC underwater crossing of the Chesapeake Bay. If the AC option is chosen, PHI states that the MAPP Project will likely be the highest capacity AC submarine cable system anywhere in the world. In the event the HVDC option is chosen, PHI states that the resulting cable will be completely unprecedented in its size and application. Under either option, the submarine line will be installed approximately six to fifteen feet below the bottom of the Chesapeake Bay. ${ }^{21}$

[^53]12. PHI states that the MAPP Project will utilize $1,000 \mathrm{MW}$ phase angle regulators to control power flow on the system. Although similar in function to the existing phase angle regulators, PHI states that the size of these units make them uncommon. The project will also implement switchable shunt reactors which will be installed at substations to control voltage levels on high-voltage transmission lines. In addition, these units unlike others in the industry will employ self-monitoring devices.
13. PHI asserts that the MAPP Project will also utilize advanced conductor materials such as exotic metallurgical composites, non-metallic cores, and specialized hardware and materials in the manufacture and design of conductors. PHI states that these advanced conductors permit an increase in power flows across existing right of ways without an increase in tower height, maximize the existing width of rights of ways for the addition of new towers, and allow for optimized structure application. PHI also plans to use microprocessor-based relays and digital fault recorders that represent a digital enhancement of electromechanical relays and analog fault recorders. PHI claims that microprocessor-based relays and digital fault recorders provide a higher level of performance, reliability, and efficiency than their analog counterparts.
14. Additionally, fiber optic protection and communication links will provide highspeed, reliable communications. PHI states that substation-wide area networks will be used to provide high-speed communication utilizing industry standard Ethernet capabilities at PHI's substations. ${ }^{22}$ These networks will allow for additional data gathering from across the network leading to increased information and feedback. PHI states that integrated substation automation and equipment and line monitoring refer to "smart" remote terminal units, "smart" sensors, and other sensors that permit the remote and at times automatic operation and monitoring of substations, equipment, and interconnecting circuits that will make up the MAPP Project. ${ }^{23}$
15. PHI asserts that the combined effect of these advanced technologies will be to render the MAPP Project a "Smart Grid." PHI explains that at the transmission level, "smart grid" features should allow the grid operator considerably more control, and provide better optimization of resources, than a typical transmission system. Among other key goals of a "smart grid" at the transmission level, PHI lists the Project's abilities to: (1) optimize assets and operate efficiently; (2) minimize sags, spikes, and other disturbances; (3) correct any problems quickly and with a minimum of intervention by the grid operator; and (4) monitor, self-analyze and diagnose the health and condition of

[^54]equipment, and predict the malfunction or failure of a device before the event occurs in order to take action to prevent the malfunction or failure from occurring. ${ }^{24}$
16. PHI's filing includes significant discussion of this subject including its efforts to make its investments in the MAPP Project support interoperability of "smart grid" equipment and conformance with new or emerging standards in this area. As part of this interoperability effort, PHI has committed to ". . . provide a method of upgrading systems and firmware remotely (through the data network as opposed to local/site upgrades) and ensure that unforeseen problems or changes can be quickly and easily made by PHI engineers and system operators on short notice., ${ }^{25}$

## D. Incentive Rate Proposal

17. PHI requests Commission authorization for the following incentives: (1) a 150basis point return on equity (ROE) adder for the MAPP Project to be added, not to a midpoint return, but rather to its previously-accepted 11.3 percent ROE, resulting in an overall ROE of 12.8 percent, (2) authorization to recover 100 percent of construction work in progress (CWIP); and (3) authorization to recover 100 percent of all prudentlyincurred development and construction costs if the MAPP Project is abandoned or cancelled for reasons beyond the control of the PHI Companies. PHI also submits proposed amendments to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff necessary to permit the PHI Companies to recover the rate treatments requested in this filing.
18. PHI asserts that the MAPP Project ensures regional reliability by eliminating anticipated overloading of transmission facilities and preserves competition by improving import capability. PHI states that it is bound by its prior settlement to apply any requested ROE incentives to a base ROE of 10.8 percent. ${ }^{26}$ According to the settlement provisions, multiple ROE incentives are added cumulatively to this base ROE of 10.8 percent. Since the settlement, PHI was also granted a 50 basis point adder for RTO participation, bringing the adjusted ROE from which to add incentives to 11.3 percent. ${ }^{27}$ The resultant ROE for the MAPP Project if this application is granted will be 12.8 percent, which will be implemented through PHI Companies' individual formula rates.

[^55]19. In addressing incentive eligibility, PHI states that MAPP Project satisfies the Commission's requirements under Order No. 679 that "the facilities for which [a public utility] seeks incentives either ensure reliability or reduce the cost of delivered power by reducing transmission congestion consistent with the requirements of section 219 [of the Federal Power Act] . . ,,, 28 and that "the total package of incentives is tailored to address the demonstrable risks and challenges faced by the applicant in undertaking the project. . .., ${ }^{29}$ PHI states that the requested incentives also fulfill Order No. 679's requirement that the "resulting rates are just and reasonable,"30 as discussed in more detail below.

## II. Notice of Filing and Responsive Pleadings

20. Notice of PHI's filing was published in the Federal Register, 73 Fed. Reg. 51,46051,461 (2008), with interventions and protests due on or before September 8, 2008.
Timely interventions were filed by Public Service Electric \& Gas Co., PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM), Exelon Corporation, Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, Allegheny Power and Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Co., "FPL Energy Generators,"31 and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.
21. The Public Service Commission of Maryland (Maryland Commission) filed a late notice of intervention and comments, and the Maryland Office of People's Counsel (Maryland People's Counsel) filed a late motion to intervene, protest, and request for hearing. ${ }^{32}$ The New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel and the Office of People's Counsel of the District of Columbia filed late motions to intervene. On September 19, 2008, PHI filed a motion for leave to answer and answer to the protests. On October 10, 2008, the Delaware Public Service Commission (Delaware PSC) filed a late motion to intervene and comments out of time. On October 16, 2008, PHI filed a motion for leave to answer and answer to the Delaware PSC protest.
${ }^{28}$ PHI Transmittal Letter at 8 (citing 18 C.F.R. § 35.35(d)).
${ }^{29}$ PHI Transmittal Letter at 9 (citing Order No. 679, FERC Stats. \& Regs. If 31,222 at P 48).
${ }^{30}$ PHI Transmittal Letter at 9 (citing 18 C.F.R. § 35.35(d)).
${ }^{31}$ FPL Energy Generators consist of FPL Energy Marcus Hook, L.P., North Jersey Energy Associates, L.P., Doswell Limited Partnership, Backbone Mountain Windpower LLC, Mill Run Windpower LLC, Somerset Windpower LLC, Meyersdale Windpower LLC, Waymart Wind Farm, LP, and Pennsylvania Windfarms, Inc.
${ }^{32}$ Both the Maryland Commission and Maryland People's Counsel cite technical difficulties with the Commission's E-Filing system.

## III. Discussion

## A. Procedural Matters

22. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2008), the notices of intervention and timely, unopposed motions to intervene serve to make the entities that filed them parties to this proceeding.
23. In view of the early stage of this proceeding, the parties' interests and the interests of the citizens they represent, and the absence of undue prejudice or delay, the Commission grants the motions to intervene out-of-time of the Maryland Commission, Maryland People's Counsel, the Office of People's Counsel of the District of Columbia, the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, and the Delaware Public Service Commission, pursuant to Rule 214(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.
24. Rule 213(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. $\S 385.213(\mathrm{a})(2)(2008)$, prohibits an answer to a protest unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority. We will accept the answers from PHI because they have provided information that assisted us in our decision-making process.

## B. Incentives Request

## 1. Section 219 Demonstration

25. PHI states that the MAPP Project satisfies the rebuttable presumption and the requirements of section 219 by virtue of its approval in the PJM RTEP as a baseline project, and based upon the reliability and congestion issues that the MAPP Project will resolve. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{PHI}$ also asserts that "the MAPP Project will strengthen reliability and reduce congestion., ${ }^{34}$ PHI provides a detailed listing of reliability benefits of the MAPP Project, ${ }^{35}$ demonstrating reliability benefits throughout the PJM footprint. ${ }^{36}$
26. PHI estimates that the MAPP Project will significantly improve the voltage profile and reactive performance equivalent to approximately $2,500 \mathrm{MVARs}$ in the eastern PJM

[^56]region. ${ }^{37} \mathrm{PHI}$ states the recent analysis from outside experts demonstrates that the project will allow a minimum of $2,500 \mathrm{MW}$ of transfer capability across the eastern PJM region. PHI states that if it is authorized by PJM to incorporate HVDC technology into the MAPP Project, then the additional transfer capability will increase to $5,100 \mathrm{MW} .^{38}$
27. PHI states that there are also environmental benefits associated with the MAPP Project, giving the Mid-Atlantic region access to substantial wind resources in the western and southern portion of PJM.
28. PHI notes that the MAPP Project is also located within the Mid-Atlantic Area National Electric Transmission Corridor designated by the Department of Energy in October 2007. ${ }^{39}$

## a. Protests

29. No parties protest that the MAPP Project satisfies the rebuttable presumption.

## b. Commission Determination

30. In the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005), Congress added section 219 to the FPA - directing the Commission to establish, by rule, incentive-based rate treatments to promote capital investment in transmission infrastructure. The Commission subsequently issued Order No. 679, which set forth processes by which a public utility could seek transmission rate incentives pursuant to section 219.
31. Order No. 679 provides that a public utility may file a petition for declaratory order or a section 205 filing to obtain incentive rate treatment for transmission infrastructure investment that satisfies the requirements of section 219. That is, the applicant must demonstrate that the facilities for which it seeks incentives either ensure reliability or reduce the cost of delivered power by reducing transmission congestion. ${ }^{40}$ Order No. 679 established a process for an applicant to follow to demonstrate that it meets this standard, including a rebuttable presumption that the standard is met if: (i) the

[^57]transmission project results from a fair and open regional planning process that considers and evaluates projects for reliability and/or congestion and is found to be acceptable to the Commission; or (ii) a project has received construction approval from an appropriate state commission or state siting authority. ${ }^{41}$ Order No. 679-A clarifies the operation of this rebuttable presumption by noting that the authorities and/or processes on which it is based (such as a regional planning process, state commission, or siting authority) must, in fact, consider whether the project ensures reliability or reduces the cost of delivered power by reducing congestion. ${ }^{42}$
32. We find that the MAPP Project meets the requirements of section 219 as a result of the rebuttable presumption established in Order No. 679. It was included in the PJM RTEP as a baseline project, which means that PJM determined that the project is regional in nature and will mitigate congestion or ensure PJM's ability to continue to serve load reliably.

## 2. Nexus Demonstration

33. PHI states that the Commission has clarified that the nexus test is met when an applicant demonstrates that the total package of incentives requested is tailored to address the demonstrable risks or challenges faced by the applicant, and that in evaluating whether the applicant has met this test it has found the question of whether a project is "routine" to be particularly probative. ${ }^{43} \mathrm{PHI}$ notes that in considering whether a project is routine the Commission stated that it will consider all relevant factors presented by the applicant, including project's scope, effect, and the challenges or risks faced by the project. ${ }^{44}$
34. On scope, PHI states that the MAPP Project is the largest infrastructure project ever undertaken by PHI, and forms the core of its transmission expansion plans over the next decade. PHI states that annual MAPP construction expenditures will average \$180 million/year, which is triple the PHI Companies' historic annual average investment

[^58]levels. PHI further states that the MAPP Project will virtually double the PHI Companies' transmission rate base of $\$ 942$ million. ${ }^{45}$
35. In terms of effect, PHI demonstrates that the MAPP Project will significantly improve voltage profile and reactive performance equivalent to approximately 2,500 MVARs in the eastern PJM region. ${ }^{46}$ PHI states the recent analysis from outside experts demonstrates that the project will allow a minimum of $2,500 \mathrm{MW}$ of transfer capability across the eastern PJM region. PHI states that if it is authorized by PJM to incorporate HVDC technology into the MAPP Project, then the additional transfer capability will increase to $5,100 \mathrm{MW} .{ }^{47}$ Further, PHI asserts that the project will provide access to renewable energy.
36. PHI presents that it faces risks and challenges that merit the full incentives in terms of financial risk, regulatory risk, environmental risk, and technology risk. PHI explains that the size, complexity, and risk inherent in the MAPP Project are larger than any other project the PHI Companies have undertaken in history, and the incentives are vital to PHI's ability to access capital markets on reasonable terms. ${ }^{48} \mathrm{PHI}$ explains that the largest source of funding will be from external sources and will include corporate debt and PHI's issuances of common equity. ${ }^{49}$
37. On financial risk, PHI states that the substantial outlay of cash could weaken PHI's credit rating over the near- and mid-term. ${ }^{50} \mathrm{PHI}$ cites one debt coverage metric, FFO/Debt. ${ }^{51} \mathrm{PHI}$ states that for 2007 PHI 's FFO/Debt ratio was 16.1 percent. Without incentives, the FFO/Debt would decline to 13.5 percent by 2011. Granting all of the incentives reduces PHI's FFO/Debt ratio to 15.4 percent during the construction period,

[^59]but it keeps it within the acceptable range, thereby protecting PHI's credit rating from being downgraded to below investment grade. ${ }^{52}$
38. Moody's benchmark FFO/Debt ratio for utilities such as PHI is a range of 13 percent to 25 percent. However, PHI cites to several reports by Moody's Investors Service and Standard and Poor's, indicating that both Moody's and Standard and Poor's will take a negative rating action if the PHI Companies are unable to maintain higher than average debt coverage metrics during its intensive capital investment program. ${ }^{53}$ PHI stresses therefore, that it cannot afford for the FFO/Debt ratio to weaken any further.
39. PHI explains that companies with non-investment grade credit rating bear higher costs of borrowing, less access to capital, and in unfavorable market periods, they can be effectively shut out of the capital markets - an unacceptable result for a capital intensive company like PHI. ${ }^{54}$
40. Additionally, PHI concludes that "including CWIP in rate base would ease the financial pressure on the PHI Companies associated with the MAPP Project by improving cash flow and providing greater regulatory certainty, both of which are instrumental in supporting the PHI Companies financial integrity and ability to attract new capital., ${ }^{55}$
41. PHI states that CWIP incentive treatment will result in lower transmission rates for customers over the life of the MAPP Project, ${ }^{56}$ while providing $\$ 125$ million in additional cash flow during the construction phase. ${ }^{57} \mathrm{PHI}$ further notes the increased financial stresses of the project are due to the substantial financial outlay required and the long lead-time, as the projected completion date is in 2013.

[^60]42. PHI states that the abandonment incentive will provide for certainty of cost recovery to investors and consumers alike for such a large-scale high-risk project such as the MAPP Project. ${ }^{58}$
43. On regulatory risk, PHI states that the MAPP Project requires numerous federal and state regulatory approvals in Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, and New Jersey. In particular, because it will be the first-ever crossing of the Chesapeake Bay, the MAPP Project will require approvals for new rights-of-way. ${ }^{59}$ PHI provides a working list of more than 30 regulatory approvals that will be needed for the MAPP Project, ${ }^{\mathbf{6 0}}$ an additional list of more than 70 government agencies that will need to be consulted for the MAPP Project, ${ }^{61}$ and a list of more than 50 additional non-governmental agencies that PHI will solicit input from during the MAPP permitting process. ${ }^{62}$
44. On environmental risks, PHI states that approximately 20 percent of the MAPP Project will traverse new rights-of-way over wetlands and similarly-sensitive areas, requiring field studies on threatened and endangered species, possibly causing significant delays in the project schedule. PHI illustrates several environmental approvals that are required as part of the project, taking into consideration such issues as oyster beds, subaqueous vegetation, shipwrecks, essential fish habitats, bathymetry, and wetlands. ${ }^{63}$
45. On technology risks, PHI states that some of the technologies that it is proposing to use are unprecedented, requiring specialized personnel and equipment. PHI states that the underwater portion of the MAPP Project is without precedent, whether AC or DC technology is used; it will be the highest capacity submarine cable system in the world. ${ }^{64}$
46. PHI argues the record supports a finding that the MAPP Project is material in scope, non-routine, faces identifiable financing and completion risks, and will address

[^61]regionally-identified reliability and/or economic objectives as determined independently by the regional planning entity.

## a. Protests

47. Maryland People's Counsel's witness Peter J. Lanzalotta asserts that because the PJM RTEP requires PHI to construct the MAPP Project, incentives are not a necessary condition for PHI to build. Maryland People's Counsel states that PHI has failed to demonstrate that there is a valid nexus between the incentives sought and the investment made.
48. The Delaware PSC states that while PHI asserts that ratepayers would save approximately $\$ 200$ million over the term of the MAPP Project as well as avoid rate shock by including CWIP in rate base, PHI provides no support for this analysis, nor does this analysis take into account the fact that the project will be completed and placed into service in stages. ${ }^{65}$
49. The Delaware PSC states that PHI has not made an adequate showing as to whether the incentive rate treatment is warranted, or whether it will result in just and reasonable rates.

## b. Answers

50. PHI asserts that Maryland People's Counsel ignores the essential elements of the Commission's nexus standard and its protest should therefore be rejected. According to PHI, the essential question in a nexus analysis is whether or not a proposed project is routine. To determine whether a project is routine, PHI states that the Commission examines three factors: (1) the scope of the project; (2) the effect of the project; (3) the challenges faced by the project - and the MAPP Project meets all these factors. In contrast, PHI answers that Maryland People's Counsel disregards all these factors and states that the package of incentives has been appropriately adjusted commensurate with the risks of the project.
51. PHI asserts that for the aforementioned reasons the Commission should accept its application in this proceeding without condition or hearing.

## c. Commission Determination

52. In addition to satisfying the section 219 requirement of ensuring reliability or reducing the cost of delivered power by reducing congestion, an applicant must

[^62]demonstrate that there is a nexus between the incentive sought and the investment being made. In Order No. 679-A, the Commission clarified that the nexus test is met when an applicant demonstrates that the total package of incentives requested is "tailored to address the demonstrable risks or challenges faced by the applicant." ${ }^{\text {"66 }}$ As part of our evaluation of whether the incentives requested are tailored to address the demonstrable risks or challenges faced by the applicant, the Commission has found the question of whether a project is "routine" to be particularly probative. In $B G \& E,{ }^{67}$ the Commission clarified how it will evaluate projects to determine whether they are routine. Specifically, to determine whether a project is routine, the Commission will consider all relevant factors presented by the applicant. For example, an applicant may present evidence on: (i) the scope of the project (e.g., dollar investment, increase in transfer capability, involvement of multiple entities or jurisdictions, size, effect on region); (ii) the effect of the project (e.g., improving reliability or reducing congestion costs); and (iii) the challenges or risks faced by the project (e.g., siting, internal competition for financing with other projects, long lead times, regulatory and political risks, specific financing challenges, other impediments).
53. As discussed below, we find that PHI has sufficiently demonstrated a nexus by demonstrating that the MAPP Project is not routine, based on the project's scope, effects, and risks and challenges.
54. As to the scope of the project, an applicant may, as in Duquesne Light Company, ${ }^{68}$ compare the total investment in a range of projects to some other aggregate measure of investment, such as total rate base or recent annual investment levels, as delineated in $B G \& E .^{\mathbf{6 9}}$ Here, PHI has taken the approach delineated in $B G \& E$, comparing its investment to recent annual investment levels. PHI indicates that the PHI Companies’ project will require significant capital investments, up to $\$ 950$ million, which will virtually double the combined PHI Companies' transmission rate base.
55. We find that the MAPP Project will improve import capability, reduce congestion, and improve reliability in the mid-Atlantic region. We agree with PHI that the incentives will promote those goals by recognizing the importance of these new facilities and the risks inherent in bringing them to completion.

[^63]56. We reject Maryland People's Counsel's assertion that because PHI has an obligation to build the facilities that PJM requires in RTEP, it should not be granted incentives. PHI has made a sufficient demonstration that this Project is not a routine investment made in the ordinary course of expanding its system. Moreover, it has demonstrated that it will face multiple risks and challenges in constructing the project, and that the requested package of incentives is necessary to preserve PHI's financial health.
57. In $B G \& E$, we found that the challenges or risks faced by a project can include: siting, internal competition for financing with other projects, long lead times, regulatory risks, specific financing challenges and other similar impediments. ${ }^{70}$ Incentives help to counter these risks and thereby send the correct message to transmission owners and the investors who supply the capital to build transmission. PHI has demonstrated similar challenges and risks here. We agree that PHI will face competition for financing of the project while at the same time maintaining positive financial metrics and credit ratings to avoid increased borrowing costs. ${ }^{71}$ We also agree that the incentives will address financial, technology-related, regulatory, and construction risks.
58. As noted above, the project will require input from more than 100 agencies and cross multiple states; an important factor in consideration of risk in Order No. 679. ${ }^{72}$ This project also presents an unprecedented capital investment for the PHI Companies.
59. We also find that the abandonment incentive will be an effective means to encourage the MAPP Project's completion. For example, in addition to challenges presented by its scope and size, the MAPP Project requires approvals from multiple municipalities, multiple state siting authorities, and various federal approvals. Moreover, the MAPP Project risks cancellation should it fail to receive siting authority. These factors introduce a significant element of risk; authorizing abandonment will help ameliorate this risk by providing PHI with some degree of certainty as it moves forward.
60. In Order No. 679, the Commission established a policy that allows utilities to include, where appropriate, 100 percent of prudently-incurred transmission-related CWIP in rate base. ${ }^{73}$ It noted that this rate treatment will further the goals of section 219 by

[^64]providing up-front regulatory certainty, rate stability, and improved cash flow for applicants, thereby reducing the pressures on their finances caused by investing in transmission projects. ${ }^{74}$ We find that the PHI Companies have shown a nexus between the proposed CWIP incentive and their investment in the MAPP Project.
61. Consistent with Order No. 679, we find that authorizing 100 percent of CWIP treatment for the MAPP Project will enhance the PHI Companies' cash flow, reduce interest expense, assist with financing, and improve coverage ratios used by rating agencies to determine credit quality by replacing non-cash Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) with cash earnings. PHI has also committed to employ appropriate accounting controls in place to prevent charging customers for both capitalized AFUDC and CWIP for the MAPP Project, as discussed further herein. ${ }^{75}$
62. Cash flow projections provided in Exhibit PHI-21 indicate a CWIP recovery to total over $\$ 125$ million during the construction period from 2008 to 2012 for the MAPP Project. ${ }^{76}$ The Commission believes this substantial increase in cash flow will greatly assist PHI's ability to obtain financing for the project because it will lower the amount of debt PHI would need to issue by improving PHI's FFO/Debt ratio. ${ }^{77}$ This, in turn, will reduce the risk of a downgrade in the PHI Companies' corporate credit and debt ratings.
63. We also find that allowing PHI to recover 100 percent of CWIP in its rate base for this project will result in better rate stability for customers. As we have explained in prior orders, ${ }^{78}$ we find that, without CWIP in rate base, a new project has no direct effect on consumer prices until it begins being used to provide service. The MAPP Project is estimated to cost $\$ 1.05$ billion, with PHI having a responsibility for $\$ 950$ million, and has a lead time of several years. If the Commission does not permit PHI to recover CWIP in rate base, all of its MAPP Project borrowing costs will be accrued over several years, and then capitalized after the MAPP Project goes into service, along with a return of the investment cost through depreciation. Such a process has the potential to produce a rate shock for consumers. By permitting PHI to recover CWIP, the Commission is mitigating this rate shock to consumers. For example, PHI has demonstrated that over the life of the

[^65]project customers will experience overall revenue savings of $\$ 200$ million as a result of the CWIP incentive and cessation of AFUDC. ${ }^{79}$

## 3. Total Package

64. PHI states that there is no need for the Commission to reduce the 12.8 percent ROE in light of the non-ROE incentives for several reasons. First, PHI states that the Commission has concluded that, "in some instances, where the risks and challenges faced by a new investment are substantial, we may grant an ROE at the top end of the zone of reasonableness., ${ }^{80}$
65. PHI concludes that the MAPP Project is such a project. PHI states that the high end of the zone of reasonableness here is 15.6 percent and therefore, were PHI requesting only an ROE incentive, it would be appropriate to receive a 15.6 percent ROE in light of the substantial risks and challenges presented in this case. ${ }^{\mathbf{8 1}}$
66. PHI claims, however, in light of the package of incentives, that it has adjusted its request to a 12.8 percent ROE rather than the high end of the zone. PHI asserts that the ROE "is already significantly below the high end of the ROE zone of reasonableness." PHI states that "the incentive ROE requested by the PHI Companies falls below the middle of the upper end of the [discounted cash flow analysis] range," and therefore, has already been adjusted downward. ${ }^{\mathbf{8 2}}$
67. PHI also asserts that inclusion of 100 percent of CWIP in rate base, while supporting the PHI Companies' credit standing, will not have a measurable effect on investment risk. ${ }^{83}$ PHI states that the Commission distinguished between incentives that reduce risk, and CWIP in Order No. 679-A at P 38. PHI argues that while the abandonment incentive may reduce risk, this reduction is offset by the uncertainties inherent in the future section 205 filing requirement if abandonment recovery is sought.
${ }^{79}$ Heintz Test. Ex. No. PHI-30 at 6-7.
${ }^{80}$ Dr. William E. Avera Test. (Avera Test.) Ex. No. PHI-24 at 89 citing Order No. $679-\mathrm{A}$ at P 67.
${ }^{81}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI -24 at 89.
${ }^{82}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI -24 at 89-91, referencing the discounted cash flow analysis (DCF) provided in its application.
${ }^{83}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI -24 at 90.
68. PHI states that the Commission should also take into consideration the extensive use of advanced technologies and smart grid technology in this case, in keeping with the Commission's past willingness to grant incentives for the use of advanced technologies. ${ }^{\mathbf{8 4}}$
69. PHI states that "[t]he MAPP Project incorporates far more advanced technology than any other project that has been submitted to the Commission for incentive rates, even those that have attempted to incorporate substantial advanced technology. ${ }^{, 85}$ For example, PHI compares the advanced technologies in the MAPP Project with those that the Commission approved for the Southern California Edison projects in Docket No. EL08-62-000 and the PATH Project in Docket No. ER08-386-000. PHI states that the technologies incorporated in the MAPP Project far exceed both the Southern California Edison and PATH Projects. ${ }^{86}$
70. Finally, PHI asserts that "the 12.8 percent ROE requested by the PHI Companies falls below the return approved by the Commission for other similarly situated transmission projects, which also included multiple incentives., ${ }^{87}$ PHI concludes that therefore, " $[\mathrm{t}]$ here is no basis for a downward adjustment." ${ }^{88}$

## a. Protests

71. The Maryland Commission states that while it supports the use of appropriate rate incentives for transmission investment providing regional benefits the resulting rates must be just and reasonable. The Maryland Commission, the Delaware PSC, and Maryland People's Counsel argue that the level of PHI's requested ROE incentive adder does not take into account the reduction in risk associated with PHI's formula rate recovery, PHI's proposed recovery of 100 percent CWIP, and PHI's proposed recovery of 100 percent of abandonment costs.
72. The Maryland Commission acknowledges that the direct testimony of PHI witness Kamerick, ${ }^{89}$ appears to address a need for both ROE and CWIP stating that " $[t]$ hough an
${ }^{84}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI-24 at 91 (internal citations omitted).
${ }^{85}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI-19 at 20.
${ }^{86}$ Ex. No. PHI 19 at 20-21.
${ }^{87}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI-24 at 92.
${ }^{88}$ Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI-24 at 6, and 90.
${ }^{89}$ Kamerick Test. Ex. No. PHI-21 at 22.
incentive ROE and CWIP in rate base provides some similar benefits both are critically needed and complement one another." However, the Maryland Commission states that "In contrast, the PHI filing does not appear to address the connection between the guarantee of 100 percent recovery of abandonment costs and the level of the requested [ROE] incentive." ${ }^{90}$
73. Maryland People's Counsel cites to the direct testimony of its witness, Peter J. Lanzalotta, who argues, "[F]ormula rates that track current costs accurately reduce a disincentive to construct transmission and were a factor that was considered by at least one state regulatory agency in supporting the PHI Companies' request at FERC for formula rates. ${ }^{, 91}$ Maryland People's Counsel also cites to the assurance of cost recovery in Delaware through a settlement in the Delaware Standard Offer Service Docket No. 04391. For these reasons, parties assert that the ROE incentive should either be denied or more narrowly tailored to reflect the reduced risk faced by PHI. ${ }^{\mathbf{9 2}}$ The Maryland Commission and the Delaware PSC request settlement and hearing proceedings to ensure that the incentives will not result in transmission charges that are unjust and unreasonable. Further, the Delaware PSC requests that the Commission consider suspension because of the extraordinary 100 percent increase in rate base that will result from inclusion of the MAPP Project in rates when the MAPP Project goes into service.

## b. Answers

74. PHI disputes Maryland People's Counsel's contention that cost-recovery in retail transmission rates are guaranteed. PHI states that its subsidiary companies are loadserving entities in PJM with an obligation to provide Standard Offer Service with a corresponding purchase of supply and network transmission service from PJM. Each jurisdiction requires a filing and state commission approval to allow recovery of these costs and therefore, PHI asserts that timely cost recovery could be at risk.

## c. Commission Determination

75. PHI has sufficiently demonstrated that the MAPP Project faces risks and challenges that warrant the full package of incentives including the ROE incentive. We are not persuaded by the parties' protests that the 150 basis point incentive is unreasonable. The 150 basis point adder is reasonable in light of the risks of this project. The MAPP Project is a high voltage 500 kV line, extending 230 miles, crossing through

[^66]four states, and providing access to more than $1,300 \mathrm{MW}$ of renewable wind generation in the western portion of PJM. ${ }^{93}$ The projected cost of this project is substantial, with the PHI's share amounting to $\$ 950$ million, creating financial risks for PHI. PHI also faces regulatory and other risks, as fully explained above.
76. We further find that PHI's use of advanced technology warrants the 150 basis point adder. The MAPP Project will incorporate the only 500 kV underwater cable in the world with $2,500 \mathrm{MW}$ of transfer capability. ${ }^{94} \mathrm{PHI}$ is also incorporating smart grid technology, to improve reliability and efficiency of the electric system. In particular, PHI is utilizing advanced sensors and controls across the entirety of the project, as well as the high-speed communications and IT infrastructure needed to make full use of this level of data and control options, and is committed to interoperability of smart grid equipment and conformance with new or emerging standards in this area.
77. This project provides significant regional benefits both from an economic and reliability standpoint. PJM has found that the MAPP Project will resolve 33 overloads on several interfaces in the Mid-Atlantic region, ${ }^{95}$ and will provide a minimum of 2,500 MW of transfer capability. In addition to providing needed transmission capacity, the use of this advanced technology will improve the reliability and efficiency of the electric system. We also note that the ROE incentive granted here is not near the high end of the zone of reasonableness.
78. We find that this combination of factors merits the package of incentives requested and granted herein. ${ }^{96}$ We also find that the requested incentives and the formula rate are

[^67]not mutually exclusive but together will encourage investors to invest in the MAPP Project. ${ }^{97}$
79. Regarding the request for a hearing, the parties have not presented an issue of material fact that warrants a hearing on whether to grant the incentives. The Commission stated in Order No. 679, "the Commission does not intend to routinely convene trial-type, evidentiary hearings to review ... [transmission incentive requests,] but will attempt to render a decision based on the paper submissions whenever possible." ${ }^{\text {"8 }}$ We further find no reason to suspend the collection of CWIP, because permitting such recovery will help expedite the construction of an important project needed for reliability. ${ }^{99}$ Accordingly, the Commission will permit the incentives to become effective November 1, 2008, as requested.

## C. Section 205 Demonstrations

## 1. Range of Reasonableness

80. PHI currently has an adjusted ROE of 11.3 percent, after applying the Commission-approved RTO participation adder to the 10.8 percent base ROE that was agreed upon as part of its formula rate settlement. When the 150 basis point incentive adder is added to the 11.3 percent ROE, the resulting ROE for the MAPP Project would be 12.8 percent. Pursuant to Order No. 679-A, any ROE must be within the range of reasonableness. ${ }^{100}$ In this case, because the settled rate contains no range of reasonableness, PHI submitted testimony to establish a range of reasonable returns.

## a. $\underline{\mathrm{ROE}}$

81. PHI submitted testimony supporting a zone of reasonable returns of 8.6 percent (set by PHI) to 15.61 percent (set by DPL, Inc.) after adjusting for risk by applying a
possible reduction. Each case must be analyzed on its merits to determine if the incentives requested are justified.
${ }^{97}$ Duquesne Light Company, 125 FERC $\mathbb{I} 61,028$, at P 57 (2008).
${ }^{98}$ Order No. 679, FERC Stats. \& Regs. II 31,222 at P 79.
${ }^{99}$ Cf., Allegheny Power System Operating Companies, 111 FERC II 61,308, at P 51 (2005).
${ }^{100}$ Order No. 679-A, FERC Stats. \& Regs. II 31,236 at P 38.
corporate credit rating screen. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 1}} \mathrm{PHI}$ states that it is bound by prior settlement to apply any requested ROE incentives to a base ROE of 10.8 percent. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 2}}$ According to the settlement provisions, multiple ROE incentives are added cumulatively to the base ROE of 10.8 percent. Since the settlement, PHI was also granted a 50 basis point adder for RTO participation, bringing the adjusted ROE from which to add incentives to 11.3 percent. ${ }^{103}$ Based on PHI's analysis, its requested 150 -basis point ROE adder for the MAPP Project would be within the range of reasonable returns produced by its DCF analysis.
82. PHI adds that its DCF calculation does not include an adjustment for the cost of "floating" new equity securities. Nevertheless, PHI states that the fact that flotation costs will be incurred should be recognized as a legitimate consideration that supports the reasonableness of the ROE. PHI asserts that a review of financial studies indicates that flotation costs can average between 3.6 percent to 10 percent additional on the return. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 4}}$
83. PHI explains that rather than developing annual estimates of cash flows into perpetuity, it has implemented the DCF model in its simplified "constant growth" form. ${ }^{105}$ PHI states that the constant growth form of the DCF recognizes that the rate of return consists of two parts: dividend yield and growth. In other words, investors expect to receive a portion of their return on investment through dividends, and the remainder of their return on investment through price appreciation.
84. In addition, PHI explains that in developing the proxy group, the DCF model analysis focused on a group of 15 transmission-owning utilities in the Northeast. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 6}} \mathrm{PHI}$

[^68]states that this publicly-traded 15 company proxy group resulted by excluding companies based on the following screens: (1) companies who don't pay common dividends; (2) companies for whom no Institutional Brokers Estimation System (IBES) or Value Line data is available; (3) companies who were in the process of merger activity; and (4) companies whose business was comprised mainly of natural gas operations. PHI also states that it evaluated the proxy group based on three objective measures of investment risk: Standard and Poor's corporate credit rating, Value Line's Safety Rank, and Financial Strength Rating. ${ }^{107}$ PHI points out that the PHI Companies have a corporate credit rating of "BBB." PHI filed two additional analyses to ensure the validity of and increase confidence in its results. ${ }^{108}$

## b. Protests

85. Maryland People's Counsel argues that in justifying its requested ROE, PHI includes companies within its proxy group that derive substantial revenues from unregulated business activities, such as Constellation, PSEG, and Exelon. Maryland People's Counsel also argues that PHI's expert testimony submitted by Dr. Avera used an unusually large proxy group of 15 companies in wide geographic regions with large variations in business risk and then removed companies from the proxy group subjectively.
86. Maryland People's Counsel argues that the best way to evaluate a business and its commensurate risks is to determine where its revenues are derived. Therefore, Maryland People's Counsel argues that utilities with a large portion of unregulated merchant generation revenues such as Constellation, PSEG and Exelon, should be excluded from a proxy group establishing an ROE for a transmission line. To support their proxy group argument, Maryland People's Counsel cites to Standard and Poor's rating of BGE, a regulated transmission and distribution subsidiary of Constellation Energy. Standard and Poor's notes that BGE's business risk is "influenced by the growing scope of parent Constellation Energy Group Inc.'s unregulated activities, which has resulted in accretion to the company's business risk in the past year."109
${ }^{107}$ Avera Test. Ex. PHI-24 at 35 and Ex. No. PHI-29.
${ }^{108} \mathrm{PHI}$ filed a DCF analysis resulting in a range of returns of 8.1 percent to 15.6 percent, which does not apply a corporate credit rating screen (Avera Test. Ex. No. PHI26), and a capital asset pricing model analysis that results in a range of returns of 10.9 percent to 14.3 percent.
${ }^{109}$ Maryland People's Counsel September 10, 2008 Protest at 33 (internal citations omitted).
87. Similarly, Maryland People's Counsel argues that over the past three years, PSEG's revenues from competitive merchant generation have doubled from $\$ 434$ million to $\$ 949$ million, while its revenues from its largest regulated subsidiary, PSE\&G, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1 0}}$ grew by only 10 percent. Maryland People's Counsel argues that it is clear in this case that PSEG's high growth rate, as well as its high implied cost of equity, are driven by the growth in revenues from its competitive merchant generation business, and not from its regulated transmission business. ${ }^{111}$ Maryland People's Counsel states that PSEG should therefore be removed from a proxy group that is intended to assess risk on regulated transmission.
88. Maryland People's Counsel argues that because PHI's investment is assured cost recovery, these investments are no more risky than investment in a medium-grade corporate bond, and the return should be commensurate with this low risk investment. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1 2}}$

## c. Answers

89. PHI asserts that Dr. Avera properly applied the DCF methodology and selected the correct proxy group in accordance with the PATH and VEPCO case precedent. ${ }^{113} \mathrm{PHI}$ notes that the 15 -utility proxy group identifies all transmission owning members of PJM, New York Independent System Operator, Inc., (NYISO) and ISO-New England Inc. (ISO-NE) with publicly traded stock and excludes firms that do not pay common dividends and firms that do not have Value Line data or IBES growth rate data.
90. PHI also disputes the Maryland People's Counsel's assertion that sources of revenue is an appropriate criterion to judge the proxy group based on recent Commission precedent. PHI notes that the Commission rejected a similar argument made by the
[^69]Maryland People's Counsel regarding the appropriateness of including PSEG in a proxy group because of its revenue sources. ${ }^{114}$

## d. Commission Determination

91. We find that PHI's proposed ROE analysis demonstrates that its requested 150 basis point ROE incentive, when added to the 10.8 percent base ROE that was agreed upon as part of PHI's formula rate settlement and the previously approved 50 basis point RTO participation adder, produces an ROE that is within the range of reasonable returns.
92. We have previously found that it is reasonable to use a proxy group of entities within the interrelated RTO markets operated by PJM, ISO-NE, and NYISO, as PHI proposes for its DCF analysis. We find that the DCF presented in Exhibit PHI-27 has applied the following screening criteria to exclude companies consistent with Commission precedent: (1) companies who don't pay common dividends; (2) companies for whom no IBES or Value Line data is available; (3) companies who were involved in merger activities; (4) companies whose business was comprised mainly of natural gas operations; (5) companies whose corporate credit ratings are outside the band of BBB- to BBB+, (in consideration of PHI's BBB corporate credit rating); and (6) companies whose growth rates are considered outliers - those that "fail the economic test of logic," or whose implied cost of equity is "unsustainable." ${ }^{115}$
93. Maryland People's Counsel argues that PHI includes companies within its proxy group, including PHI, that derive substantial revenues from unregulated business activities, and that we should, therefore, exclude several of these companies from the analysis. We deny Maryland People's Counsel's protest as inconsistent with Commission precedent. We have previously found that in cases where these entities will ultimately raise funds for the subject utility, these entities' cost of capital should be considered. ${ }^{116}$ Even if we excluded the companies that the Maryland People's Counsel

[^70](continued...)
protests from the analysis, the ROE of 12.8 percent would still be within the range of reasonable returns.
94. Based on the proxy group presented in Exhibit PHI-27 and the scope, effect, risks, and challenges of the MAPP Project, we will grant PHI's requested return to result in an ROE of 12.8 percent. ${ }^{117} \mathrm{PHI}$ is directed to file revised tariff sheets to reflect this ROE incentive.

## 2. CWIP Accounting Procedures and Regulations

95. Order No. 679 and 18 C.F.R. $\S 35.25(f)$ require that a company requesting CWIP in its rate base must propose accounting procedures that ensure that customers will not be charged for both capitalized AFUDC and corresponding amounts of CWIP in rate base. Additionally, to promote comparability of financial information between entities, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1 8}}$ the Commission has required a specific accounting treatment or the use of footnote disclosures to recognize the economic effects of having CWIP in rate base. ${ }^{119}$
96. PHI provides several submissions to demonstrate that it is in compliance with the Commission's regulations for CWIP. PHI submits a Construction Program Statement, consistent with the requirements of 18 C.F.R. $\S 35.13$ (h)(38), demonstrating that the program adopted is prudent and consistent with a least-cost energy supply program.
97. PHI describes the procedural controls that it will use to prevent capitalization of AFUDC associated with the MAPP Project prior to and after the project goes into

II 61,302,(2004), aff'd in pertinent part and rev'd in other parts sub nom. Publ. Serv. Comm'n of Ky. v. FERC, 397 F.3d 1004 (D.C. Cir. 2005).
${ }^{117}$ An ROE of 12.8 percent is the summation of 10.8 percent (settled rate) +50 basis points (RTO participation) +150 basis points we are granting herein.
${ }^{118}$ The Commission's Uniform System of Accounts (USofA), Electric Plant Instruction No. 3, requires AFUDC to be capitalized as a component cost of construction and depreciated over the service life of the asset. Public utilities that receive a current return on CWIP through rate base recover this cost in a different period than it would ordinarily be charged to expense under the general requirements of the Commission's USofA.
${ }^{119}$ See, e.g., American Transmission Co. LLC, 105 FERC II 61,388 (2003), order on reh'g, 107 FERC I[ 61,117 (2004); TRAIL, 119 FERC I[ 61,219; Southern California Edison Co., 122 FERC TI 61, 187 (2008).
service, consistent with the Commission's regulations for CWIP. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 0}}$ Specifically, PHI explains that it has accounting procedures to ensure that all costs will be properly classified in its accounting records using both the SAP Project and the PowerPlant asset accounting systems. PHI also states that it will incorporate unique project identification and work order numbers to accumulate MAPP construction costs in accordance with Electric Plant Instruction 3 and its capitalization policy. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 1}} \mathrm{PHI}$ explains that PowerPlant allows the user to determine if and when AFUDC should be capitalized on work orders. According to PHI, the PowerPlant system will recognize the unique identifiers and will not calculate or capitalize AFUDC on the MAPP Project as a component of the costs to be recorded in Account 101, Electric Plant in Service. PHI states that this process will ensure that the CWIP included in the formula rate filing will not include AFUDC for the MAPP Project. Finally, PHI states that its independent auditor will verify this planned CWIP in rate base accounting, as determined necessary by the auditor.

## a. Protests

98. Maryland People's Counsel claims that PHI does not expressly detail the accounting procedures that it will use to ensure that it does not double recover AFUDC and CWIP in rate base, including any unique project numbering system to be used and any procedures to prevent double counting of expenditures as CWIP and additions to plant once the project, or portion thereof, goes into service. Maryland People's Counsel also argues that PHI should be required to segregate all work orders for the MAPP Project from those for other projects, whether incentive or non-incentive, and to prepare monthly reports summarizing all costs incurred under the MAPP Project, and showing, at a minimum, additions to CWIP and plant in service.
99. The Delaware PSC states that it is not clear from the application that PHI would provide any support in its annual report to document whether amounts of CWIP that would be put into plant-service have accurately reduced the balance of CWIP. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 2}}$
100. The Delaware PSC argues that PHI's requested waiver of certain portions of § 35.13(h)(38) is dependent on the fact that PHI owns no generation projects that serve wholesale requirements. The Delaware PSC states that there is no consideration of the possibility that this will continue through the life of the MAPP Project for Delmarva, or any of the other affiliates of the PHI Companies.
[^71]
## b. Answers

101. PHI asserts that Maryland People's Counsel ignored the testimony of PHI's witness Alan Heintz and the affidavit of Warren Smiley describing the changes needed in the formula to implement the CWIP recovery as well as the accounting procedures in place to ensure no double-recovery of MAPP-related CWIP and AFUDC. PHI states that it has supplied the appropriate information with the Commission, and will more fully explain Statement BM to the Delaware PSC to address their concerns if circumstances change such that Delmarva becomes a generation owner.

## c. Commission Determination

102. There may be several reasonable approaches to the Delaware PSC's request for additional transparency regarding the amounts removed from CWIP and placed into plant in service related to the MAPP Project. In this particular case, PHI provides several forms of assurance that amounts will not recover a return on CWIP at the same time they are recovering a return on and of investment through plant-in-service. First, PHI explains that each work order for the MAPP Project will be given a unique identifier. PHI explains that the PowerPlant asset accounting system that they employ will recognize these unique identifiers, and not calculate the unique identifier to both accounts in the same time period. ${ }^{123}$ Second, PHI provides a monthly calculation of the CWIP associated with the MAPP Project, as well as the monthly calculation of the plant-in-service associated with the MAPP Project as part of its formula rate. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 4}}$ Finally, PHI states that the PHI Companies' independent auditor has the ability to consider compliance with the accounting requirements of the Uniform System of Accounts, which also requires that work orders be cleared from the CWIP account and included in electric plant in service upon completion and readiness for service of the first unit. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 5}}$
103. The Commission also finds that PHI's proposed accounting procedures in Exhibit PHI-36 of its filing sufficiently demonstrate that it has accounting procedures and internal controls in place to prevent recovery of AFUDC to the extent it is allowed to include CWIP in rate base, contrary to the Maryland People's Counsel's assertions. However, public utilities that receive a current return on CWIP through rate base recover this cost in a different period than it would ordinarily be charged to expense under the general requirements of the Commission's USofA. To promote comparability of financial
${ }^{123}$ Smiley Aff. Ex. PHI-36.
${ }^{124}$ PJM Interconnection, LLC, FERC Electric Tariff Sixth Rev. Vol. No. 1, First Rev. Sheet Nos. 298S-298R, 300V-300W, and 310S-310R,

12518 C.F.R. Part 101, FERC Accounts 101 and 107.
information between entities, the Commission has required a specific accounting treatment or the use of footnote disclosures to recognize the economic effects of having CWIP in rate base.
104. PHI has failed to address the Commission's requirement for comparability of financial information. The Commission therefore directs PHI to provide footnote disclosures in the notes to the financial statements of its annual FERC Form No. 1 and its quarterly FERC Form No. 3-Q which (1) fully explain the impact of the transmission rate incentives it receives insofar as the incentives provide for a deviation from the general requirements of the USofA; (2) include details of amounts not capitalized because of the transmission rate incentives for the current year, the previous two years, and the sum of all years; and (3) include a partial balance sheet consisting of the Assets and Other Debits section of the balance sheet to include the amounts not capitalized because of the transmission rate incentives.
105. We reject the Delaware PSC's contention on generation-related requirements of $\S 35.13(\mathrm{~h})(38)$ as inapposite. This provision, as adopted by Order No. 679, has its advent in Order No. 298. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 6}}$ The Commission determined that to "facilitate the review of the prudence of CWIP costs in rate cases" the Commission required "a general statement of the utility's program for providing reliable and economic power." If the filing utility did not have certain specified information available, the Commission allowed the filing utility to "submit instead any pertinent information upon which it relied in deciding to replace or expand its [ ] facilities." ${ }^{127}$
106. PHI has done so here, stating that it has relied upon the PJM RTEP in deciding on this expansion. ${ }^{128} \mathrm{PJM}$ is responsible for considering 10 year load forecasts, congestion events, and operational performance of the transmission system as the FERC- approved Regional Transmission Organization, and therefore, is responsible for developing required transmission enhancements needed to maintain reliability on a least-cost basis. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 9}}$ Therefore, we find that PHI has sufficiently fulfilled the requirements of § 35.13(h)(38).

[^72]
## 3. Formula Rate Modifications

107. PHI modified its formula rates to include the data necessary to accommodate the requested ROE and CWIP incentives. It states that these revisions make its formula rates substantially similar to the formula rates of other transmission-owning utilities that operate within PJM. ${ }^{130}$
108. PHI explains that in addition to showing the changes to the formula rates in redline, it has also populated the formula using 2007 Form No. 1 data for illustrative purposes. ${ }^{131}$

## a. Protests

109. Maryland People's Counsel states that, because of the requested incentives, the circumstances under which the parties to the settlement agreed to the formula rate and related protocols in 2006 have changed dramatically. Maryland People's Counsel asserts that the formula rate and related protocols should be revised in light of these changes. Maryland People's Counsel requests several modifications:
a. In-person meeting of interested parties regarding the review of the Annual Updates;
b. Requiring more explanatory material with the Annual Updates;
c. Removal of restrictions on challenges to the "appropriateness of the application of the formula rate" and to whether the formula rate has been "properly applied";
d. Removal of restrictions on information requests concerning costs or cost allocations;
e. Clarification of interest and true-up rules on any under- or over-recoveries;
f. Requiring segregation of all work orders for the MAPP Project from other projects, and preparation of monthly reports summarizing all costs; and
g. Requiring more detailed explanation of how affiliates will share costs and responsibilities.

[^73]110. Maryland People's Counsel states that PHI's existing formula rates were designed to apply to PHI Companies' existing transmission infrastructure and facilities. Maryland People's Counsel asserts that if the formula rates are applied to the large scale and longterm MAPP Project, the formula rates will cease to be just and reasonable, especially with the inclusion of added incentives such as CWIP in rate base. Specifically, Maryland People's Counsel takes issue with PHI's request for cost recovery of incentives for the MAPP Project under "Option 2" of PJM's Schedule 12. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3 2}}$ Maryland People's Counsel argues that by using Option 2 to recover the costs of the MAPP Project, PHI's amendments to its tariff sheets are materially insufficient to carry PHI's burden of proof.

## b. Answers

111. In its answer, PHI urges the Commission to reject Maryland People's Counsel's protest as an impermissible collateral attack on the March 20, 2006 uncontested settlement. ${ }^{133} \mathrm{PHI}$ asserts that challenges to the mechanics and protocols of PHI's formula rates are irrelevant to whether PHI should receive incentive rates for the MAPP Project. Therefore, PHI asks the Commission to reject Maryland People's Counsel's challenges to the formula rates.
112. Specifically, PHI states that Maryland People's Counsel is incorrect that the terms, formula, and protocols apply to existing transmission infrastructure only. PHI states that the companies' formula rate is designed to apply to both new and existing transmission facilities. Moreover PHI asserts that Maryland People's Counsel cited to a dissent that did not apply to the March 20, 2006 settlement order, but rather applied to an order in the ER05-513 docket. PHI states that the "Option 2" method of establishing a revenue

[^74]${ }^{133}$ See Baltimore Gas \& Electric Co., 115 FERC I[ 61,066 (2006).
requirement under Schedule 12 for new transmission facilities cost recovery does not apply to PHI. Instead, PHI states that "Option 3" applied to the PHI companies. ${ }^{134}$

## c. Commission Determination

113. We reject Maryland People's Counsel's protest in which it asks for revisions to the formula rate protocols governing disclosure of information about the costs and other inputs that go into the formula rate. The Commission accepted these protocols to apply to both existing rate base and new projects. PHI has not in this proceeding filed tariff revisions related to these protocols. ${ }^{135}$ Unchanged tariff provisions are not subject to revision as part of an FPA section 205 filing. ${ }^{136}$ Moreover, Maryland People's Counsel has provided no reason for us to find that the same protocols that apply to existing ratebased projects and new projects that do not receive incentives are not appropriate for the review of the costs and inputs for new projects that happen to receive incentives. ${ }^{137}$ The

[^75]review of the costs and inputs associated with new projects that receive incentives are no different than those associated with other new projects that do not receive incentives.

## 4. Annual Reporting Requirement

114. Maryland People's Counsel protests the lack of an annual reporting requirement for PHI to provide the current status of the various components of the MAPP Project and their estimated or actual in-service dates. As a result of approving incentives in this order, however, our regulations will require PHI to file a FERC Form No. 730 report for incentive-based rate treatments for transmission, and we find this annual report to be sufficient. Form 730 provides, for each incentive project, the most up-to-date, expected completion date, percentage completion as of the date of filing, and reasons for delay. As the Commission previously has found, this report satisfies the Commission's requirement for an annual filing for CWIP recovery through a rate formula.

The Commission orders:
(A) PHI's request for incentives, as modified are granted, and proposed tariff sheets are hereby accepted for filing, effective November 1, 2008, subject to revision as discussed in the body of this order.
(B) PHI is ordered to file revised tariff sheets within 30 days of this order to reflect the ROE incentive granted herein.

By the Commission. Commissioner Kelly concurring with a separate statement to be issued at a later date.
Commissioner Wellinghoff concurring with a separate statement attached.
(SEAL)

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary.

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION 

Pepco Holdings, Inc.
Docket No. ER08-1423-000
(Issued October 31, 2008)

## WELLINGHOFF, Commissioner, concurring:

In today's order, the Commission approves a 150 basis point incentive ROE adder for PHI in connection with its Mid-Atlantic Power Pathway (MAPP) Project. I agree with that decision. I write separately to highlight important characteristics of this project that I believe warrant this significant incentive ROE adder.

I have dissented from numerous orders in which I felt that the majority undermined the nexus requirement that is an essential component of Order No. 679 and inappropriately granted incentive ROE adders. ${ }^{138}$ By contrast, I agree that the MAPP Project satisfies the nexus requirement. It is noteworthy that this project is, as described in today's order, "a high voltage 500 kV line ... crossing through four states, and providing access to more than $1,300 \mathrm{MW}$ of renewable generation in the western portion of PJM." ${ }^{139}$ At least as important, I believe that this project is a non-routine investment worthy of the significant incentive ROE adder granted here because it will use advanced technologies that will benefit all users of the grid and ultimate consumers.

With respect to the use of advanced technologies, PHI provides substantial detail in its testimony and the technology statement required by Order No. 679. ${ }^{140}$ PHI Witness William Gausman states that "[t]he MAPP Project will be using the most state of the art and innovative electrical power equipment available today, and the project will allow PHI to be at the forefront of accepting, embracing and deploying new technologies." ${ }^{141}$ For example, Witness Gausman states that the portion of the MAPP Project that will cross under the Chesapeake Bay will likely be either "the highest capacity AC submarine cable system anywhere in the world" or "the highest voltage and highest capacity voltage

[^76]source control DC submarine cable system, utilizing XLPE cable, anywhere in the world," depending on whether PJM approves the use of VSC-based HVDC technology for the Project. ${ }^{142}$ Witness Gausman also describes key features of a "smart grid" at the transmission level, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 4 3}}$ and he explains how various advanced technologies to be incorporated into the MAPP Project will promote those features. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 4 4}}$ In addition, PHI Witness William Avera states that "the advanced technologies incorporated in the MAPP project will enhance its potential to provide dependable, efficient energy delivery, but the associated complexities also imply greater risks and uncertainties." ${ }^{145}$

As I have discussed previously, I believe that consideration of advanced technologies and their associated risks and challenges is an appropriate component of the nexus analysis that the Commission conducts in evaluating applications for incentives under Order No. 679. ${ }^{146}$ Consistent with such consideration, today's order accounts for technology-related risks in evaluating PHI's incentives request. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 4 7}}$

For these reasons, I concur with today's order.

> Jon Wellinghoff
> Commissioner
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The EDCs pay suppliers subject to the conditions of the Board-approved Supplier Master Agreements.

[^1]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^2]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^3]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^4]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C

[^5]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^6]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^7]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C

[^8]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^9]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^10]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C

[^11]:    *Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    **East Coast Power, L.L.C

[^12]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.
    $\dagger$ Cost allocations associated with Regional Facilities and Necessary Lower Voltage Facilities associated with the project

[^13]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^14]:    * Neptune Regional Transmission System, LLC
    ** East Coast Power, L.L.C.

[^15]:    These depreciaition rates will not change absent the appropiaite fling at $F$ ERR

[^16]:    

[^17]:    (1) Commitment fees for 4th quarter 2008

[^18]:    ${ }^{-}$The IRR is the Debt Cost shown on Long Term Debt Cost Tables 1 and 2 of Attachment 8. (note in Excel, the Analysis Tool Pack Add-in must be loaded for the cacluation). $7.9 \%$ will be used until
    the construction project debt financing is executed
    The IRR is a discount rate that makes the net present value ("NPV") of a series of cash flows equal to zero. The IRR equation can only be solved
    through iterations performed by a computer program (i.e. XIRR function in a spreadsheet program).

[^19]:    Line \#
    Long Term Interest Less LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds $23,518,887$

    Capitalization
    Less LTD on Securitization Bonds 422,207,762

    Calculation of the above Securitization Adjustments Inputs from Atlantic City Electric Company 2007 FERC Form 1
    Pages 256-257 "Long Term Debt (Account 221, 222, 223, and 224)"
    Line 31 "Note Payable to ACE Transition Funding - variable"
    LTD Interest on Securitization Bonds in column (i)
    LTD on Securitization Bonds in column (h)

[^20]:    1. ADIT tiems related only to Non:Electric Operations
    (e.s., Gas, Water, Sever)
    assinged to coumn
    2 and
    and
    
    
    $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { included in taxale income in ditferent periods than } \\ & \text { they are included in rates, therefore it the e tem giving }\end{aligned}\right.$
[^21]:    Instructions for Account 190:
    2. ADIT items related only to Transmission are directly assigned to Column I
    3. ADIT items related to Plant and not in Columns C \& D are included in Column
    4. ADIT items related to labor and not in Columns $C$ \& $D$ are included in Column
    5. Deferred income taxes arise when items are included in taxable income in different periods than they are included in rates, therefore if the item giving rise to the ADIT is not included in
    the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.
    the formula, the associated ADIT amount shall be excluded.

[^22]:    

[^23]:    ** This is the total amount that needs to be reported to PJM for billing to all regions.
    \# This is the calculation of additional incentive revenue on projects deemed by the FERC to be eligible for an incentive return. This
    additional incentive requirement is applicable for the life of this specific project. Each year the revenue requirement calculated for PJM
    should be incremented by the amount of the incentive revenue calculated for that year on this project.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1} 16$ U.S.C. § 824d (2006).
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    ${ }^{13} I d$.
    ${ }^{14} I d$. at 12.

[^28]:    ${ }^{15} 18$ C.F.R. § 385.214 (2008).
    ${ }^{16}$ Id. § 385.213(a)(2).

[^29]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Promoting Transmission Investment through Pricing Reform, Order No. 679 at P 386, citing Allegheny Power System Operating Companies, 111 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,308, at P 51 (2005); Allegheny Power System Operating Companies, 106 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,003, at P 32 (2004).
    ${ }^{19}$ See Northeast Utilities Service Company, 105 FERC ब 61,089, at P 23 (2003).
    ${ }^{20}$ West Texas Utilities Company, 18 FERC $\mathbb{1}$ 61,189 (1982) (West Texas).

[^30]:    ${ }^{21}$ FERC Electric Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, Attachment H-8H, Sheets No. 309VVV- XXX, Sections 3 and 4.

[^31]:    ${ }^{22}$ Joint Customers Protest at 28, citing VEPCO, 123 FERC $\mathbb{1} 61,098$ at P 46.
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[^33]:    ${ }^{29} 18$ C.F.R. § 385.603 (2008).
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